

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: PattiM

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2011 05:07 PM

Our next opportunity to either dump the directors whose terms are up or to allow them to continue on is now up to us on July 30th just a couple of weeks away. Speak now or forever hold your peace.

Directors Perry Dhlstorm and Donald Pederson will expire this year. Let your voice be heard even though it won't be a darn bit of good 😞

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2011 07:09 PM

grumble crooks... I doubt that I will go as I seem to be enemy numero uno with the board and 'management'. I will def. sign my proxy though and drop it off 😊

From: disposition

Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2011 08:27 AM

I think Mr. Pederson has the best interest of the community and the water company that serves it. I hope he stays.

From: ThePants

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2011 05:56 PM

Intersting observations...

1. The guy who seemed 'in chrage' when I visited the water company about low pressure about a year ago, met him in the office and he dispatched a water worker (Casey) to my home to check things out.

Same guy was wandering my property 3 weeks ago looking for my meter location to read it.

Reading the Mountian News, that freebie in the mail under help wanted? Cedarpines Park looking for a new water company general manager, submit resume.

What the heck is going on??????

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2011 10:23 PM

the beatings will continue 'til the morale improves!!!! 😊😊😊😊

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2011 10:46 PM

Not terribly sure Pants - I DO know that I am sick to my stomach every time I think about the \$240,000/yr contract they had. Seems a bit steep for a contract that was NOT put out to bid, for 740-ish meters AND, for a 'friend' of the current board president.

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 12:00 AM

I have to agree with no other but me. Paying over 200k for people who do not wish to go on record speaks poorly of their credibility. A question was asked by a neighbor if the meetings could be taped for the minutes and Sonny's wife said she did not wish to be recorded after which the few board members who were in attendance(3) sided with her. They never discussed an issue concerning a fellow board member who allegedly is not in good standing instead preferring to have this discussion after the public was excused. I had spent my day at work, drove up to the mountain to attend the meeting and after being there for the open forum we were dismissed at which time I drove back to Apple Valley. That was an evening wasted for me.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 07:13 AM

Why hasn't the water company bankrupt itself yet? Are they collecting that much revenue?

From: Veritas

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 07:14 AM

Seems to me without a single person on the CPP payroll with any CPP experience, the CPP board will have to renew their contract and the reorganization will continue. How can the board allow the district to be without people familiar with the district. The contract renewal is the only possible way to continue.

From: Veritas

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 07:15 AM

And as the Church Lady said "Isn't that convenient?"

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 07:54 AM

I cannot say I am great at making board meetings, having only made about 5 in the last year, but I do believe that Donald Petersen is sincere about taking care current issues. I don't have an issue with Perry either. He is more knowledgeable on current water issues (i.e. safe drinking water act) than the rest of the board (my opinion). That being said I do not appreciate the business that is being taken care of without public input, and the method of voting.

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 08:05 AM

A year ago several people had expressed an interest in obtaining a spot on the water board. Other than Paul Hartman that became appointed, where are all those other people? No longer interested enough to let the public know I guess so exactly whose name/s should we put on our proxies? The lack of interest here is amazing and yet we continue to complain.

From: Veritas

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 08:14 AM

Why we are grateful to be out of CPP... when they didn't get them out of there when the GM got arrested after last year's shareholder's meeting for beating up his wife, we knew the Board didn't care about the district.

My husband and I saw the opportunity, sold and moved out of there. We count ourselves lucky. He and I were sitting in our car on the other side of the GM's SUV after a Board meeting and heard him and her talking to people saying they wished they could get the crew he used to have had up there... and they called the people up here "mouth breathers". That was original.

After that night my husband went to pay our water bill and one of the techs stopped him at the front door and he heard the GM's wife screaming at the counter girl going on and on using foul language at her and he heard the GM's wife say that the mistakes were all the counter employee's fault... the lady told her that she didn't do it and he heard the counter lady tell her that the GM's wife knew that she hadn't done it because she, the GM's wife, had done it. He stepped in about that point and the GM's wife continued to cuss her out and called her "stupid" in front of my husband.

I saw that counter lady at Goodwin's a couple weeks after that and approached her, she told me that she wouldn't say anything because she had signed a confidentiality agreement, and even though she wasn't there anymore, she still wouldn't say. She had already gone to work some place else.

Next thing we hear is that all the techs are gone...

We can't prove it's a conspiracy to keep their contract, because wishing you had your friends working for you is just that, a wish... even if it is suspicious... you can't prove a thing.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

We were able to sell our property because that lady at the counter was able to get us deeds that we had been unable to locate/find and she did it for free. She got our shares straightened out and we sold.

CPP has a mess, there was never enough people who came to the meetings to have a majority and probably never will.

Anybody who did try to bring up complaints was subjected to ridicule from the GM his wife or the Board.

Last meeting we went to, Perry asked the GM for a complete review of all the Board shares, and there hasn't been any update or posted minutes since.

As for the comment about the meetings being taped, I saw the GM put small Ipod recorders on the table everytime we ever went to a meeting. There are recordings, just don't know if they are the property of CPP or even allowed to be heard by other then the Board.

We are grateful to be out of CPP, and ya, before someone on the Board spouts off here...we are in CVWC and they have their issues too... but we can go to the PUC if we find out there is crap going on. CPP is privately owned and the shareholders are ignored.

Good luck CPP. Hope you don't have those two crooks contracts renewed and hope the membership sees what's up and steps up this year. \$200K a year is a lot to pay, all this time and they are right back where they began, actually worse then when they began.

Perry seemed like he has the best interest of CPP at heart as does Don Pederson, Paul is honest and knowledgeable. I heard Bill will be retiring after his term... and the rest... well, he seems to know what he is talking about in all of his posts... but how can they be so blind to what the contractors are trying to do?

If it walks like a duck, and quacks like a duck... don't you think it's a duck?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 07:20 AM

The annual shareholders meeting is July 30, at the Community Center. I just noticed it on my bill!

They are really quiet about it, and why on the same date as the Community BBQ? Last year they were marketing fools, all the hoopla! Are they looking for "no attendance"?
What's really going on?

We may have to do a joint effort.

From: Bearlady

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 07:43 AM

Oh please! I'm sure the meeting was scheduled long before your "little BBQ"

From: PattiM

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 07:43 AM

Jim, pls explain. The meeting starts at 1:30. The BBQ at noon.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 07:53 AM

Last year it was in September. There were fliers, postings, a "buzz"I haven't heard a peep this year.
What's up!

Like I said, seems really quiet.

Makes one want to raise an eyebrow.....

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: PattiM

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 08:11 AM

I mean pls explain about the 'joint effort'.

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 05:17 PM

It goes like this: there's a joint effort at the BBQ. We march with pitch forks and high balls to the meeting. Even with everyone from the BBQ there STILL isn't a quorum. The board "selects" the members. I know that really pisses a few people off but that's the way it is.

From: PattiM

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 05:38 PM



From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 06:26 AM

The quorum has got to go! The voting majority is the right thing to do here and should be granted. I bet more poeple would show up to everything.

The quorum (51% of membership participation)is what the board hides behide to make it look like you have a say in our water company. The quorum has never been met for ANYTHING! The board members do what they want! And they know it!

Again, the quorum has got to go!
The majority rules!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 06:38 AM

Excuse me, 51% agreement of the total shareholders.

That's another issue, if you have 1 meter, you should have one share, regardless of your property size. Why would one person living on a 1/2 acre (approx 20,00sqft) have more shares than a neighbor with a 5,000 sqft lot?

It just doesn't make sense. I could be a little thick.

From: ThePants

Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 08:01 AM

Jim, the people who have bigger lots were required to purchase more shares in the water company. That equals having paid more into the company than others with smaller lots. That's why they have more say in what goes on, even if, in reality we have NO say in what goes on.

From: survivor

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 03:02 PM

So, am I the only one who received my new "bill" from the CPP Water District today? It is for \$195.00 and may be paid in 4 payments of \$48.75 or all at one time. How very generous (said sarcastically). A letter of explanation accompanies the bill.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 03:28 PM

Is this your regular water bill. I paid mine already this month or is this an additional bill for something. Can you please give a readers digest version of the letter that came with the bill.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [survivor](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 06:45 PM

The rate increase did not meet the operating cost of the company. has not provided sufficient revenue to restore the mandatory reserve of one year of debt service to RUS (\$91,700) or offset the cost of depreciation (\$139,767) of the assets of the company.

Board is replacing the temporary contractor, ECS Company. Contract comes to an end 10/28/11. In process of hiring a General Manager, Office Administrator and one part time clerical support person. Have already hired Angela Forder, Office Accountant, who will become the Office Administrator when ECS contract ends.

Long Term capital projects have not been implemented due to lack of funds in the budget.

So, Board implemented a onetime charge on each Water Meter.

Option 1, pay 4 payments of \$48.75, 1st due 9/30/11, 2nd 11/30/11, 3rd 1/30/12, 4th 3/30/12.

Option 2, 2 installments, \$92.50 due 9/30/11; \$92.50 due 11/30/11.

Option 3, \$180.00 due 9/30/11.

Amounts are discounted to offset additional processing costs.

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 07:10 PM

That is ridiculous!!!!

The US doesn't want to give a check next month or until whenever and CPP thinks it can take what I don't have!

From: [Eagle](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 08:01 PM

Yes, we got the bill and letter from CPP H2O water district stamped URGENT.

Please, everyone attend the water board annual meeting Sat. July 30th at 1:30pm at the CPP community center located behind the fire station in CPP and vote this board out and take back our water company.

Where is the financial report that shows where and what our high water bill is being used for?

Why are these problems not discussed with the share holders who is suppose to own the water company?

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 08:40 PM

Meet up at the CPP Community BBQ by noon, eat, discuss with other homeowners, then, like CPMaynard said! Grab your pitchforks! and head on over to the Community center! 😊

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 08:49 PM

Real simple math.....\$83.08 (Membership/meter fees)every 2 months, x 800 meters = \$66,464.00 per billing period, \$33,232.00 per month in revenue.

\$398,784/year

They want \$180, x 800 meters = \$144,000

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 09:21 PM

In the 7-20-11 email blast I sent to shareholders I elaborated on scenerios regarding WHY you should see rate increases coming your way.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

The first heads up we got of a rate increase was at the LAST OPEN monthly board meeting for shareholders. That was in April.

At the April 21, 2011 monthly Board Meeting, the Board announced they would begin discussions about raising your water rates AND that these discussions would be held in PRIVATE Board meetings. Therefore effective May 19, 2011 all future monthly Board Meetings will NOT BE OPEN to the Shareholders.

Your board kept their word and we were not allowed to attend company business discussions for the meetings in May, June and July.

Now that explains why they haven't posted meeting minutes after March 2011 - but there's more....

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 09:31 PM

APATHY or a Bad SHARE REGISTRY?

Yes there is APATHY but there is also an inaccurate Share Registry. Not only was Board VP Ron discovered to not have enough shares to be a shareholder / board member in good standing but there are numerous other shareholders discovered as well. At an average of \$2000.00 per discovery that is a lot of revenue not being recovered by the water company. Just so you know, the board is cutting a special deal for their VP AND you the shareholder will be paying for that!

It may be too late but making your demand to the Board to Fix the Share Registry before collecting this "rate assessment" could be reasonable.

BTW - an inaccurate Share Registry also affects QUORUM. Who knows, maybe a 50% + 1 would be achievable IF the outstanding & shares in good standing were accounted for correctly.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 09:41 PM

@ that1deadguy

The PROSPECTIVE SHAREHOLDERS STATEMENT issued October 2010 states there are approx 778 meters. Doesn't change your math much - it still is outrageous what they are doing and how they did it.

Now you know why the board did not want to advertise the annual meeting with the same vigor as last year. Proxies due July 22 and Board candidate applications due July 22. Besides high salaries eating up the financials there were the lawyer fees / lawsuits - about 40K and other....

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 09:47 PM

Unmetered accounts - what they call the "99 accounts" did not get assessed this one time rate. There's probably about 100 or so of these accts. So? Sucks to be a metered acct doesn't it. 😊/k, overall it's not fair and I am one of those 99 accts. Sorry this is happening to you all.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 09:50 PM

At least I hope I'm not affected! Checked mail today and no rate notice! Will let you know if otherwise.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 10:48 PM

Notice of meeting sent 1st week of July. Proxies and Board candidate applications BOTH due July 22 ?? Sending this rate notice 2 days before the annual meeting is "not nice".

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 10:54 PM

Besides high salaries eating up the financials there were the lawyer fees - lawsuits - about 40K and other stuff..... and agree w/deadguy that all board members should attend the meeting.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 06:10 AM

Cheryl, I say NOBODY pays "One time charge" water bill and force this company into bankruptcy and/or force all the board members to resign. Crestline Village Water District really need to take over.

It's about time we open our eyes!

Elected board members for a water company is not the way to go here. Experience, professionalism and efficiency and greatly needed. Not neighbors. In my opinion, Perry

Moderator, we need to voice our opinons here. The Board no longer allows us to attend "our" booard meetings. Please don't sensor us! All of our comments and concerns are our true feelings. We been raped for far too long.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 06:16 AM

Our President of the Water Company, Perry Dahlstrom, probably has the most knowledge of public water,.....but he works for Golden State Water in the high desert. He wants nothing more than, His company, Golden State, to take over. And to me, that's a conflict of interest.

From: Gemini

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:05 AM

"Moderator, we need to voice our opinons here."

Good luck with voicing your opinion in this site. We are not allowed to talk about LACSD in the Lake Arrowhead chatroom. The LACSD discussions are banished to this site's basement where is not seen by most people. I'm surprised this thread and the VOE water company thread haven't been closed down yet by the H2O Nazi.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:15 AM

Got a question here. Just how many of our board members actually live in CPP? In checking the phone book I see that at least two do not. So, why were they elected to our water board to begin with?

BTW, I have an extra pitch fork if anyone needs to borrow it 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:28 AM

Patti, they all live in CPP. That was verified before they took office.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:30 AM

Yes, as per the proxy, To be elected, you need to a be share holder, in "good standing".

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:36 AM

things will be able to change after October 23...IMHO

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:40 AM

Not December 21, 2012?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:51 AM

An annual \$174,000.00 savings for outside contract labor to start.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:56 AM

BreezyMtnLady, why don't you come down to the Post Office today to help rally the troops! I'll be there to help the dead guy.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:58 AM

Ordered mine yesterday... RUSH delivery. I hope it gets here in time!

<http://www.amazon.com/Neiko-Tools-Tine-Pitch-Fork/dp/B002...>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:01 AM

There are too many shareholders who live off the mountain and will not attend the meetings.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:03 AM

It can't stop us from being heard. And after Saturday's NON-meeting, it's time to organize pickets at the water company office and call KCAL 9 for coverage.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:11 AM

Yes there is APATHY but there is also an inaccurate Share Registry. Fact.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:26 AM

I sent an email requesting the presence of our Supervisor, Janice Rutherford and the Alpinhorn News to our community BBQ and shareholders meeting. Maybe some attention is all that's needed.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:36 AM

I emailed ROTW, too!

From: disposition

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:42 AM

Notice of meeting sent 1st week of July. Proxies and Board candidate applications BOTH due July 22 ?? Sending this rate notice 2 days before the annual meeting is "not nice".

Is that even legal? 22 days isn't much time. It's my understanding that there needs to be a certain number of days allowed for response time.. even with billing.. When is the due date on this "assessment"? And is that what they are calling it? I guess I need to go to the PO more often..

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:55 AM

I have rechecked the 2010 - 2011 phone book and see that Mr. Perry Dahlstrom is definitely listed as living in Crestline just as he was in 2009. Therefore, he should not be on the CPP Water Board unless he owns rental property qualifying him to be. Does he?

From: disposition

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:57 AM

Yes Patti, he does. I am listed as Crestline too I think because my PO Box is in Crestline.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:59 AM

Hi D. Thank you for the clarity. 😊 Will we see you at the bowling alley tonight?

From: disposition

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 09:16 AM

Hi Patti! Wish I could but I have prior engagements 😊

I just think it is super highlarious that last year this "shortage" was to be reconciled by a rate increase and this year is to be reconciled by a seperate fee/assessment. I'm not understanding how this can be legal. Mr. Morrison, can you scan and email me a copy of the letter? I have been having problems with the PO so alot of my mail has been returned. I didn't get this letter.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 10:07 AM

From: James E Morrison (thatIdeadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 06:10 AM

Cheryl, I say NOBODY pays "One time charge" water bill and force this company into bankruptcy and/or force all the board members to resign. Crestline Village Water District really need to take over. It's about time we open our eyes!

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:56 AM

BreezyMtnLady, why don't you come down to the Post Office today to help rally the troops! I'll be there to help the dead guy.

Cheryl planned to be at Post Office today.

I will try to join her as well once I clear the morning calendar.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 10:09 AM

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 08:11 AM

Yes there is APATHY but there is also an inaccurate Share Registry. Fact.

Thanks Kacey for affirming that. It is sincerely appreciated.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 01:26 PM

Bring your video cameras to the water meeting too! This should be quite a show.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 01:40 PM

A BIG thank you to all the folks I met at the Post Office today for your support! We'll see you at the Water Company meeting tomorrow and the BBQ too, if you can make it.

The plan is to meet, greet and eat at the BBQ right at noon so we can shuttle in mass over to the meeting. Be sure to stop at the Water Company office and register before going up to the meeting at the Community Center; otherwise your attendance won't count. Tell your neighbors!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 03:59 PM

Had to run home for more fliers!!!! 😊

WOW!!!!

I never knew I'd get get much feed back and meet so many people! Thanks to everyone!!!!

I still have tons of cookies!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 03:59 PM

Round 2.....the rush hour crowd!!!!

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 04:06 PM

Good job, Jim

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 05:45 PM

ATTENTION ON THE HILL! Free tickets for property owners to the Cedarpines Park Municipal Water Company Extravaganza! Get your tickets at the will-call booth in the water company office prior to 1:30pm, tomorrow. This is a limited time offer. Special rules may apply. No cash value. Extra charges may apply for synthetic oil or special engines. Heavy bulk item shipping charges may apply.

Are you mad enough yet about the love letter from the water company yesterday? BE AT THE MEETING and let them know! Now that the Board meetings are in triple top secret status, they schedule a meeting when they think there will be little, if no participation and run amok with administrative salaries and want YOU to cover the cost out of pocket, let you know only 2 days in advance of a gouge cost (one time gouge, until next time,) haven't you had enough yet?

Bring your pitchfork, video camera and bullhorn; pickets and live news coverage to follow.

And yes, Paul, I do understand your wanting to duck out of the meeting tomorrow; I would want to hide too. I hope you honor your commitment to be there.

Stand up for yourself, your property and your interest in not having to bend over... AGAIN.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 05:52 PM

Round 3 of fliers!!! so far, I've handed out 75.....printing 25 more!!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 05:54 PM

Important news info....beep. beep. beep.....there are only 20 cookies left!!!! 😊
Who says you can't have a little fun with "serious business"?? 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 07:35 PM

My duty is done,...for today. I have a couple of fliers left, great neighbors!!!!
See ya tommorrow at the BBQ!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 04:10 AM

Our thread is on the ROTW news! How cool! Email works!

Hopefully, Supervisor Janice Rutherford will bless us with her presence as well as The Alpenhorn Newspaper. They were invited to the BBQ and the Annual Meeting.

From: ThePants

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 10:06 AM

How early can we check in at the Water Company for the Annual Meeting attendance?

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 10:39 AM

Registration at the water dept. starts at noon.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 11:06 AM

AT the July 21st meeting they said they would start registration at 11:30AM.

From: ThePants

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 11:27 AM

TY

From: Ellymay

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 04:19 PM

Afterthought = Where can the 'Bylaws' for the CPP Water Co. meetings be found??
There should be specifics in writing as far as quarum rules, procedures for revisions, etc.

From: Veritas

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 04:38 PM

They have the Blue Book of By-Laws book available at the water office.

From: Monitor

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 05:07 PM

How did the meeting go?

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 05:10 PM

Dear new neighbor on Canyon Vista. Welcome to the mountain and Cedarpines Park. I feel bad that you feel bad about your purchase of that property. I have no details about your transaction but can definitely say you bought a million dollar house with a million dollar view. 10 years from now when people look back they will wish it was only 5K for new water service in CPP. 2 hours to LA or OC 3 hours to Vegas. Your house rocks! Hope you are here many years to enjoy the wise investment you have made.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: ThePants

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 05:21 PM

A lot of yelling and few answers. One thing the Water Company Board should note, if it wasn't obvious...

Last year's meeting? About the same attendance as this year even though there wasn't much hoopla promoting it. They had 2 or 3 rabble rousers. The board's perception at that time was 'ok, so we have a couple of pissed off people but that happens everywhere. Most people are okay with what we're doing.'

This year's meeting? EVERYONE pissed off and NO ONE okay with what they're doing. Should be a wakeup call if nothing else.

The Board said they would 'look into' Crestline Village Water Company acquisition again after the crowd screamed for it. I hope this is not an empty promise.

From: lakeside

Sent: Saturday, July 30, 2011 06:51 PM

Refuse to pay out the a%\$ for their mismanagement and their quote (one time single charge). It wont be the last time we do this if the current situation (people running the company) stay in charge.

From: ThePants

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 09:21 AM

Rename this thread... CPP NON-Water District

The BIG question that was answered with a LIE yesterday after is was asked over and over again...

How do we change the 'quorum' requirement since the current number can never be met?

Ask OVER and OVER again at the Annual Water Meeting. Finally Perry said it's a State law and we can't change it. LIE!

Unfortunately that answer seemed to quell the crowd. I hope you all didn't buy this. Yes, there is a quorum requirement, but that is set by the Corporation. The shareholders could change it... IF we had a quorum to do so. Since that is unattainable, the Board itself would have to change it. Will they do that? NO. They would strip themselves of power if they did; so why would they EVER vote to change what the shareholders WANT to be changed?

Are we tired enough of being lied to? How about one of you retired lawyers up here working pro bono to have a field day with this BS?

And I want to find out how to get the Ron Notsoatallbright discount on surveys and share acquisition.

BTW, Water Board... how's that investigation into having the Crestline village Water Company take over for us? Oh, that's right, no one on the board took notes at the annual meeting, so you've already forgotten. Silly me.

From: Ellymay

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 11:32 AM

Considering there's only 728 meters carrying the whole CPP district it does seem like such a waste and abuse of our money. Adding our little 728 to the thousands of those Crestline Water Company users makes sense...but, wouldn't that put the CPP Water employees out of a job???? I doubt they are going to advocate for being out of work.

I'm un-educated in the politics of the CPP Water Co. but am planning on being there from now on for meetings... and want to check out the by-laws, just because 'I want to know' !

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 12:12 PM

The recent one-time bill of a minimum of \$180 is a mandatory reserve requirement, per the letter.

It's all B.S.!!!!

We've been at a negative for years, Never before has been a request to meet the "Reserve"!

The C.P.A. verified the reserve is NOT required to continue business!!!!

News Flash!!!!!! The board is trying to obtain a loan!!!! The reserve is required to get approved for the new loan!!!!!! Not the Dept of Corporations. The board is secretly negotiating a loan for old debt. Did you know that???? No one else does either! Surprise!! The secret is out Mr. Board Members!!!

Think about it!

If we were -\$200,000 in 2010, increased revenue (double the meter and membership fees) allowed us to get -\$50k. At this rate, We will be out of the red within a 1/2 year and \$100k in the black next year!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 12:15 PM

They want it now for the loan!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 12:42 PM

If they would cash the checks, they would have money maybe. Mines been there a couple weeks or more and still not cashed. Happened that way for the last few months. Is someone playing with the books to make it seem like they have a loss?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 01:03 PM

The C.P.A. only reported financials that were provided to him. None the figures were verified. (More intelligent decision making to spend more money by our board!)

Garbage in....garbage out!!!

The reports looked really good, he was probably was paid a lot to take the Q&A for an hour! It was a little HOT for a while.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 01:45 PM

ThePants:

How do we change the 'quorum' requirement since the current number can never be met?

Ask OVER and OVER again at the Annual Water Meeting. Finally Perry said it's a State law and we can't change it. LIE!

Unfortunately that answer seemed to quell the crowd. I hope you all didn't buy this. Yes, there is a quorum requirement, but that is set by the Corporation. The shareholders could change it... IF we had a quorum to do so. Since that is unattainable, the Board itself would have to change it. Will they do that? NO. They would strip themselves of power if they did; so why would they EVER vote to change what the shareholders WANT to be changed?

CA CORP CODE says 20% for mutual water companies.

Also - if you look at your current bylaws it says NOTHING about a 50% +1 quorum. Before they revised the By-Laws in 1996 the previous By-Laws said 20%. Ooops -

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 01:46 PM

<http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=cor...>

CHAPTER 6. SHAREHOLDERS' MEETINGS AND CONSENTS . 600-605

602. (a) Unless otherwise provided in the articles, a majority of the shares entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, shall constitute a quorum at a meeting of the shareholders, but in no event shall a quorum consist of less than one-third (**or, in the case of a mutual water company, 20 percent**) of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting or, except in the case of a close corporation, of more than a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting.

Except as provided in subdivision (b), the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented and voting at a duly held meeting at which a quorum is present (which shares voting affirmatively also constitute at least a majority of the required quorum) shall be the act of the shareholders, unless the vote of a greater number or voting by classes is required by this division or the articles.

<http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/calawquery?codesection=...>

CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS CODE

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 03:00 PM

Breezy go read what I posted on Gems world for you

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 03:03 PM

James, he get all the books. no company witholds books from the CPA, the CPA perfors an audit before he certifies the books. He faces jail if he falsely certifies books that are not correct. No CPA will certify the books with out this due diligence. Shareholders are given the certified books after he finishes his audit.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 11:07 PM

Kacey, as per the the CPA, the recent reports from the meeting were not audited. It was a reeviw.

He said "I didn't do the research for this report. I prepared the financials report you have, based on what was given to me." It wasn't a tax filing or audited statement. That would have been \$2500 more.

Here's the bottom line. A special elected for a quorum reduction has to be done. Back to 20% (The shareholders Meeting represented approx 25%, half of what is needed to do anything). I think if we focus on getting the metered shareholders to respond and/or assign their proxy, we WILL get the required participation. It's going to take money, time and patience. WE CAN DO IT!!!!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 11:08 PM

a special election....

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 11:13 PM

Isn't there an attorney in CPP, that could offer help/assistance? Without legal consul, we seem to be like "lost sheep" and spinning our wheels.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 31, 2011 11:51 PM

good luck.... getting an audited shareholders list will be your first hurdle, people have been demanding a shareholders audit for a while and not received it yet. Just because someone has a meter doesn't prove they are in *good standings* the full audit of members in good standing has never been reported as completed. Also the accounts who purchased water

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

shares, without meters, and are in good standing are qualified to participate as well. There are quite a few of those accounts. You have to get an audited shareholders list...again, good luck getting that.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 12:04 AM

As back-up, I can get a list of all property owners in CPP. That can be broken down to property owners with a residence. All will be contacted, or at least sent a letter notifying them of a Quorum vote. I believe with enough passion and persistence, it will be and can be done. I love a challenge! Bring it on! 😊

From: PattiM

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 12:08 AM

Go for it Jim.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 12:45 AM

Jim, the office can't give you any of that information due to privacy protection of the shareholders. You will have to go door to door and personally talk to the members of the district.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 12:52 AM

I believe that with the mismanagement of the company that you stand a better chance of getting a quorum now. The company is now hitting people in the pocketbooks at a time when it really hurts.

I suggest a few names of people with addresses to assign proxies too would be a good idea. I haven't sent in my proxies as I know no one in CPP that goes to these meetings, except Ron, and now that he is on the board I don't want to give them to him. I just don't trust the board anymore.

In the back of my mind, I wonder how many proxies assigned to the company ended up in the trash to keep from having a quorum. Just saying.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 12:54 AM

There is something funny going on there. Never in 20 yrs have my checks not been cashed when received. It's now taking a month to hit my bank. hmmm

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 01:01 AM

You can go on PIMS and find out who the legal owners are on all the various properties but that is going to be time consuming. Without a full forensic audit of the accounts in the district to confirm *Good Standing* you still do not have confirmed voter rights. When you read back through what minutes are published, the matter of the forensic audit has never been reported as completed or even requested of the current office. Without the forensic audit, no quorum count can be validated by anybody. *How can dead people return a proxy?* You see the mess now with one board member's shares, and that is current, how hard would it have been to perform a forensic audit of the one board member at the beginning of the first term of their office, yet it comes to the surface only now...after members questioned the validity of the shares or lack of shares. Until there is full transparency of practice and consistency in the staff, real staff not contractors without any interest other than their check, you have no chance.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 01:10 AM

JIM, Some questions to consider as you speak to your membership.

If you have a meter, or a member with non-metered account are your shares in your name?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Are they in the name of the person on the **property deed**?

Is the square footage of the property correctly reflected in the shares issued? *Square footage can be calculated using Adobe Professional area calculation options and the map available on PIMS.*

Are the fees paid and up to date on the account?

If any of those questions can't be answered with an affirmative that membership is not in good standing or not the voting member.

From: disposition

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 01:17 AM

Are we positive that everyone has to be in good standing to vote? I thought it was only mandatory to be in good standing if you were running for or on the board.

Right now is the perfect time for change..

Why do I want to bail out a company (again) that has

- No General Manager (as of Oct)
- An office manager (in training) that will have less then 6 months experience when S&R leave.
- No servicemen (both were fired)

I asked the Board last year when we discussed the rate increase if this was going to be an annual thing to dish out more money and I was told "No".

So I'm done..

If they can't get their \$#!t together after this many years then it's long over due to try something else.

BTW did anyone get a copy of the agenda or minutes from last months meeting to see if, in fact, this "one time" fee was discussed and approved? I want to make sure it was, in fact, a Board Agenda item.

From: marvista

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 01:12 PM

Is the one-time fee assessment LEGAL?

We did NOT even receive our proxy!

Is it true that the ECS team was receiving \$12K salary per month? No wonder CPP Water Co. is in the red!

Very sad state of affairs.

All we ask for is quality water at a reasonable price!

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 05:56 PM

ok, boys and girls...

and you too Ron_CCCCCCCCP

Youtube video is being posted AS WE SPEAK.

someone has come forward with video tape of the comments from the annual meeting that you have labeled vocally

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

incoherent, theatrical and self serving.

don't be afraid... even when this goes to the local news. And even if it goes viral on the web. Wait, scratch that first part. Be afraid. Be VERY afraid.

From: lakeside

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:06 PM

Please post link to the You Tube video. And DO NOT PAY THE EXTRA MONIES.

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:09 PM

link is upcoming

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:15 PM

Here you go, gang. Enjoy!

These comments were labeled by the Cedarpine Park Mutual Water Company Vice President of the Board of Directors, Ron NoSoBright as vocally incoherent, self serving and theatrical.

Share this link with everyone you know! <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcTSHDBUgLk>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:30 PM

Yep!!! That's what I saw! Nice Video!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:39 PM

Eye opening video! Did anyone get an vasoline. We're are being rightiously sc##wed. 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:44 PM

I love Geminis world dot net. I got to talk to RONCCCP! We might have a sit down in a week. He's very, very busy.

From: kbradshaw

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:45 PM

You guys need to get the name of that man who works for the water company in OC and put HIM on your water board.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 06:53 PM

We need to dump the water company. Make that guy a cheescake every birthday, Xmas, St Patrick's Day, Ground Day, Arbor day, and even for his mother-in-laws birthday and tell him when the home-brew is ready!!! 😊

He's got a spunk a like!

From: kbradshaw

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 07:00 PM

It's not just spunk but he sounds knowledgeable.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 07:04 PM

He wasn't at the BBQ 2 hrs earlier like most, eatin' & drinkin', like some of us. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 07:09 PM

I'm not allow to speak for "us"!

A fine gentleman corrected me at the meeting.

Sorry sir, you were sitting on the wrong side of the table!!!!,..... in my opinion.

From: kbradshaw

Sent: Monday, August 1, 2011 07:10 PM



From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 06:56 AM

I think the only way to get rid these crooks are to have a Special election for Crestline Village Water to take over. Allow ample time to obtain the list of shareholders and contact EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEM!

And what ever it costs, PAY IT AND LETS MOVE ON!(Something about a \$600k loan that is payable at the time of any change of ownership. Pant made a point! Any existing loan can be re-negotiated.)

The intial move will be painful, but worth it!

There would not be any administration costs, ever (currently costing us \$14,500/mth). And we would finally have some peace around here! Not to mention a professional, educated, experienced team.

I know we can do it!!!!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 07:53 AM

Jim, you need to think the idea of CVWD through...it will cost a lot more money then the one time charge you just received. The CPPMWC homeowners will be stuck with a large assesment for the cost of bringing the district up to their standards.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 08:08 AM

Get your core organization together, get your membership list together, then persue a Certified outside forensic audit of the membership files in the district. You will never have a valid quorum until you really know who can and cannot vote on behalf of the district.

Support your CPPMWC employees with gusto, because if they are run off like everybody else, you will be slammed with another year of either the current contractor or someone new.

It's pretty obvious that the current entity is trying to paint the district into a corner so they are begged to stay and save all of you.

There isn't anyone on the CPPMWC who has enough experience to take over.

Wasn't too long ago, the contractor threatened to quit, and guess what, they stayed with a raise, do you remember that? Don't be fooled by all the smoke and mirrors.

Read the published minutes and ask yourself if you are getting what you paid for? President of the BOD states in February minutes that 2 1/2 persons are to be provided by the contractor, salaries and or wages paid by the contractor... are they doing that? How long have they not been doing their part?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Before all the minutes are taken off the website again, read up on what you have at your public disposal.

The contractors read this site too, every day, several times a day, they squeel like pigs when people post the truth and deny, deny, deny... speak up and speak your mind, clearly and with factual details that will inform your membership base.

Everybody knows what is going on in CPPMWC, but screaming in the dark isn't going to fix it...organization and a grassroots effort will do it. If the contractors wont certify the membership the membership can do it on their own. All records are publicly available with a little effort, the real owners can be tracked down and certified.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 11:29 AM

The water co is looking for a new GM.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/General%20Manager.pdf>

If you read the requirements does that sound like the current GM has that skill set? He spends more time babysitting his other half then taking care of the field operations.

At the June or July monthly board meeting - PUBLIC COMMENT only session - the BOD said they got a lot of applications for the GM position.

Then the BOD's and GM snickered about the applications such as Applicants were supposedly over-amping their qualifications etc. If compared to the current GM would that really be much of a stretch? I would be real surprised if the ECS duo is gone in Oct. ECS - RG has been uncooperative in training her replacement since she came on board in March 2009. The former office clerk had the chops to take over the office but ECS let her go. The current office clerk/accountant has potential as well. But being smarter than RG is a real no-no in that company. Hope she is able to survive before ECS let's her go.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 11:31 AM

Kacey1:

There isn't anyone on the CPPMWC who has enough experience to take over.

Actually there were people employed earlier this year that had the experience to run the field and the office but ECS left them go! Geez wonder why? Great way to keep their contract alive isn't it.

Support your CPPMWC employees with gusto, because if they are run off like everybody else, you will be slammed with another year of either the current contractor or someone new.

How true. There should have been something in the ECS contract that required they TRAIN personnel and then LEAVE. That should have happened within 1yr of ECS coming on board in March 2009. The BOD has allowed this unqualified duo to run the company and run up the debt to all.

BTW - are they really contractors or employees?

If they are contractors then don't the Shareholders have a right to review the contract?? The Board answer? NO way! That is confidential. Wonder why?

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 11:34 AM

Kacey1:

Get your core organization together, get your membership list together, then persue a Certified outside forensic audit of the membership files in the district. You will never have a valid quorum until you really know who can and cannot

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

vote on behalf of the district.

Remember last yr when Ron kept boasting about getting the Share Registry validated? Smoke and mirrors indeed.

From: Roncpp

Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2010 02:34 PM

The number of shares in good standing varies because some are cancelled and new ones are issued. The audit conducted over the past few months was designed to determine the precise number of shares in good standing for this precise reason.

Not only had this not been done for decades, the record keeping was abysmal. The audit is essentially complete and the accurate number of shares will be announced at the meeting as status changes occur right up to the meeting.

9-18-10 - CPPMWC Annual Shareholder's Meeting

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/68873?page=1>

The Share Registry is currently bogus. Agree that a full forensic audit of the membership files is needed.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 11:37 AM

Kacey1:

Read the published minutes and ask yourself if you are getting what you paid for? President of the BOD states in February minutes that 2 1/2 persons are to be provided by the contractor, salaries and or wages paid by the contractor...

are they doing that? How long have they not been doing their part?

I was at that meeting and my jaw dropped when they said that.

All of a sudden there's a 1/2 person included in the ECS contract deal?

Where was that 1/2 person? Was it that ECS relative who was in the "family way" last summer? How convenient. Who's payroll was she on? ECS or the water company?

Unbelievable - and more despicable is that the BOD's know the details and still allow the financial blood-letting.

Before all the minutes are taken off the website again, read up on what you have at your public disposal.

How true. They pulled the 2009 minutes off the company website. I submitted a public request to have the BOD's repost them for the shareholders.

Ole Ron snipped at me saying, "You already have them".

See the minutes for his official response:

Feb 17, 2011 Regular Meeting - Page 5 - Public Comment - Item 3

<http://www.cppmwc.com/2-17-11%20Regular%20Meeting%20Minut...>

NOT COST EFFECTIVE to keep Shareholders informed??

Yup - that's how they want to keep it too.....

From: SteveG

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 11:49 AM

Personally attacking someone in this forum that cannot respond is apparently now allowed on ROTW. I've got no dog in this pathetic fight, but what makes it OK for anonymous posters that are unhappy with their water company to log into this forum and make personal (and so far, unsubstantiated) attacks on members of their Board of Directors?

Sure, discuss the issues, but leave your ax at home and grind it there.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: chefrandy

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 01:22 PM

I would hope everyone calms down and considers our options rationally. In the 45 years that I have been around we have only had to buy one additional water share about 25 years ago, other than regular bills that don't come so out of line. Let's take our time and maybe get some expert advice?

From: chefrandy

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 02:20 PM

some=seem, oops.

From: ThePants

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 03:44 PM

Double Crestine Village water bills is not out of line? Hmmm...

From: chefrandy

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 04:25 PM

Hi thepants, I am not familiar with Crestline water rates. Is that for the same time frame and water usage? I just don't want to rush into anything. I'll check with friends in Crestline.

From: ThePants 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 05:00 PM

I haven't personally seen the Crestline bills... but I know someone who gets one. She does not severely conserve water as we do here. Just normal, if I need water, I use it. Her bill is \$40 a month. We pay that just in membership here without using a drop of water and then meter fees on top of that. I'm guessing we pay so much money to fund \$14.5k in salaries every month to 2 1/2 employees. Crestline has county employees and they are not-for-profit is my understanding. I'm sure they also don't make special deals for board members.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 05:06 PM

chefrandy:*I am not familiar with Crestline water rates...I'll check with friends in Crestline.*

Quicker to check the company websites and compare. Hope this info helps you out. 😊

Crestline Village Water District Water Rates effective JULY 2004

<http://www.cvwater.com/Rates.htm>

Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Company Water Rates effective MAR 2010

<http://www.cppmwc.org/rates.pdf>

From: chefrandy

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 05:34 PM

Thanks, I am not opposed to your ideas, just don't want to "give the farm away". Since we own it, couldn't we take back control and get a better deal than just bankrupting the company? Just thinking out loud.

From: chefrandy

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 05:38 PM

Also, how do we know our rates will equal theirs? What recourse would we have if they (Crestline) say they need to charge a \$1000 assessment for pipe upgrades or some nonsense? Just trying to get educated about this.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 06:46 PM

chefrandy:

The "let's merge with CVWD" rally has been bantered about for many years. I concur with your "just thinking out loud" comments. I am concerned with the "unintended consequences" of such a merger and would like full disclosure of what to expect as well.

Meanwhile, getting better transparency of the water co operations and finances is the first step. More concerned voices stepping up and participating and demanding answers from the BOD is paramount to making them respond. The BOD has been ignoring the few voices in the past because they could. Increase the number of voices and perhaps the BOD will listen and respond.

We have no protection or viable assistance from any agency: PUC, Dept of Corp. Shareholder involvement (voting power) and media attention are the best tools available at this time for controlling or influencing the actions of a mutual water co, in this case the BOD's.

Once a Share Registry is properly validated will we know our true voting power.

Just thinking out loud with you. 😊

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 07:48 PM

In just this fiscal year's budget, the savings of benefits and salary budgeted need to be considered.

If the GM/ADMIN salary is split 70/30 then not having a current GM on payroll at CPPMWC has an accumulated savings of **\$23,381.32**.

If the GM/ADMIN salary is split 60/40 there is an accumulated savings of **\$20,876.32**.

You can add the 3 months of not paying medical benefits as budgeted on the CPPMWC budget as the current CPPMWC employees are not eligible for benefits and you have an additional accumulated savings of **\$2,923.16**.

So to date the water district has saved approximately **\$26,304.49** on the assumption that the budget expected to split the GM/ADMIN salary allowance 70/30. Or **\$23,799.49** using the assumption of a 60/40 GM/ADMIN split.

Either way it is money that has not been spent out of the budget, as projected and should be assessed against the shortfall as published.

From: [chefrandy](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 09:43 PM

I admit I've gotten lazy about attending the meetings. I'll try to get out of work to attend the next one.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2011 10:46 PM

chefrandy:

Monthly board meetings used to last about 2hrs+ but since the BOD will no longer discuss company business: financials, old/new business in front of the shareholders you will be asked to leave at 8pm.

7-8pm - 3rd Thurs of the month is the monthly Board meeting for Public Comment only. Next meeting is August 18 at the Crestline Village Water facility.

Don't beat yourself up about being lazy - life happens. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 04:07 PM

Another option is forfeit all of our worthless shares and ask San Bernardino County Special District to SAVE us!

We are in San Bernardino County. We are in Special District 2, this is what they do.....

<http://www.specialdistricts.org/2/admin/faq/>

It'd be interesting for a side-side comparison of Crestline Village/San Bernardino Co. Special District of our costs for a take-over,.....if either wants us!

From: chefrandy

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 05:58 PM

Thanks, BreezyMntLady. Jim, that looks like a possible way to go.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:12 PM

I hope anything is an option.....

I'm probably gonna have to look around for recyclables, just sayin'!.....or make my life easier on both of us and fling your beer cans in my yard after you pick up the mail. Instead of throwin them out along Waters. 😊

From: xoxo

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:13 PM

You might want to talk to anyone at Crestline Sanitation District before you say the words "Special Districts" out loud. I believe the "administrative fees" alone that we were being charged (before we broke away and regained our freedom last year) were \$1 million a year.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:15 PM

Randy, I've known you forever it seems. I see you've made 62 posts.....ever! I think they where all on the thread thread.

From: Forget_Me_Not

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:15 PM

xoxo, YEP! 😊

From: xoxo

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:16 PM

And I mean as far as what you can expect once you're under Special Districts control, not that CSD has anything to do with water companies.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:19 PM

We're each responsible for our own poop! Most of us! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:52 PM

I did an average of the 8 water areas in the Special District.....

<http://www.specialdistricts.org/2/water/rates/1011/default...>

CPP

Average monthly bill.....\$35 \$42

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

100 cubic feet of water...\$3.75 \$5.75

You do the math. Multiply by the number of meters, 775, now multiply that by 12 months.

Now it's big bucks!!!!

I'd love to have Spring Valley's water rates \$12/mth-\$0.90 (cents!!!) per 100 cubic feet. They're giving it away!!!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 06:55 PM

CPP's are \$42/mth and \$5.75 per 100 cubic feet

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:01 PM

I think I got it? My bill showed 737 usage. That's cubic feet, right?

\$42.59 divided by 7.37 (100 cubic feet) = \$5.75ish per 100 cubic feet.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:10 PM

Did you see Oak Hills water rates? \$18/mth, \$1.90 per 100 C.F.

There are the closest to us. I'm sure we have as much water as we do!

Pant! Are you thinkin' what I'm thinkin'!!!!

More \$\$\$\$ for tinis and beer!

From: ragdollwill

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:11 PM

hey , not to hijack this thread Dead Guy , but i volunteered to help you build a ramp for someone , but i lost the thread , are you / we gonna do it , do you want / need help ?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:22 PM

Yeah! I went, told them to buy 1 sheet of plywood, 7 2x6s. Piece of cake, I'd build for free cause Gramps was in a wheel chair, kinda. I haven't heard a peep. They had a better truck than mine.

From: ragdollwill

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:29 PM

cool , we if you hear back from them or need help (im kind of a good deed doer too) just throw out a hail in "all communities"

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:35 PM

Okie Dokie 😊

From: Coral

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 07:56 PM

Now this is very, very funny when one of your board members constantly told Cedar Glen that only a Mutual Company would work and everyone in CG was nuts to consider Special Districts. Don't you listen to your board??? 😊
Seriously, the people in CG pay about \$150 a month but a lot of that is for loans and whatever they can throw in there. Plus there has been so little rebuilding that a few are paying the bill that was expected to be for a lot more people. Good luck!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 3, 2011 08:38 PM

Per the Special district's Cedar Glen Water web site, it's for badly needed upgardes. They point that out. And only 3 people live there to share the bill. 😊

We have had new lines installed 97-98? Just the meters are old school, manually read.

How long can we allow the beatings to continue? More money, more money, more money!!!!

From: OLDGUY

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 12:15 AM

Dead guy - DO NOT even consider SD. We in CG are paying an astronomical amount for water. If you have a meter, it is \$75/mo plus usage at \$5.94 per 100 cu ft. No meter flat rate is \$122.60/mo. They are charging \$968.000/yr for administration fees. Not quite a million. They are unresponsive and raise fees when ever they feel like it. We also are charged on our property tax bill for loans and improvements. ALL the improvements have been where there are NO HOUSES. (Think future benefit for developers that are buying up all the turned back properties)

Stick with what you have. Get enough proxies from the existing shareholders and dump the board you now have. Change the quorum requirement to 20%.

It will take time and effort, but you will eventually get rid of these egomaniacs that have gotten in power and replace them with people who actually care about CPP.

From: meanharp

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 12:35 AM

Oldguy i don't know you but you have alot of wisdom I see.

From: OLDGUY

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 01:02 AM

Aw, shucks. TY

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 06:38 AM

Oldguy, I hear ya!

Your rates are stated why "due to the high delinquency rate". Your neighbors aren't paying!!!! Cause they're gone!

<http://www.specialdistricts.org/2/water/rates/1011/Rate%2...>

Of all 8 water service areas, Yours was the worse! Feel bad for ya buddy!

From: Carol

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 09:18 AM

I just started reading this thread, but I doubt that our situation in CG would compare to your situation in CPP in relation to having SP take over your water system (unless you have a mass exodus of residents).

We got screwed because there is no consumer base and a crappy infrastructure. I think we would have been screwed no matter *who* or *what* was running the water system.

I can't even begin to tell you what it was like having Arrowhead Manor running the whole thing. Horrible!

From: Carol

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 09:25 AM

Sorry, I meant SD, not SP for Special Districts.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: disposition

Sent: Thursday, August 4, 2011 10:40 AM

I will in no way support Special Districts taking us over. I used to work for Special Districts and know what kind of crooks they are. Just ask these questions..

Why did Pinion Hills/Phelan water district pull away from SD?

Why did Helendale water pull away from SD?

Why did Crestline Sanitation pull away??

All within a year or two of eachother.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 06:18 AM

Great questions! Let's ask.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 07:29 AM

There is an article about our CPP Annual Shareholder's Meeting in the Courier that came out on Wednesday. Next to the last page.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 07:55 AM

And?????..... you gonna make me buy it?! The suspense is killing me!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 08:05 AM

I looked on line (I'm not in CA) and I couldn't find the article 😞

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 08:53 AM

Jim and Kibcpp, I don't know how to cut and past or I'd put the article on here. Don't feel that it was all that special anyway. Jim, if you'd like to drop over I will give the article to you because I certainly don't need it.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 03:31 PM

what do you mean you don't need it??? We ALL need this info however inconsequential it may seem. Disclosure of what is going on here is what we need and it certainly won't be coming from sonnyboy or the board.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2011 04:44 PM

I don't need it because I've read it! SO THERE 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 08:13 AM

Something good is happening here, I am being threatened by the powers that be for posting public access documents and opinions formed from those public access documents... looks like the worm is squirming..

Didn't know that information on the internet, was out of line... too bad. To date, I have found arrest record of GM, Posted Minutes of CPPMWC BOD meetings, Posted proposed 2011-2012 Budget, oh yah, I watched a posted video of the Shareholder's meeting, posted on ROTW and freely available for viewing... I'm guilty... you bet.

People have posted their experiences with the CPPMWC, and I read them too... I'm guilty... you bet.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 08:21 AM

for the record I made a formal request to the BOD and blind copied a valid witness who they would have to know would be the last person I would ask to be a witness for support of the time stamp, just in case the office decides to dump my emails, and keep it from being seen by the BOD.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 08:21 AM

BOD did you get my email?

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 03:30 PM

Dear CPP Water,

We seem to have mis-judged my finances this month. We have several loans which are due regardless. As a result we, the head of households, have come to the conclusion over several meetings that you are not allowed to be a part of that we have no choice but to pass these mishaps down to you. Here are your options: Forward the entire amount of \$192.00 in one lump sum to us within the next 60 days or, if its easier, you can pay in four installments. Which ever you decide, you won't get squat in return.

Sincerely,

Wonderfully happy homeowners in CPP who think thier water company is the best thing since sliced bread.

--- Really?! What are our choices here? We have been out of town and came back to this nasty 'ugent' notice in our box. What if this becomes one bad habit? What if we cannot get rid of the current contractors since it seems as though anyone with any brains gets fired. I too have seen the GM's dirty past - good thing he's a buddy of our beloved BOD president so warrant recieving a \$240,000 annual pay.

My major concern - Why, if we as shareholders OWN a percentage of the company, are kept in the dark with regards to its financials, legal issues, etc. Why are the minutes and audio recordings of the meetings altered? WHY is no one angry about this? Last but not least - HOW do we organize to stop this nasty cycle? Thoughts?

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 03:31 PM

My apologies, in my frustration I forgot to spell check and proof read. <sigh>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 03:59 PM

No apologies necessary!!!!

I always pro-read AFTER my post. Sometimes, I correct myself, somestimes, I don't give a care! 😊

Our BOD has been banned from posting here!!!!.....some of them!

You won't see any explanations, comments, concerns addressed by the BOD here. This is where we scream!!!!!! All one-sided! Not much fun! It would be nice to have "ONGOING MEETING" online. Ain't going to happen!

What to do? What to do? I'm rolling pennies..... 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 04:02 PM

I ALWAYS proof-read AFTER I post!!!! 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 04:04 PM

Rolling pennies and double posting, it would seem. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 8, 2011 04:11 PM

Well!!!! Th home-brew was kegged today at 8am, I had an extra gallon or so that wouldn't fit into the keg. I put it on ice and drank it! Kinda flat, but still had a kick! 😊

Not to brag, but, I sure can make a fine home-brew! 😊 I took a poll, 2 people agreed! 😊 200% POSITIVE RESPONSE!!!! Oh! Wait! Pant , You were there! I saw you! You and Manuel were the 200%!!!! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 06:54 AM

NoOther, please let us know what they said. I'll bet you a dollar, no one will reply.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 06:58 AM

I only have about \$25 in pennies! Anyone want to sell theirs? I need \$150-160 worth! 😊
I'd like to keep my silver coins for an emergency, another one-time bill, perhaps.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 07:35 AM

Indian Paul...did you get the email I sent to CPPMWC? I haven't received any confirmation that anyone got my email. Are you getting emails from anyone. I have sent several and no answers.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 07:37 AM

Indian Paul, I just need an acknowledgment of receipt no answer here is required as to the email content, that has to be handled officially. I just don't think the BOD get's any of the emails sent to their individual accounts at the office. Need to know if they are being dumped or if you are actually getting any of my mail. Thanks

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 07:53 AM

Kacey, I'll bet you a dollar, too! No one will reply.

From: IndianPaul

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 08:10 AM

kacey1, My emails are not forwarded to me, I have to check at the office or email it to my full name plus 1 @verizon.net, thanks Paul...

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 08:24 AM

Paul, email bounces back from you personal email... email me at rosecpp at gmail dot com, I will forward you the email I sent to the BOD. Thanks

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 08:24 AM

IndianPaul: *My emails are not forwarded to me,*

Paul thanks for confirming that. I've sent emails to the Board at the cppmwc.com email addys and never rec'd a reply either. Is your GM filtering the mail? That's just not right.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 06:30 PM

Did I win \$2????? 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 06:32 PM

Jim, not yet... Paul responded.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2011 07:03 PM

Double Dang! I need to keep rollin' pennies! 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 04:23 PM

Filtering email facilitates robbing from the poor and giving to the greedy.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 04:53 PM

Kinda like Robin Hood!!! But, in an evil way!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:09 AM

Well Jim... only hoped that my emails would get a response, didn't count on it... its been over 72 hours since my first email was sent and haven't heard a word. Guess they didn't get them, so 18 days and counting Jim...you may win the bet afterall. **Labor Code Section 226(b) Effective January 1, 2003, Labor Code Section 226, subdivisions (c) and (f) Effective January 1, 2003, an employer who receives a written or oral request from a current or former employee to inspect or copy his or her payroll records shall comply with the request as soon as practicable, but no later than 21 calendar days from the date of the request. A failure by an employer to permit a current or former employee to inspect or copy his or her payroll records within the aforementioned 21 calendar day period entitles the current or former employee to recover a penalty from the employer in a civil action before a court of competent jurisdiction.**

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:10 AM

Cheryl and I will be near the post office again for petition signing on Friday! Everyone needs to sign the petition! A formal complaint is being filed. Specifically, for favoring some shareholders. Not all shareholders were sent the one-time bill. And, I'm sure the quorum % will be investigated as well. Supposedly, The DOC has a lower quorum % on record, contrary to we have been told. It's going to be interesting to see what develops from this.

FREE COOKIES FOR ALL PETITION SIGNERS!!!!!!! 😊😊😊

I'd offer home-brew,....but, you know!!!! It's for the BBQ at my house this Saturday. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:15 AM

Kacey, are asking for your previous payroll records? Don't have your old paystubs? Not sure where you are going with this. We all know the record keeping at the water co. has been "shady" at best. Good Luck!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:17 AM

Jim, I know you're joking about the cookies because you already told us you can't offer any type of incentive to sign a petition...but you know that...the cookies are just there for everybody just like last time, so stop teasing me like that.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:22 AM

Yes Jim, I have lots of pay stubs and lots of time card copies that accompanied each pay stub, but the official copies will support the case to the labor board and reduce their penalties. So I requested them, twice now, and haven't heard a word in response to either request. I have two time-stamp witnessed responses on the requests so I can submit with our without the compliance of the office. If the labor board has to request them, it increases the penalties on the office.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:26 AM

Paul said his emails aren't forwarded to him, he's only been on the BOD for a year, these forward email things must be very complicated...I wonder if the other BOD have their emails forwarded to their personal email addresses when you email their published email addresses?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:26 AM

Should be a piece o' cake for you. Best of luck.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 07:29 AM

Jim, will you be buying rolled pennies there too? I may have to empty my piggy bank and roll them up for you if you are?

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 09:53 AM

Jim, where did you get the quorum info? Kacey I think "in writing" implies certified letter.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 06:14 PM

cp-maynard, tried that...came back undelivered...they didn't pick up the mail and it came back.

From: OLDGUY

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 06:42 PM

kacey, have you tried personal delivery to the office? A process server will deliver anything for a fee. You could also have any disinterested third party over the age of 18 deliver it and fill out a form stating when, where, and to whom it was delivered.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 05:52 AM

"Persistence wears down resistance!" You are cordially invited. Come on by the Post office today and sign the petition.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 04:29 PM

I came by the Post Office. I greeted Mr. Morrison. I DID NOT get a cookie. NOW I'M PISSED!

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 05:33 PM

Can this post count as my signature on the petition since I don't live full time in CPP?

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 06:06 PM

prolly not.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 08:18 PM

17 days and counting...

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 08:49 PM

Rhondalee: *Can this post count as my signature on the petition since I don't live full time in CPP?*

Check your email for "other possible ways" to sign the petition 😊

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 07:15 AM

We got about 50 signatures yesterday! 😊

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 07:18 AM

Pant, if you had walked next door from the post office, you could've got some cookies! Shirley and Rocky would have wanted some. You chose poorly! Snob!

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 07:42 AM

Bully

From: [badjerjim](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 08:25 AM

Walk the eat and cookies nextdooring of. Always the time of Post Office, I always say. And wrong there is: the CPP Water District is the bully of. Now I find the tree and water on it, is thinking me the water district!

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 08:55 AM

Wow, I have been away for a couple of weeks and come back to see the outrage over the water company fee's. Big question for Jim, are you serving up cookies at the post office today?

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 11:13 AM

Outrage? According to Ron_CCCP there is no outrage; only a few uninformed individuals who are confused and have 'ginned up outrage.' (quote is from Gemini's World.)

We'll see how long it takes Ron_CCCCP to pull that post.

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 11:18 AM

Do you know when the petition will be out today to sign?

From: [CP_Maynard](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 11:30 AM

1. What is the petition for?
2. Who will get the petition?
3. Are all the names gathered in the legal format?
4. What part of the Department of Corporations guidelines asks for a petition?
5. We have been misinformed about the quorum rule? Show us the link or the paperwork or something!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

I'm not excited about the current situation but this all seems like USMC picking up cigarette butts and scraping grass outta the cracks in the sidewalks to kill time and keep the troops occupied.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 12:20 PM

CPM: *I'm not excited about the current situation but this all seems like USMC picking up cigarette butts and scraping grass outta the cracks in the sidewalks to kill time and keep the troops occupied.*

Difference:

WHEN you were a Marine they owned your ass and they operated under the USMJ to control your conduct. You had no recourse and no petitioning rights. Military life is simple and less complex.

Citizens and Shareholders of CPPMWC operate under civilian guidelines. Ugh!

Welcome to the civilian world!!

Sign / Don't sign. You have the freedom to choose. It's a beautiful day, don't fret & stress it away. 😊

: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 12:33 PM

CP, I appreciate your thoughts on this, but do you see another way of making a change to our situation? We will never have a Quorum based on the 50% plus 1 that has been used by the board. Have you enjoyed the new 1 hour open forum before being dismissed? How about the ongoing issues on Vista Canyon and water to our park? Board does not offer much input. What about the quest for a new (permanent)GM. I would like to hear what they are looking for and what salary they are discussing. Does this have to be a full time position for such a small community? Will a petition solve the issues above, probably not, but it may get the board to accept that we are tired of the status quo and make an effort to resolve these issues.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 01:04 PM

Hi lazytoad! Some quick answers to offer:

How about the ongoing issues on Vista Canyon and water to our park? Board does not offer much input.

From the annual meeting, The Board stated Canyon Vista issue is over - at least with the original principals of the property. New owners have obtained the property.

What about the quest for a new (permanent)GM. I would like to hear what they are looking for and what salary they are discussing. Does this have to be a full time position for such a small community?

<http://www.cppmwc.org/employment.html>

CPPMWC employment positions posted:

Water Utility Operator - Posted on 6-21-2011

General Manager - Posted on 6-21-2011

Notice on the GM position document it states 02/28/11 the position was determined available - But was only posted on 6-21-2011. <http://www.cppmwc.org/General%20Manager.pdf>

Last meeting - July 21, the Board and GM smirked at the submitted applications submitted so far. GM contract supposedly ends OCT 2011. They better make a decision soon at least as a courtesy to the NEW incoming GM. IMO.



ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 02:01 PM

Thank you Breezy for the insight, I love the competitive wages. Why not list it upfront and see what qualified individuals come forward. Went by the Post office, is the petition posted somewhere?

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 04:46 PM

lazytoad - right now, the petition is at Jim's BBQ - couple houses up from the post office

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 07:15 AM

15 days and counting

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 09:11 AM

OK. No answers to my questions.

Just do me a favor at the meeting.

PLEASE LEARN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A QUESTION and..... A COMMENT

and when it's time for QUESTIONS ask them

when it's time for COMMENTS make them

but don't waste everyone's time by GRANDSTANDING

I've given several homework assignments that have been ignored but I'll try again. READ Robert's Rules of Order

<http://www.andr.org/RA/rrules.pdf>

From: PattiM

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 09:41 AM

I'm glad that someone around here knows about Robert's Rules of Order. Thanks 😊 It would be wise to check out the proper procedure for Executive Board meetings as well. Meaning both the Board of Directors AND the public!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 09:52 AM

I have re-read the **Terms of Service for ROTW.com** and could not find a single statement as to the required use of Robert's Rules of Order for posting by members of ROTW.com ... It is my guess, PattiM, we are in the clear here.

From: PattiM

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 10:00 AM

We are talking about the CPP Water District here. Not ROTW.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 10:42 AM

I have re-read the Terms of Service for ROTW.com and could not find a single statement as to the required use of Robert's Rules of Order for posting by members of ROTW.com ... It is my guess, PattiM, we are in the clear here.

I made an assumptive leap regarding the posts here, and did not realize you were speaking as to the **Robert's Rules of Order** being utilized by the members of the BOD of CPPMWC or the attendees of said public meetings.

I stand corrected and implore your forgiveness on my untimely post.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 01:28 PM

PLEASE LEARN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A QUESTION and..... A COMMENT.... Robert's Rules of Order

Hey there! Now you know what it feels like to be a shareholder attending a Board meeting. Ask a question and get superfluous comments.

.... *don't waste everyone's time by GRANDSTANDING*

If you are referring to the BOD's in meeting w/shareholders then I concur.

RROO is not practiced in this company and There is no official CPPMWC policy re: RROO. This is not an HOA - it's a non-profit Mutual Water. Research the differences if you are so inclined.

Familiar with the Brown Act? It's an interesting read if you have the time. Teaser question for you - Does the Brown Act apply to CPPMWC?

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 01:40 PM

Hi CPM - Personally I did not respond to your questions because they seemed disingenuous and "baiting" considering the answers to Q1 & Q2 were addressed in the thread.

SEE: that1deadguy response posted: August 11, 2011 07:10 AM

As for Q3 & Q4. Perhaps YOU can research that and post your findings for all.

As for Q5 - Perhaps YOU can research & share your knowledge regarding the Quorum history controversy.

To help you with YOUR homework assignment I can offer the following to give you a head-start.

1. Research the by-laws revision history and meeting minutes.

a) Hint: Current Bylaws of CPPMWC are as of 1996.

Can you Name the previous dates the By-Laws were amended / created?

b) Review the meeting minutes -- you do have copies don't you?? 😊

Hint: Start perusing from the 1960's to present.

Warning: Reading minutes is like watching paint dry, but can be useful if you have trouble falling to sleep. Enjoy that journey. 😊

2. Review CA Secretary of State filing history of the governing docs.

1923 - Article of Incorporation filed.

1942 - Certificate of Amendment of Article of Incorporation filed.

1967 - Certificate of Amendment of Article of Incorporation - to extend existence of corporation filed.

1973 - Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve filed.

1974 - Certificate of Revocation of Voluntary Election to Wind Up and Dissolve filed.

I and hopefully others look forward to whatever knowledge or opinions you can impart. More minds looking at this is a good thing.

Good luck and Thank you in advance for all the time you will invest toward this worthwhile assignment and be sure to *Show us the link or the paperwork or something!* More importantly, enjoy the assignment. Knowledge is Power 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2011 06:57 AM

14 days and counting.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2011 06:59 AM

\$65 in rolled pennies.....still counting! 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 06:25 AM

13 days to go....

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 06:37 AM

11 days til the BBQ! OOooooohhhhhhhwwwwww!!! 😊

From: Eagle

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:31 AM

No other but me! Angry? You bet & I have signed all the petitions & I hope you have as well as every one that got the URGENT letter.

Thank you to Cheryl, Jim, Brezzy, Mt. Lady & every one that is working in behalf of the C.P.P.W.D. shareholders. If the water district needs more money why doesn't the water district employees take a cut in salary, also, why doesn't the BOD sell the vacant lots the water company owns and have no need for? (They tried to give away the lots on Church street a few years ago to Terry Mabile, office manager at the time.) Then we would not have to pay taxes on this property.

Why are the monthly meetings held at the Crestling Water Company? There is a perfectly good building at the C.P.P. Community Center were the meetings were held at one time and this is much more convenient for the shareholders to attend. How much does it cost the C.P.P.W.D. shareholders to rent this building for one hour a month?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 07:47 PM

I heard \$80 a month.....that was 2 years ago, probably more now. They even buy cookies, snacks and drinks from the general acct funds to satisfy their gluttony. Did you know that? Any expense they can come up with, they throw it in there.

If our board was "CONCERNED" about the \$\$\$\$!!!! They'd cancel that a long time ago and volunteer their homes for the so called "Meetings".

Everyone else volunteers. So should they. The host should provide food and drinks on their own accord.

Just charge a ONE-TIME BILL, that'll pay for it! Their answer for mis-guided spending and favoritism!

This is exactly what brought us here, NOT SO BRIGHT BOARD MEMBERS who will spend every dollar YOU AND I give them! Participation in ANYTHING is the key! COWBOY UP!

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 07:58 PM

This adds a good point - WHY do the BOD need snacks? I know for a fact that they DO order/purchase sweet goodies for thier private meetings as well. Also, when is the petition going to surface again? I need to sign that baby!

From: ccmb

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 08:03 PM

Me too! I'll sign! We are on a fixed income and I just can't afford this kind of so-called leadership!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 08:22 PM

Email me. I'll forward the petition to you. Please print it and ask all of your neighbors to sign it. If you are unable to print the document, just call me. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 08:25 PM

Have you seen the BOD? They don't need cookies! Just sayin!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 08:29 PM

BTW, we have over 100 signatures! More people then you'd ever get to show up at an ANNUAL SHAREHOLDERS MEETING! with or with out food/prizes. 😊😊😊

From: CP_Maynard 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:20 PM

\$100,000 engineering bill because the Sawpit Canyon tanks are sliding down the hill. Cowboy up! you live in the mountains and running a water company ain't cheap. The ONLY thing that will make ANY difference is a quorum. THEN, and only then the ONLY thing you may accomplish is electing someone new. Gosh golly gee whiz that sure has paid off in Washington DC.

From: CP_Maynard 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:23 PM

....and my band The Original Rat Bastards will be playing at the VFW shortly after the meeting from 4PM to 7PM. Stop by and support the Vets. BBQ, raffles, prizes.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:45 PM

\$100,000 engineering bill because the Sawpit Canyon tanks are sliding down the hill. Cowboy up! you live in the mountains and running a water company ain't cheap.

Check out the Approved FISCAL BUDGET for May 1, 2011 to April 30, 2012.

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/20110611_ApprovedBudget...

\$100,000 for Sawpit Canyon? Don't see anything budgeted. Maybe you could provide more info WHEN that expense will occur. BTW - How ya doing on that "homework assignment"?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:48 PM

I heard \$80 a month.....that was 2 years ago,....

\$80 a month ??? Interesting! That's not what the BOD's said!!! Numerous times in monthly meetings When asked how much to use the CVWD facility the board stated ZERO!!! Can that \$80/mo expense be tracked?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 09:58 PM

The only entry close to \$100,000 is NOT for the tanks.

\$ 100,200 = GM/ADMIN Pay
<\$118,095> = projected deficit

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 10:06 PM

Here's the thing. Ronald Reagan said "Trust but verify". I personally know Paul Hartman, Don Pederson, Ron Albright and Bill Steiner. These guys live here and are serving the community. Everyone keeps making accusations but never has any evidence. "We're gonna show you at the meeting" turns into nothing. Sick of it.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 11:07 PM

These guys live here and are serving the community.

So what? Many shareholders live here full-time, serve the community and get ZIP! Your guys get compensated in \$\$ and some get "special treatment" to avoid buying shares!

Directors Expense:

\$2,950 - 2008

\$4,000 - 2009

\$4,800 - 2010

\$4,400 - 2011

\$4,400 - 2012 (projected)

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 11:32 PM

Everyone keeps making accusations but never has any evidence.

Regarding BOD Ron not having enough shares - Wasn't that enough evidence for you?? Hey, just following your hero's advice: "Trust but verify" !!! and That's exactly what happened. The shares were audited and found to be insufficient. The Rules & Regs are clear on how the Board should proceed, but again they are failing to follow the established guidelines when shareholders DO NOT have the proper # of shares.

Have you ever read the Rules & Regulations??? By-Laws? Articles of Incorporation? CA Corporate Code? I encourage everyone to read these documents & law to better understand their company and the responsibilities and duties of the folks appointed in charge.

BTW - the only expense listed on the budget for an easement is \$10,500. Your buddies said the company would be paying for his easement. Hope this \$10,500 has NOTHING to do with him, don't you???

BTW - If your shares were found to be insufficient and the office gave you the evidence to prove it then would that be an accusation too? IF you know the Rules & Regs THEN you should know how to defend yourself - Right?

Be open-minded to realize sometimes your heros are a bit tainted.

Sick of it? Yes, a little, but mostly disappointed that *These guys live here and could serve the community* more responsibly, ethically and with transparency.

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 01:50 AM

Cp- I just don't want to pay for all the BS anymore, especially their cookies and brownies!

So, what does the \$4100 go towards? It's been asked and it has gotten a snide response that it is basically none of our business - its offical and done in private. Last I checked I owned a piece of this company too. After all, your buddies LAUGHED at me in a public meeting when I voiced concern over where this company was headed in my opinion. I'll say it again, having no water or a bankrupt water company is no laughing matter

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 06:58 AM

12 days to go.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:03 AM

It sure would be nice to have \$35/mth water bill, like Oak Hills(Hesperia). The County's "Special District" provides that to the residents there.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:09 AM

Just trying to understand the one-time assessment. Your comments about the math and methods would be appreciated.

\$198,700 is the TOTAL ASSESSMENT
needed to fund the RUS Reserve @ \$ 91,700 and 2mo Cash Reserve @ \$107,000.

As of 7/30/11 - There are 728 Metered Accts and 100 UnMetered Accts (low-estimate).

One-time assessment Payment Options are:

\$195 - option 1

\$185 - option 2

\$180 - option 3

Hypothetical #1

If all 728 Metered Accts select payment option 1 then only \$141,960 would fund the reserves.

*Calculation: \$141,960 = (728 * \$195)*

There's a difference of \$56,740 needed to fund isn't there?

Calculation: \$56,740 = (\$198,700 - \$141,960)

Hypothetical #2

If all 828 Accts (Metered & Unmetered) select payment option 1 then only \$161,460 would fund the reserves.

*Calculation: \$161,460 = (828 * \$195)*

There's a difference of \$37,240 needed to fund isn't there?

Calculation: \$37,240 = (\$198,700 - \$161,460)

It doesn't matter if ALL or SOME accts are assessed.

Hypothetical #1 & #2 scenarios are flawed mathmatically to garner the TOTAL ASSESSMENT.

Hypothetical #3

In order to fund the TOTAL ASSESSMENT by all 828 Accts(Metered & Unmetered) then the one-time assessment per acct would be \$239.98

Calculation: \$239.98 = (\$198,700 divide by 828)

Hypothetical #3 is flawed because the By-Laws and Rules & Regs state assessments are per share.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:11 AM

IF an assessment is so needed THEN the By-Laws and Rules & Regs state assessments are per share.

As of 7/30/11 There are 4,340 shares issued & outstanding.

That means each share should be assessed \$45.78

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Calculation: \$45.78 = (\$198,700 divide by 4,340)

One-time assessment scenarios:

\$ 91.58 - if you own 2 shares

\$183.12 - if you own 4 shares

\$274.68 - if you own 6 shares

\$549.36 - if you own 12 shares

\$915.60 - if you own 20 shares

Using the assess by share scenario will have some "sticker shock" for those holding 5 or more shares don't you think? HOWEVER, it is what the By-Laws and Rules & Regs define as the proper method of assessment.

Reality is that the Share Registry is flawed. Some accts have too many shares and some do not have enough shares. Therefore, calculating \$45.78 per share is based on an inaccurate Registry!

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:13 AM

Regardless of the fairness and need for the one-time assessment there should be a closer examination of the method and the math used. Anybody else want to comment on the numbers and method of assessment?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:29 AM

Looks Good to me. And it makes sense. Unlike the BOD.

I agree with you, if we are forced to pay an assessment, it needs to be on a \$ per share basis to be fair. And, I haven't even read the By Laws. Common sense.

Now, who's going to monitor the reserve funds, so that they are not spent. Can that be put in to an escrow account or an account that can't be touched by the BOD, nor the staff.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:57 AM

CPM: *Show us the link or the paperwork or something!*

Okeee-Dokeee.... Here's your "something" Cowboy!!!

By-Laws Section 7.09 - Tolls and Assessments

To fix, and from time to time change, the charges or tolls payable for water furnished, or other service rendered; and to levy, collect and enforce assessments against the shares of stock.

Rules and Regulations - Section 4.1

All Shareholders will be billed assessments at a given rate per share times the number of shares owned. The amount of the assessments and the time of billing assessments will be determined by the Board of Directors of the Company. adopted 3/2/1993

Rules and Regulations - Section 4.15

In lieu of yearly assessments on each share, the Board of Directors may bill each account a membership fee. The amount of the membership fee and the time of billing the membership fee will be determined by the Board. adopted 7/11/1995

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:59 AM

Rules and Regulations - Section 6.1

Failure to comply with any of the Rules and Regulations and By-Laws of the Company will result in the shutting off of the water supply to any such consumer and a charge of \$25.00 will be made to turn on the water again after the default has been remedied.

So is this an attention getting solution?

Shut off the water for all the BOD's for NOT complying with the Rules and Regulations and By-Laws. 😊

From: [ThePants](#) 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 08:04 AM

Perfect!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 09:09 AM

Besides the cost of using CVWD facilities how about the cost to compensate the directors. Or is that sacred cow off-limits too. 😊

By-Laws Section 3.12 - Compensation of Directors

Directors shall receive no stated salary for their services as directors, but each director shall be paid for each regular or special meeting attended by him such sum as may be fixed by the Board from time to time, not exceeding \$50. A director shall be allowed his reasonable expenses (which include transportation, meals and lodging) when actually engaged in the business of the Company, to be audited, allowed and paid as other claims against the Company.

BOD's are currently paid \$50/per meeting. By-Laws state *A director shall be allowed his reasonable expenses (which include transportation, meals and lodging).*

Shouldn't the BOD's only be compensated for TRANSPORTATION which comes to \$1.53/per meeting?

*Calculation: \$1.53 = (3miles * \$0.51)*

It's about 2.7 or 3 Miles from CPPMWC office to CVWD Board Room. They are provided MEALS (cookies & water) at the meetings so that should fulfill the MEAL compensation.

The projected Directors Compensation for 2012 is set at \$4,400. For 5 BOD's that comes to \$880/annual per BOD to attend meetings.

Presume each BOD attends 24 meetings in a fiscal period.

If BOD's were only compensated for TRANSPORTATION then the TOTAL Directors Compensation for 2012 budget would be \$183.60!

*Calculation: \$183.60 = (\$36.72 * 5 BOD's)*

For 5 BOD's that comes to \$36.72/annual per BOD to attend meetings.

*Calculation: \$36.72 = (\$1.53 * 24 meetings)*

So, If compensation were based on the guidelines of By-Laws Section 3.12 then there could be an annual savings of \$4,216.40 !!

Calculation: \$4,216.40 = (\$4,400 - \$183.60)

Wonder how willing the BOD's would want to *serve the community* for that amount? For any current or future BOD's that would be willing to *serve* for that amount then truly they would earn the recognition of the shareholders as a true volunteer.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

CPM: *Show us the link or the paperwork or something!*

Didn't forget ya Cowboy.... and Heeeeerrre's your "link"!!!

<http://www.irs.gov/newsroom/article/0,,id=232017,00.html>

Beginning on Jan. 1, 2011, the standard mileage rates for the use of a car (also vans, pickups or panel trucks) will be: 51 cents per mile for business miles driven

From: [disposition](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 10:15 AM

The way I read that is the Directors are entitled (up) to \$50 per board meeting PLUS milage, lodging ect if going on company business (conferences ect). So the math still does not add up. $\$50 \times 5 \times 12 = 3000.00$ that's if they are paid for only 1 Board meeting per month. $\$50 \times 2 \times 5 \times 12 = \6000.00 if they are paid for 2 meetings per month. hmmm...

From: [Whadayawant](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 10:50 AM

Well I Guess our BOD is on the wrong board then, if they were with Crestline Village Water District they would be getting \$100 a meeting, and they can be compensated up to 6 times a month... And as long as I hear our meetings last just because of a few individuals, ranting about things that happened years ago it would be fair retribution... I'm sure they must spend a considerable amount of their own time outside of the meetings too!

From: [CP_Maynard](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 11:01 AM

"Out, out, brief candle! Life's but a walking shadow, a poor player that struts and frets his hour upon the stage and then is heard no more: it is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing." Macbeth Quote (Act V, Scene V).

From: [disposition](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 11:13 AM

Roncpp, I mean, Whatdoya... I don't think it's an issue of "us verses them"..

I think everyone's issue here is that no matter how we try to change the formula, the end results are the same. A broke water company that cannot seem to get on it's little financial feet! So we, as shareholders, are tired of picking up the slack when the end result will always be the same.. More rate increases, extra assessments, every year.. blah. I had no problem with the rate increase last year because I was told this would "fix things".. Boy, what a newbie I am.

Whatdoyawant? So you mean whatdoIwant?? I want to know my water company is fiscally responsible with my HARD HARD earned money. That's all..

From: [No0thrButMe](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:07 PM

On a not so side note, Breezy pointed out the the different options come out to different dollar amounts - how come?

And per share would be the most fair formula, however I believe this entire issue to be a contradiction to that very word.

From: [Rhondalee](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:33 PM

Breezy: I can't find that email you sent (the Petition). I have a new smartphone, but I guess I am not so smart and accidently deleted it (LOL). Can you please resend? Thanks.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 07:11 AM

Water Co. meeting tonight!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 07:14 AM

Rhondalee, please email me. I'll forward it to you. Get your neighbors to sign it also, please!

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 08:08 AM

Rhondalee - resent email last night. Thanks Jim for the extra assist. 😊

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 08:09 AM

On a not so side note, Breezy pointed out the the different options come out to different dollar amounts - how come?

Yes, the different dollar amounts are concerning. Maybe it just highlights the lack of qualifications of the employee?/contractors? (whatever they are) have to generate correct numbers for the company financials. What else in the financials have they erred on?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 08:12 AM

And per share would be the most fair formula, however I believe this entire issue to be a contradiction to that very word.

Assessing by shares is a problem because the Share Registry is NOT ACCURATE.

For instance, I have too many shares. It's been over a year since I discovered the problem and tried to fix it, but the BOD & office has blocked my requests. What I discovered is that the former owners of the shares purchased, unknowingly, too many shares. I inherited the problem when I purchased the property (because shares transfer with the land). The BOD has consistently FORBIDDEN my requests to inspect my complete account file. Mostly BOD-Ron was the vocal one stating the former owners of the shares info was "confidential" therefore I could not see or know about the transaction involved. Since then my account file has been altered and possible evidence destroyed. If assessed by shares then I would be unfairly overcharged.

This one-time assessment issue needs to be put on hold and re-evaluated for proper implementation. IMO

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 01:57 PM

MONTHLY MEETING TONIGHT

AUGUST 18 (always the 3rd Thursday of the month) at: Crestline Village Water District - Board Room 777 Cottonwood Drive, Crestline, CA 92325. Remember the meeting is from 7PM to 8PM and only Public Comments will be addressed by the Board of Directors (BOD).

Fill out a Public Comment if you have questions or comments for the BOD. Submit the Public Comment to the staff before the meeting begins.

You can obtain a Public Comment form at the meeting or download from the CPPMWC website.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/PPMWC%20Public%20Comment%20Card.pdf>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 07:09 PM

Day 11... la-de-da.... no word, no response, no copies, no wonder?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 07:23 PM

Wage/hours violations like lunch break payments seem like small potatoes, but multiply by 250 days a year and several employees and add penalties—you've got a big-dollar fine.

From: [CP_Maynard](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 09:09 PM

so Kacey1 what you are saying is you are working on a lawsuit that will result in our 2012 assessment? Wow, THANKS! Should I be cheering you on?

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 09:22 PM

Kacey, Whatever is right. Maynard, no talking. If wages are owed, so be it. Employers who cheat their employees are scumb. Good Luck!

The meeting tonight was a joke! The highlight to me me is when Steiner told me to "SHUT" up. I told him "Don't tell me to shut up you old F#*K!" Things got a little hot for a second. Other than that, Ron Not So Bright STILL hasn't paid his shares for the property he owns next to him. In my opinion is in violation of the "shares in good -standing" rule to be a board member. They said "Were negotiating" B.S.!!!! The land has square footage, he needs to pay. Done. Other topic was One time bill was not sent to every one, only metered shareholders. We were told, "You should be happy they (the Non-metered) are paying" Another violation! ALL SHAREHOLDERS ARE EQUAL! All must pay! And it needs to be on a per share basis! My head is spinning! Good Night!

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 09:30 PM

I found Steiner's comments about keeping a small minority from controlling the company amusing. What is a Board who votes its only members in?

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:22 PM

So the contractors are breaking the labor laws, who is liable? the company that hired them or the contractors that is breaking the laws?

Ask yourself, if you hire a contractor to build you a building, and you pay them \$14,500 a month, provide them access for buying supplies and hiring subcontractors and then find out they are breaking laws while contracting for you. They violate code after code... who is liable, you or the contractor?

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:30 PM

Jim, how can you assess against all the shares issued in CPPMWC? Everything that I have seen on public access tells me you don't have a comprehensive share count to assess against. Seems they took the easy way out and assessed against the meters. They can count that high...I think. Maybe someone can buy them some Reece's Pieces and they can put them in a bowl as they count the meters. Then count the Reece's Pieces ... ooops, ate a few.... start over. One, two, three...

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:33 PM

If you had a crew that your Contractors did not pay, and they were supposed to say...provide 2 1/2 employees on thier own, but they supervised your employees and were in violation of labor laws while in control of your crew... are you liable or are the Contractors?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:37 PM

It's a hard question to answer isn't it. Say you give your contractors \$14500 a month to build your building, and you give them assets to buy certain things your personal crew needs and they never spend that money you budget on the items you wanted provided for your people... say safety equipment or tools your people needed to build the building... Say they spent it on items they used instead, and asked for more...while your crew still didn't have what you budget for... where would the liability be if one of your crew went to the legal system and filed a claim? Is it you who are in the wrong or your contractor?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:43 PM

What would the law say if you allowed your contractor to pay your people and they didn't follow the law as it is laid out in the labor statutes within the state you are incorporated, are you liable or is your contractor. Part of your contract was for your contractor to build your building and pay your crew under the laws outlined by the state labor board. Say they don't tell you what is really going on and if anybody says too much they try to intimidate them or tell your employees they are not allowed to ever speak to you. These are your employees, not the people they were provided with the \$14,500.00 a month you pay your contractor, these are the wages they draw of your bank that you set up to cover the job, is it still your fault or your contractor's fault for not following the labor laws and providing tools and equipment to your employees?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 11:01 PM

So Jim, were you asked to leave before the BOD conducted the business meeting for the corporation you are a shareholder in? Doesn't seem right that all these years, shareholders have been allowed to attend the business meetings and then you are locked out.... what is going on.... why aren't the minutes to the business meetings being made available to the shareholders. It sure sounds like something is being hidden.

Have you gotten any update on viable candidates yet for GM? Or are they going to resign again when the job isn't done and force the shareholders into keeping them, and again at a higher contract rate like before?

Did they discuss that with anyone during the public meeting?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 11:03 PM

A while back there was a long newspaper story that outlined what happened the last time... shareholders revolt made the contractors quit, and then they were coaxed back with more money promised to them... what else was promised to them... think they are setting up your corporation to do it again?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 11:13 PM

I guess we just might find out... if they haven't shredded all the information they are required to keep by law... but since your contractors may or may not follow the law... it will take some digging around to see what is still around.

Jim, you sound like you are on the right track pushing for a comprehensive audit of the shareholders' files to insure each shareholder is in good standing and owned the correct amount of shares.

Somebody on here, earlier, stated they got more shares than they needed when they bought their house... oops. You should push on with your share qualification program. Maybe your shareholders need to see if they each have enough shares. According to the rules posted on your website you are supposed to have 1 share for every 3,000 sq ft. You can get your map at PIMS and calculate what your sq footage is, it's pretty close. It's not a surveyor's audit, but it's close. I know you can go to the county assessors office and validate the square footage from their maps. What was the total shares this year when you went to your annual meeting? How did that compare to last year, and the year before?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 03:58 AM

Kacey, you've said a mouthful!

Yes, we all were asked to leave after the shareholder's comments/concerns. Their excuse for not allowing us in is to conduct business without being interrupted. Imagine that?

Yes, they said several applications have been submitted for the job opening(s) and they are reviewing them.

Also learned was it takes 100% participation and vote for the change in the quorum, (not 50% plus one) as per Steiner. The 50% plus one applies to all other issues. A mention as to how to get around that was quickly down-played, even though we have copies of a letter from an Attorney dth, as to alternate methods how to accomplish lowering the quorum. The board has to solicit it themselves. They would NEVER give up that power!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 06:55 AM

Kacey, I am interested in your comments concerning breaks and lunch periods. How long and how many people were affected?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 07:37 AM

At this exact time, I wouldn't be able to give you an exact number or exact answer to your question... but since there are only 10 days to go I should have an answer based on facts, not fairy tales, to share with you soon.

I would be able to say that the full answer to your question would depend on how long the revolving door has been in full function there. The labor laws are pretty clear as to hours worked, compensation for those worked hours, breaks, on-call compensation and compensated breaks... sorry to say this did happen and it will be investigated.

Then it's on to rectification of labor abuses involving protecting employees privacy, discrimination, harassment, employee open access and other violations of the California Labor Code.

After that it will be the Federal Level of violations.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 07:59 AM

Lazytoad, Jim has my email addy, send me an email with any questions and I will see if I can clear up your inquiries...

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 08:04 AM

Not So Bright STILL hasn't paid his shares for the property he owns next to him. In my opinion is in violation of the "shares in good-standing" rule to be a board member. They said "Were negotiating"

Well that should be good for all the people in the water district who's shares aren't in good standing, or who don't have the correct shares for their membership...

That means each person would then be eligible for negotiating away their liability for having the correct number of shares too...

That's going to help your cause as you find out who does and does not have enough valid shares according to the rules and regulations set forth by the corporation.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 08:13 AM

I am curious... if you have to be a member in good standing to serve on the board, and a member of the BOD shares are being openly questioned and examined by the BOD, since it is discussed with the open shareholders, why is that same BOD member allowed to participate in closed meetings or serve on the board... seems to me the BOD member would be temporarily suspended until verification and resolution of shares was completed.

During that suspension period the BOD member in question would be a Shareholder, as are all of you.

Certainly it would be the correct thing to do...and no monetary compensation should be owed to the BOD member during that time and no participation in any business actions would be allowed.

If that BOD member made voted on company concerns, wouldn't the matter then be void due to the suspended status of the BOD member? It's just a thought.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 12:52 PM

CP_Maynard: *so Kacey1 what you are saying is you are working on a lawsuit that will result in our 2012 assessment? Wow, THANKS! Should I be cheering you on?*

Actually you should be "cheering" the BOD's who have funded at least 2 lawsuits (Canyon Vista, Bennett) and hired over-paid, less than qualified "professional services" (GM + Office Mgr + missing-in-action 1/2 personnel) for the current assessment. Rah - RAH - RAW - ouch!

"What's done cannot be undone." Lady Macbeth, Act V Scene I

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 02:15 PM

Last night's meeting the Board Prez made a "big deal" about a shareholder who had knowledge of the number of shares for another shareholder *just happens to be a friend & board supporter, if that mattered*. He kept insisting and berating on the point that the shareholder violated a "confidentiality agreement" regarding the use & knowledge of such info.

SO? About the BOD's concern for shareholders "confidentiality"! Hypocritical, considering they published shareholder names and number of shares owned on the company website early this year.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/09-18-10%20ANN%20SHAREHOLDERS%20MIN...>

VERSION 2 - Current version posted on the company website and does NOT have Exhibit "A" included.

Originally Exhibit "A" of the 2010 annual meeting minutes was published and available on the internet from about February 2, 2011 to about April 17, 2011.

Ron kept insisting that CA Corp law *which he never could cite* allowed the publication of Exhibit "A". He was partially correct - you can publish it for the shareholders which they can obtain from the office HOWEVER as we informed him, you should NOT publish it on the internet.

We continued to protest and demand the information be removed from the company website. Each demand for BOD action was met with smirks and mocking comments. It took over 2 - 1/2 months for Exhibit "A" to be taken off the website. Board mems never "copped" to being wrong nor offered further comment or notice to the shareholders of such action.

Bottom line: the BOD & employee-contractors screwed that up terribly and for over 2 months the "so-called private" shareholder voting rights was publicly available... and there's No way to put that genie back in the bottle.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 02:22 PM

FYI for all you good folks attending the meetings - The SIGNIN SHEET.

We have fought hard to ask that those signin sheets attached to the minutes NOT be published on the company website because of possible identity theft concerns *it contains your signature, if you so choose to offer.*

Having, once more, to endure the BOD abuse for even suggesting the removal they finally relented and stopped publishing that info on the website. WHY DOES EVERYTHING HAVE TO BE A BATTLE TO IMPLEMENT COMMON SENSE? Because there are no guarantees that the signin sheet could inadvertently be publicly available in the future, Please be aware of the situation.

From: [disposition](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 03:35 PM

Ya, if you Google your name you can see all the meetings you went to 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 03:51 PM

Some thoughts About assessments and the company search for a new GM.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/General%20Manager.pdf>

Casey, CPPMWC Sr. Field Operator, had been working for the water co for many years. At the Sept 18, 2011 annual meeting he was publicly recognized for his service.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/09-18-10%20ANN%20SHAREHOLDERS%20MIN...>

PAGE 1 *GM Gowan also took time to awarded *sic* Casey Herzig a certificate of recognition for his service and dedication.*

Discussions about replacing the current GM began about 1st-quarter 2011. Casey had applied for the GM position. Many shareholders supported him as a viable candidate for this position.

Casey is no longer with the company. Had the company accepted his application for GM I believe there would have been a seamless transition between the outgoing and incoming GM functions. This would reflect as a "cost savings" to the company and to you the shareholders. -But now we will never know how that plan would play out.

To toss out an employee with all that experience, service, dedication and in addition, resided in the mountains, only makes sense considering the "timing" of the GM to sever the employer-employee relationship. Now who will have to "teach" the incoming GM? This transition will not be "cost effective".

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 04:06 PM

Google your name see all the meetings you went to.

Now that would be a cool feature! 😊

Not to get Tech on ya but here goes ; Because of the scanning method used by the office, the documents posted on the company website cannot be indexed by search engines. Hence no ability to "googallee" your attendance. 😞

I bet you could have gone all day without knowing that. 😊

From: [disposition](#)

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 04:19 PM

If I google my first and last name, the meetings I attended (minutes) link up. That always bothered me.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [disposition](#)

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 04:20 PM

BTW Breezy, thank you for all of your help. 😊 You have alot of very useful information.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) ✉

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 11:33 PM

@ disp - I just googled my name. Not all meetings I attended (minutes) link up, but some do. Bothers me too especially when the minutes do not accurately reflect what really went on. 😞

And glad you find the information useful. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) ✉

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 11:37 PM

WHY IS THE SHARE REGISTRY IMPORTANT TO YOU?

Shareholder Quorum is derived from the Registry.

Example: using the July 30, 2011 Annual Meeting statistics:

4,340 shares were issued & outstanding

257 shares were NOT IN GOOD STANDING

therefore 4,083 shares were qualified for Quorum purposes

Calc: 4,083 = 4,340 minus 257

therefore 2,043 shares must be represented in person or in proxy for a QUORUM

*Calc: 2,043 = ((4,083 * 50%) + 1) round up*

The Annual Meeting had 564 shares represented, in person and in proxy therefore there was NO QUORUM. 1,479 more shares were needed for Quorum.

Calc: 1,479 = 2,043 minus 564

Kudos to the company for providing a breakout for shares that were NOT IN GOOD STANDING. This is the first time, I discovered, that the company provided and/or tracked that number.

WHY DOES IT MEAN TO BE A SHAREHOLDER IN GOOD STANDING?

"Good standing" means current with regard to payments of assessments and not in violation of any governing document provisions, including the By-Laws and Rules & Regulations.

Therefore shareholder accounts must have:

-the correct number of shares per the Total Property SqFt of the account. Includes Metered & Un-Metered accounts.

-the correct number of shares for contiguous lots to the metered account.

-no balance due on their account or have payment agreement.

Calc: Number of Shares = Total Property SqFt divided by 3,000SqFt

WHY SHOULD YOU CARE ABOUT ACCOUNTS THAT ARE NOT IN GOOD STANDING?

Shareholder Quorum is derived from shares issued & outstanding minus the shares that are NOT IN GOOD STANDING.

Example: using the July 30, 2011 Annual Meeting statistics:

About 6% (257) of the total shares issued & outstanding (4,340) were NOT IN GOOD STANDING.

If 25% were NOT IN GOOD STANDING then 1,629 shares required for Quorum.

The Annual Meeting had 564 shares represented, needing 1,065 more for Quorum.

If 35% were NOT IN GOOD STANDING then 1,519 shares required for Quorum.

The Annual Meeting had 564 shares represented, needing 955 more for Quorum.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

If 45% were NOT IN GOOD STANDING then 1,195 shares required for Quorum.
The Annual Meeting had 564 shares represented, needing 631 more for Quorum.

Attempts to achieve a 50% +1 quorum will always be difficult.
An improperly vetted Share Registry compounds the challenge. IMO

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2011 11:58 PM

Kacey1: *What was the total shares this year when you went to your annual meeting? How did that compare to last year, and the year before?*

Not sure How one interprets these number differences for relevance but thought I'd give it a try. 😊

Source of information: Balance Sheets - Liabilities and Members' Equity

FiscalYR end - Shares issued & outstanding

APR 30, 2007 - 4,438*

APR 30, 2008 - 4,387 - 51 less than YR 2007

APR 30, 2009 - 4,438* - 51 more than YR 2008

APR 30, 2010 - 4,449 - 11 more than YR 2009

APR 30, 2011 - 4,340 - 109 less than YR 2010 (257 Not In Good Standing)

* Same numbers of shares 4,438 for fiscal YR 2007 & 2009

Observation#1

From MAY 1, 2006 to APR 30, 2009

The Share Registry appears static for 3 yrs. Possible reasons?

- Minimal property transfers? Seems odd during a depressed housing market,IMO
- Administrative error? YRs 2008 & 2009 each cancel out.
- Active Share Registry vetting & maintenance? NO

Observation#2

From MAY 1, 2009 to APR 30, 2010

The Share Registry increases by 11. Possible reasons?

- Minimal property transfers? Seems odd during a depressed housing market,IMO
- Administration change? YES, ECS contract begins MAR 2009
- Active Share Registry maintenance? YES, but low priority?
- Active Share Registry vetting? Not verifiable.

Observation#3

From MAY 1, 2010 to APR 30, 2011

The Share Registry decreases by 109 shares. Possible reasons?

- Improved property transfer procedures enacted?
- Administrative adjustment to YRs 2008 & 2009?
- Administration change? YES, FT Office Assistant hired
- Active Share Registry maintenance? YES, improved from prevYR
- Active Share Registry vetting? Not verifiable.

Overall, it's hard to tell if the Registry was improved or maintained the status quo. I know I'm discovering accts that do not have enough shares. The company is authorized for 5,000 shares. If those accounts are resolved for compliance then is it possible quorum could be even harder to achieve?

Damned if you do, Damned if you don't.

Anyone else have other observations to offer or comment on?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:35 AM

I spoke with a very nice lady at the County Special District Water & Sanitation

Very informative, Your water bill, currently, would be #36.58/mth (facilities charge) and \$23.10 for first 10 hcf(hundred cubic feet, our company charges per cubic foot) the water useage. Example, I used 427 c.f. (4.27 h.c.f.) of water the last billing period. My total bill for 2 months would have been \$73.19, just the facilities charge. The water consumed would not have moved the numbers on the meter! I didn't use 10 hcf!

I really like the idea of the county take-over. We would still be responsible for the 1.4 million Federal loan we obtained in the 90's. I suggest we sell the assets of the Water Company to pay for the loan.

WAKE UP AND SMELL THE COFFEE! Your shares are worthless!

We really need to dump all these crooks and this company.

25 years from now, we won't be stressing over gas/oil, It's going to be water! FIX IT NOW!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:44 AM

If you did use 10 hcf of water, it would cost only \$23.10

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:53 AM

Jim I appreciate what you are suggesting but did you see what OLD Guy was dealing with in Cedar Glen?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:54 AM

Correction, my last bill for consuming 737 cf (7.37 hcf) was \$42.59

With the county, it would have been ZERO \$. I didn't use 10hcf to make the meter move!

We all need to agree on what to do with this mess!

I truly believe the County Special District is our most realistic option and am proceeding forward with that! Unless your idea is better. "May the beatings continue 'til the morale improves" and may you get your heads out of your asses and do something!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:56 AM

Lazy toad, don't compare us! It's not even close to what our situation is here. It's apples and oranges. Please!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:04 AM

Years from now, everyone will say "Remember when we were paying those high water bills? It's so much better, now!"

You can save your thanks, and just be happy someone is trying to make it a little better for all of us!

FYI, I'm trying to get someone from the Special District to address our community at the Community BBQ next weekend. They'd volunteer their time for this and I'm hoping it'll come through.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:05 AM

Granted it is the worst case senerio that I am aware of. Just feel it requires some serious thought/discussion.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:10 AM

Go to their web site, <http://www.specialdistricts.org/2> It's very informativite. I like it!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:13 AM

Jim I hope you don't get the wrong impression. In the last year and a half I have been at I believe 7 board meetings, not bad for a person who lives off the mountain and I agree something needs to be done.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:20 AM

I haven't been to 7 meetings in 17 years! When I saw the dog and pony show, I was so disgusted. What a joke! I ran home to relieve stress and made home-brew 😊 That's more productive then that B.S.!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:27 AM

My wife and I have a water account with a Desert Mutual water company, the CSD that covers Erwin Lake/ Big Bear and this one. This is the only one that has caught out attention. I go to the meetings in hopes of understanding what is going on, and must say I come away with far more questions than answers.

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:28 AM

Jim, I understand why you want someone from the Special Service District to address us at the Community BBQ as so many people will be in attendance but personally, I'd prefer to just drink beer, tini's, eat, chat with everyone and have a nice time. Couldn't we obtain the Community Center and have a meeting with the Special Service District at another time instead? Keep in mind that not everyone at the BBQ is from CPP.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:34 AM

Patti, It's marketing! You offer food and drinks!, then you got an audience! Any out-of-town guests are welcome, and you may/will hear about the problems of our water co., as you gobble your brat! No biggie!

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:53 AM



From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:08 AM

Jim, it is good to listen to all your options. You are correct when you say your shares are worth nothing, they have no par value. Your combined voices are the only power you have. Sorry to see the BOD does not value the open voices of their constituents, they sound very biased, it also sounds like they have no respect for their constituents. It is probably just the sign of the times.

From what I have read, things haven't changed much since the reorganization and discharge of all your regular staff a year or so back, except there must be a lot of UEI payments going out of CPPMWC UEI accounts.

CVWD has a tiered rate for all their customers, and the area we are in has a higher meter, monthly, rate due to our inclusion in the company in the late 70's. Our water is billed the same though. \$0.420 for the 0-1300 cf rate and then

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

\$0.630 cf after the 1300+ is reached. We also pay an annual \$15.00 assessment.

One thing of interest you can review is the CPPMWC 990 filings with the IRS. If you Google Non Profit Corporation 990 filings, you will find several placed the 990 for CPPMWC are openly and freely posted. Last year is there as well as many years prior. Seems the year before last is not published, or at least I haven't found it. I did find 990 documents from CPPMWC dating back to 2004. The documents are published by the IRS and the only redaction is the signature block of the preparer. 180 Business solutions prepared your current posted 990 and RAMS prepared the previous posted 990 documents that I saw. It is worth finding and printing out for your BBQ. It clearly spells out where CPPMWC reported the funds from the water district and how they were spent.

If you have trouble finding them, let me know and I will post a link for you. If I found them you can find them... Have fun.

BTW, 10 days and counting... la-de-dah...

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:20 AM

Jim, The link I am including will give you access to 2010 and 2008

Type in the company name, Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Company, the state, zip code and search, they come up and you can open the pdf documents and review or print them out for review.

<http://dynamodata.fdncenter.org/990s/990search/esearch.php>

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 03:47 PM

Kacey1: The link I am including will give you access to 2010 and 2008

<http://dynamodata.fdncenter.org/990s/990search/esearch.php>

Thanks Kacey1. Just to clarify that the index to access the FORM990 is misleading.

When you click on 2008 you will see Form 990-Tax Year 2007

For the 2007 calendar year, or tax year beginning 5/01/2007 and ending 4/30/2008
signed 7/21/08 ~~ rec'd 7/29/08

When you click on 2010 you will see Form 990-Tax Year 2009

For the 2009 calendar year, or tax year beginning 5/01/2009 and ending 4/30/2010
signed 12/16/10 ~~ rec'd 12/27/10

Tax Year 2009 Form 990 is interesting.

Form 990 - Page 6 - Item 2 - ANSWER = NO

key employee, IMO, should be ECS even though they set it up to be "independant contractors". Prez Dahlstrom has admitted on record his former relationship with GM Gowan prior to hiring him for CPPMWC. Gowan was a vendor for Golden State Water.

Employee vs Independant-Contractor: CAN THEY PASS THE TEST?

<http://www.comptroller.ilstu.edu/downloads/20-factor-test...>

IRS 20 Factor Test - Independent Contractor or Employee? (12 pages)

The IRS considers a worker to be your employee if you have the right to control not only what work will be done, but also how the worker will do it. If you treat a worker as an independent contractor, but the IRS decides you have sufficient control over the worker to create an employment relationship, the IRS can hit you with a costly bill for the employment taxes you should have been withholding and paying. ☹

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

<http://www.irs.gov/newsroom/article/0,,id=173423,00.html>

Here are seven things every business owner should know about hiring people as independent contractors versus hiring them as employees.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 03:50 PM

CP_Maynard: so KaceyI what you are saying is you are working on a lawsuit that will result in our 2012 assessment? Wow, THANKS! Should I be cheering you on?

REVISED:

Actually you should be "cheering" the BOD's who have funded at least 2 lawsuits (Canyon Vista, Bennett) PLUS hiring over-paid, less than qualified "professional services" (GM + Office Mgr + missing-in-action 1/2 personnel) PLUS miscategorized their employee vs independent contractor relationship PLUS funding "not in good standing" Board VP easements for the current & possible future assessments?
Rah - RAH - RAW - ouch! KA-BOOM!

"What's done cannot be undone." Lady Macbeth, Act V Scene I

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:41 PM

I hope these tax questions/issues with the contract GM don't sink us deeper. I would have thought that this would have been addressed early on. I have hired contractors in the past to do work as I have seen fit and they have always handled there workmans comp./taxes.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:41 PM

When did Ron CCCP buys those 4 lots? On/or around him being chosen for the board? If so, it so obvious there's shenanigans. If he's such a "Community Man", he should DONATE!!!!!! the easement required by the Water Co.!!! Not profit from it!!!! After all, he said "it's (the 4 lots purchased by him) just a buffer zone next to my property" Our Water Co. purchasing ANYTHING from a board member should be CONFLICT OF INTEREST!!!!!!!
OFF!!!! WITH THEIR HEADS!!!!
Obviously, again, the BOD's side deals are being exposed!!!!

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:42 PM

There is soooo much being said that I'm having a difficult remembering it all.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:46 PM

Obviously I can't spell "Obviously" 😊 I need a Home-brew.
Weed-wacking Pant and Mrs Pant's (Shirley) fortress kicked my ass!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:46 PM

Not trying to throw gasoline on a fire but I can't help but wonder as a board member Ron should have been in a position to see this issue and rectify it before the shareholders discovered it.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 04:50 PM

Ahhhh! I'm better now! Cheers! 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 05:55 PM

I'm more than positive that Ron knew what to do and am just sorry that he didn't care for the matter. Yikes Ron! You are better than this. Pls care for this immediaately and end the difficulty. Is it possible that everyone is thinking in correctly? Don't let it happen. Patti

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:17 PM

the lots in question were put in his name in Jan 1998 [39 40 41 42 43 73 74 75 & 76] Square feet aproximately 25,849, which should have 9 shares.

From: PattiM 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:44 PM

Holy Crap.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:51 PM

Kacey1 - correction to your last comment.

The lots in question [73 74 75 & 76] were put in his name+spouse in **1994**. In 1998 those lots were combined with the house parcel giving the current lot configuration [39 40 41 42 43 73 74 75 & 76]. In 1998 the Assessor incorrecly reported 17,126 Total Parcel SqFt. The Assesor had that information on file until 2011 when the error was reported to them. Now, the Assessor shows the proper sqft of 25,849.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:55 PM

The Board VP is NOT a shareholder / board member in Good Standing because he does not own the correct number of shares for contiguous lots to his metered account. He should own 9 shares instead of 5 shares. Since 1994, it appears the Board VP had only 5 shares, when in fact he should have had 9.

He was **appointed** by the BODs in July 2007 and served 3yrs as VP.

He was again **appointed** by the BODs in September 2010 to serve 3yrs as VP.

He was never "vetted" to be **appointed** to the board in 2007 & 2010.

However, the risk of being caught earlier than now was worth it for him, I would think, because of HOW his shares will be discounted. Longer membership time = bigger discounts.

It appears that his MEMBERSHIP with the water co began around 1981. The additional 4 lots in question were acquired in 1994. Because of his length of time -30yrs - as a MEMBER, the cost of shares will be discounted to the time he has been a MEMBER. Current cost of 1 share is \$500. I doubt he will be paying anything near that. More like \$50 - \$100 per share?

The big RUB is the water co paying for his easements + engineering + attorney costs. He can't be the only property within these wellhead spheres. Is the company going to approach those shareholders and make a "Ron Albright Special"?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 07:59 PM

Kacey1: *..if you have to be a member in good standing to serve on the board, and a member of the BOD shares are being openly questioned and examined by the BOD,... seems to me the BOD member would be temporarily suspended until verification and resolution of shares was completed.*

Seems logical on this side of the fence. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

IF the board had responded appropriately back in APRIL 2011 then his vacancy & remaining term of 3yrs would have been filled from the remaining pool of vetted candidates from the September 18, 2010 Annual Shareholder Meeting. Seemed like a "fair and reasonable" solution - IMO.

Once he cleared up the "Not in Good Standing" issue then I would think he would be more than eligible to reapply for board service.

But? Doing the above solution would have created a dilemma for the board. If you recall, Dahlstrom & Pederson's terms expired July 30, 2011. If Albright re-applied for board service then there would be 3 candidates for 2 board positions. HMMMMMMMM - Oh my? who to choose? who to choose?

The board has violated so many rules in this matter.

"Special Treatment" - "Conflict of Interest" - whatever you call it - it doesn't pass the smell test.

It would be a nice gesture if the board issued a personal letter of apology to the three (3) qualified and vetted candidates from the September 18, 2010 annual meeting. But, won't hold my breath on that idea either. 😞

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) ✉

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:07 PM

The Board Member "Not in Good Standing" issue was presented to the Board INFORMALLY at the March 17, 2011 meeting stating board VP should own 9 shares instead of 5.

34 days from initial complaint

The Board responded at the April 21, 2011 Meeting by handing us a Sketch Location Of Upper Burnt Mill Well showing its "sphere of influence" to the board VP properties.

See: EXHIBIT 06 - Page 16 in this link.

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/20110511_CPPMWC_Shareho...

We got a dissertation on **HORIZONTAL wells** (referring to the sketch map) and engineering and on and on. Bottom line: The Board said he did not have to buy ANY shares. End of subject - go away. Oh brother! they were smirking and smiling like the Cheshire cat!

So using their board buddy's advice to "**trust but verify**" I did just that. I "enlarged" the map and highlighted the 4 properties alleged to be in the well's sphere of influence. Lot 73 is barely, if any, within the 100ft well influence.

See: EXHIBIT 07 - Page 17 in this link.

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/20110511_CPPMWC_Shareho...

This next link identifies the CEDARPINES PARK MWC - Water System Facilities

<http://drinc.ca.gov:8080/DWW/JSP/WaterSystemFacilities.js...>

There is only one (1) Upper Burnt Mill Well identified as
State Asgn ID No. 008 - UPPER BURNT MILL 07-VERTICAL

It's a **VERTICAL** and not a **HORIZONTAL** as the board keeps documentating in minutes and their responses!

We countered their response FORMALLY by submitting a complaint on May 11, 2011 demanding an actionable response at the May 19, 2011 Meeting.

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/20110511_CPPMWC_Shareho...

62 days from initial complaint

The Board put off a response at the May 19, 2011 Meeting.

90 days from initial complaint

The Board put off a response at the June 16, 2011 Meeting.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

125 days from initial complaint

At the July 21, 2011 "PUBLIC ONLY COMMENT" meeting the Board announced that the **board-VP would only have to buy 2 additional shares.**

Isn't that response in itself an admission that he was NOT IN GOOD STANDING!

Then on top of that the board says the additional 2 shares required for Lots 73&74 are not needed and that the company **will pay** for his easement. The 2011-2012 Budget shows \$10,500 for an easement. Is it for him? Bennett? No answer. And why isn't Board VP paying the cost to litigate the matter? Why is the company attorney involved?

153 days (5 MONTHS) from initial complaint

At the August 18, 2011 meeting the board-VP NOT IN GOOD STANDING is still conducting business with the board!

The solution we offered in the May 11, 2011 complaint was simple - or so we thought!!

By the May 19, 2011 Meeting the Board should have REMOVED the Board VP from board service and FILLED his vacancy from the remaining pool of vetted candidates from the September 18, 2010 Annual Shareholder Meeting which included G.Dark, S.Segalla, and L.Chen. The selected candidate will serve out his remaining term. The resolution offered is justified because the three (3) qualified and vetted candidates were cheated from selection and consideration due to the improper or "lack of vetting" of Board VP in September 2010.

The Board did not and have not done the right thing.

As a result:

- three (3) Board candidates got screwed for consideration
- shareholders got screwed out of having a "new mind" on the board

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:16 PM

that1deadguy: *Other topic was One time bill was not sent to every one, only metered shareholders. We were told, "You should be happy they (the Non-metered) are paying"*

That was a slap in the face wasn't it?

However I think it was stated "you should be **thankful** they are paying". I'd be one of the non-metered referenced - so **YOU'RE WELCOME!** 😊

Gosh, Didn't know the BOD's felt that way about us non-metered folks - *shucks* - could & have fooled me considering their treatment toward my account. Denying my multiple requests to inspect MY customer file! Yeah, thanks for that. And the kicker is Ole-not-so-bright lecturing me about protecting the "confidentiality" of the previous owners of my shares. God forbid I discover how the company overcharged them for the cost of 1 share? Hiding the problem instead of fixing the problem seems to be their MO.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 08:22 PM

All of the problems with this company has made me re-think my investments. As the owner of a Non-Metered (99-acct) why should I keep maintaining the annual membership fee of \$243.48? As Perry said at the meeting these 99-accts receive NO SERVICE and impact no overhead to the company to maintain. We are "gravy" to support their "shenanigans". Since owning -2004 - my VACANT lot with shares I've spent over \$1,000 in membership fees. The reduction in the cost of a new meter, if I did decide to build, is hardly worth paying these fees. If a merge to CVWD happened then the new meter charge is about \$500. CPPMWC charges \$5000 for a new meter.

The previous owners of the shares paid over \$800 in member fees between 1999 to 2004. It could be more but that's all

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

the history I'm ALLOWED to see. I have no idea when THEY originally purchased the shares because the board has FORBIDDEN my access to that information. Can you imagine!

Shares on these 99-accts is a money making racket for the water co.

I would encourage ALL 99-acct owners to re-evaluate their investment (annual membership fee) to CPPMWC. You are pouring your money down a rat-hole and it just doesn't make economic sense to maintain the membership any longer. IMO.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:12 PM

Job training: If your company provides or arranges for training of any kind for the worker, this is a sign you expect work to be performed in a certain way; therefore, the worker is your employee. Training can be as informal as requiring the worker to attend meetings or work along with someone who's more experienced.

So, say you have the company that created the CPPMWC software come out to the CPPMWC office and do a training session... oops.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:16 PM

Intent of your company and of the worker: To build a solid case, you and the worker should sign a written agreement stating the worker is an independent contractor who will be paid by the job or project, provide his or her own tools, etc.

So, say the contractors have not provided their own tools, etc... since all the equipment used to run CPPMWC, i.e. computers, copiers, trucks, backhoe, meters, repair items, buildings, desks, pencils, paper, staples...belong to CPPMWC... ouch again.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:17 PM

Pay basis: If you pay a worker on an hourly, weekly, or **monthly basis**, the IRS will consider it a sign the worker is your employee. An independent is generally paid by the job, project, assignment, etc., or receives a commission or similar fee.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:18 PM

Importance of the worker's services: If a worker provides services that are integral to the success of your business, the worker is likely your employee.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:20 PM

Personal performance of services: An independent contractor should have the freedom to hire assistants or subcontract work to other workers or firms at his or her expense (this is where profit or loss could enter the picture). If you require the worker to perform the work personally, that's a sign of control and therefore indicative of employee status.

Providing assistants: There's likely an employer-employee relationship if your company hires, supervises, and pays assistants for the worker.

ouch, ouch, ouch, ouch, ouch...

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:29 PM

The Independent Contractor shall not act as an agent for, consultant to, or as an officer, employee, or other representative of any subcontractor or supplier to Company, or serve in any of the foregoing capacities for any of Company's competitors or prospective competitors, without giving prior written notification to Company. Guess hiring your old laid off friends, past employees and paying them on the clock counts? So if you are a consultant to the company a BOD member works for and you are hired as a contractor for the company the BOD member sits on... what is that called?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2011 10:32 PM

Demands for full-time work. Full-time work gives a company control over most of a person's time, which supports a finding of an employment relationship.

ouch, ouch, ouch, ouch, ouch...

enough... this is a whole new can of worms... why did you post that linke to begin with... who posted that link anyway...

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 09:55 AM

"9 days to go... la-de-dah-de-dah..."

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 10:00 AM

All ya gotta do is put your mind to it Knuckle down, buckle down do it, do it, do it

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 05:40 PM

40 days 'til the "One Time Bill" is due, which is also the regular water bill due date. Cancel Christmas!

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 06:44 PM

Jim - anybody? So how much does the company need for the assessment?

See my Wednesday, August 17, 2011 07:09 AM post for reference

I thought I read in their notice letter that they needed \$91,700 for RUS Reserve and \$107,000 for 2mo Cash Reserve. That would equal \$198,700 for the TOTAL ASSESSMENT.

If all 728 Metered Accts paid \$195 each then only \$141,960 would be raised for the TOTAL ASSESSMENT. They would still be short of \$56,740???

Did I misunderstand the notice letter? Who pays the difference of \$56,740??

From: PattiM

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 07:18 PM

I have a neighbor that wants to sign the petition. Where can she and her husband do this?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 07:36 PM

PattiM - Jim or I can email to you-- or if near Jim's place he should have a copy.

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 07:48 PM

Jim lives near me so I'll try contacting him in the morning. If I can't connect with him I'll get back to you. Thanks, Patti

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 10:10 PM

As CPPMWC notes on the latest available filing of the 990 to the IRS:

Form 990, Part VI, Line 6 - Explanation of Classes of Members or Shareholder

PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE ORGANIZATION'S SERVICE AREA ARE STOCKHOLDERS OF THE MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

Form 990, Part VI, Line 7a .How Members or Shareholders Elect Governing Body - **STOCKHOLDERS OF THE ORGANIZATION ELECT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Form 990, Part VI, Line 7b - Decisions of Governing Body Approval by Members or Shareholders

ALL DECISIONS OF THE BOARD ARE SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE SHAREHOLDERS

I think there is some confusion going on here as to what is true and what is reported to be true...

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 06:52 AM

8 days a week!!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 06:58 AM

Kacey, you forgot one thing..... a quorum is required for anything to be voted by the shareholders. That hasn't been met since the early 80's. The BOD has the power to decide on our behalf, right or wrong.

Breezy, I see what you are saying! The numbers just don't add up.

Again "the Mandatory Reserve is not required" per the CPA at the Shareholder's Meeting!!!!

Has the BOD solicited the Federal Gov't for additional grants or forgiveness of debt? That would off-set what we owe. And reduce the money required from the shareholders. DUH!

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 07:21 AM

Jim, what I was more concerned for is the statement made and presented to the IRS that states the members elect the BOD. When was the last time the shareholders actually elected someone to the BOD? How long has it been like it is today?

Before you can request forgiveness of any debt by the US Government, wouldn't you need to be sure that your business is in the proper working order? How long have the members been screaming for open access, and a full audit of all issued shares, fairness in shares evaluation, and now - again a cry for a review that is open and without favoritism...

How many times, in the past years, and go back as far as you can remember and ask yourself... when have the shareholders been blocked from attending the BOD meetings?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

What is so important and so secret that the shareholders are no longer allowed to listen and review, how does the BOD sign a statement to the IRS that all decisions are subject to review of the membership and then lock out the same membership from reviewing?

What are they hatching up behind locked doors that is not the business of the membership? Are the shareholders allowed to have copies of their meeting minutes? If so, someone needs to publish them, if not, why not... doesn't the BOD answer to the shareholders.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 07:25 AM

Their one and only excuse for no shareholders at the BOD meetings is for "uninterruption". They don't want your comment nor concern! You are bothering them! Excuse me!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 07:36 AM

Attention!!!!

The petition is being prepared and sent into the Dept of Corp. 08-23-2011, Tuesday, 10:00am, at my house. All are welcome. 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 07:47 AM

How long has the membership of CPPMWC been allowed to attend the meetings? Some of the long term residents that can answer that question, can anyone ever remember being banned or barred from the board meetings for CPPMWC?

How long has it been since minutes to the meetings were restricted from public review? What is going on in there? Are they dividing up the assets and spending your revenues on big contract payments to other crony contractor friends or what? You have no way of knowing without open access. They could be paying off ECS's private loans for all you know. God knows they have demonstrated the members of your BOD love ECS, flaws, warts, screaming fits, violent outbursts, temper tantrums, cussing fits, foot stomping, threats, nights in jail, and all. Not a single person on the current BOD would admit that ECS are anything else than "Practically Perfect in Every Way". How many other water districts has ECS run exclusively before coming to CPPMWC, funny how things get overlooked, big difference in being responsible for treatment and pump operation, and storage and running the business of a company... what sort of example is it to hire a contractor who's own business when out of business and lost all it's revenues and couldn't afford to keep an office or regular employees? Maybe ECS doesn't have too, since it seems they are using CPPMWC office space, machines, computers, copiers, supplies and assets to keep ECS alive... ask yourself? Checks need 2 signatures, GM+one... simple math, **A CONTRACTOR SHOULD NEVER HAVE SIGNATURE RIGHTS ON THE CPPMWC BANK ACCOUNT, HE IS NOT ELECTED AND DOESN'T REPRESENT THE SHAREHOLDERS**

Since the obligations had been covered by the revenues and "One-Time" rate increase what happened? Do you remember what it took for the rate increase to go through? Remember who pushed it and who has gained from it?

So without open access and transparency, you have no idea what is going on at YOUR water company, or what isn't going on... no contractor should be paid without examining and passing your approval of their work. What would you do if you hired a contractor to build a deck at your house and then would not allow you to inspect his work? You would not be obligated to pay them and you could report them to the state as well.

How many times have you seen any of the BOD put in a full day at your water company office? How do any of them know what is going on, **they don't** they only know what ECS tells them is going on...so how can the BOD serve on behalf of the shareholders when the *fox is controlling the hen-house*.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 07:59 AM

Kacey, I understand what you're saying. We've got elected/selected amateurs making all the decisions.

This is a public utility service! Not the Rotary Club. Our company has been mis-managed and unfairly ruled, favoring each other and their group of "good ol' boys" since 1923!

WAKE UP! We need professionals that are accountable! Period!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 08:10 AM

I was wondering, Does the company issue 1099s to outside contractors and the BOD? It's the law if paid more than \$600 per calendar year.

My gut feeling is "NO". Tax-free income to the recipients. More shenanigans!

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 10:13 AM

"Can somebody tell me what the hell is going on here?"

"Did somebody break a mirror?"

Now we have 2 board members NOT IN GOOD STANDING??

Hey board buddy you are right to "Trust but Verify"!!

I did a quick audit on Board Secretary Steiners shares and lo and behold - not enough for him either! From the 9/18/10 Annual meeting Shareholder/Proxy log that the water co published on their website for 2 months! -- since removed -- it shows Steiner had 18 shares. In fact he should own 22 shares.

Remember he's the one that validates the Share Registry.

Thinking out loud - Would that invalidate all those proxies given to a board member that is NOT IN GOOD STANDING? What train wreck this is turning out to be!

<http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0658015/quotes>

"NCIS" Probie (2005) Memorable quotes:

Special Agent Leroy Jethro Gibbs: ~frustrated, barks loudly at Ziva and Tony~ Can somebody tell me what the hell is going on here? First, Abby's "lab nerd" frames DiNozzo for murder, and then McGee kills a cop? Did somebody break a mirror?

From: Kibcpp 

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 10:39 AM

As a matter of fact, I broke a mirror about a month ago. Ooops, bad luck for the board, I guess, isn't that about the time we got the "one time" letters and the uprising began? Other than getting the letter, my luck as been about the same.

Breezy, is the shares register that was published, on your website? I have a question about my shares as we bought the property next to us and I just assumed the shares were transferred during escrow. 😞

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 10:52 AM

.. is the shares register that was published, on your website?

No, that would not be proper therefore will never happen.

I have a question about my shares as we bought the property next to us and I just assumed the shares were transferred

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

during escrow.

It would be proper to contact the water co office for verification. **But** you are always free to email me to help support your findings. 😊

From: Kibcpp ✉

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 11:15 AM

Crap, sorry about that. So much info, I forgot why they were taken off the water site.

Ok, I will try to get info from them once again :\

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 11:22 AM

Kipepp - I misread your post - let me clarify.

..is the shares register that was published, on your website?

No. I would not & will not publish that information on my website.

Please refer to my Friday, August 19, 2011 02:15 PM post for reference to the following comment.

Originally Exhibit "A" of the 2010 annual meeting minutes was published on the company website <http://www.cppmwc.com/minutes.html> and was available on the internet from about February 2, 2011 to about April 17, 2011. That is the information I am using as my basis to review shares since it was made public. For me to republish that information on my website would be improper based on the same reasons given to the water co for their actions. I believe you can contact the office and ask for a copy. **Or** you can contact someone who obtained that information to request a private copy. 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 11:44 AM

Be sure to tell Mr. Steiner to ask for the Ron Albright Special.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 11:45 AM

Thanks breezy. Sorry I seem dense but I'm fighting two different BOD's. It only took the one out here 3 mo. to destroy our fire district. Leaving us with inadequate fire protection as well as no EMT. (my husband has a heart cond) We can't get them out either. 😞

I'm hanging on to the cabin in CPP by the skin of my teeth and the water co isn't helping the problem at all. Just too much on my mind. Ok. thank you for the info.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:22 AM

7 days makes one week...

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:34 AM

Good Morning! It's a great day to mail a petition!!!! 😊

15 days 'til the NFL season! First game, Saints at the Packers, Thursday, Sept 8th, 5:30!!!! 😊😊😊

From: kacey

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 07:17 AM

Congratulations Jim, make a copy and post it by certified mail to the BOD at CPPMWC. [maybe they will pick up the mail in time for it not to come back undeliverable, like mine did] What company doesn't pick up their mail?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

The Labor Board complaint goes in in 7 days, with enough copies to prove the complaint is factual with our without the compliance of the contractors in charge of your company. Talk about the fox being in the hen house... good grief, what is it going to take to make people shake out the BS in CPPMWC?

I hope those people who can get to your place today and add their names to that petition. The more signatures you have the better.

Congratulations on the beginning of a great effort.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 05:29 PM

WOW! What a meeting!

It's been since 10:00!!!! til now:) (FYI, I/we love our community!! Thank you!!! Prescilla, Mike, John, Cheryl, Manuel,) I just want to remind you of how much work it takes , And I thank you! to file a complaint!!!!!! 😊

I wouldn't change it for the world!!! 😊So COOL!!

I hope my efforts make it a little better here! 😊That's all!!!!

From: ThePants

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 05:30 PM

I'm starting a pool on how many days until the next ONE TIME assessment and also a pool on how many days until the next 'membership increase.' Enter your guesses. One entry per resident. I'll track it and the winner will get a free case of bottled water and a 'CPPMWD SUCKS' custom t-shirt! The contests will end when either one occurs. The closest to the number of days will win.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:09 PM

July 22, 2012

That better not be bottled CPPMWCO water_ That stuff leaves a bad aftertaste 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:46 PM

How many signatures did you end up with? Wow, Jim, seems you and the rest have stirred up a hornet's nest... Loving it.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:51 PM

The Pants, just remember... the last time the contractors threatened to take their powers and leave, the BOD folded like a cheap suit and raised their contract. What do you think will happen this year, since they haven't hired a GM for fulltime service on the CPPMWC payroll? Combine the total budgeted for the new GM with the balance of the ECS contract and divide it by 2, since they don't fulfill the 2 1/2 clause revealed at an open BOD meeting by none other then BOD Pres... add it up and then tack on whatever the cussing and crying will gain and you will have a new ONE TIME ASSESSMENT to cover it again!!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 06:56 PM

In the last published BOD meeting minutes there was no discussion of any shortfall in the financials... There were a lot of people present at that meeting, did anyone hear anything about a possible financial shortfall? Yet, the next 2 months the membership is barred from the business meetings, no minutes are posted and no financials released until the annual shareholders meeting. What happened? Everything was right on track in March... and the end of April it's in the tank? Really?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 09:06 PM

There were a lot of people present at that meeting, did anyone hear anything about a possible financial shortfall?

Kacey it's hard to tell. Each month R. Gowan presented the financials to the Board there was always something that wasn't correct or was questionable. BOD Treasurer Pederson, to his credit, tried to question her on certain items and each time she had some excuse. Usually the faster she twirled her hair was an indicator that she was ad-libbing the excuse. Regardless, the board kept approving the financials. For the brief time I worked with her I noticed she barely had the basics to balance a checkbook - IMHO. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 09:08 PM

Regarding the meeting minutes and what is and what is not available.

Minutes available BUT not posted on the company website:

- Saturday, April 2, 2011 Executive Meeting - approved 6/16/11
- Thursday, April 21, 2011 Regular Meeting --- approved 6/16/11
- Thursday, April 21, 2011 Executive Meeting - approved 7/21/11
- Thursday, April 28, 2011 Executive Meeting - approved 7/21/11
- Thursday, May 19, 2011 Regular Meeting --- approved 7/21/11

Minutes NOT available, presume pending approval:

- Thursday, May 19, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Thursday, June 16, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, June 16, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Thursday, July 21, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, July 21, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Saturday, July 30, 2011 Shareholder Annual Meeting
- Thursday, August 18, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, August 18, 2011 Executive Meeting

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 09:13 PM

ILLEGAL meetings

The Bylaws require that at least 3 BOD's must be in attendance to establish a quorum of the board to conduct company business.

The following meetings were ILLEGAL because only 2 BOD's in GOOD STANDING were present, therefore the board could NOT conduct business per ByLaws.

- Saturday, April 2, 2011 Executive Meeting - approved 6/16/11
- Thursday, April 28, 2011 Executive Meeting - approved 7/21/11

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 09:22 PM

April 28, 2011 Executive Meeting was an ILLEGAL meeting

Board Quorum was NOT achieved because only 2 BOD's in GOOD STANDING were present, therefore the board could NOT conduct business per ByLaws.

April 28, 2011 Executive Meeting minutes

BOD Absent: Hartman

BOD Attendance: Dahlstrom & Pederson

BOD Attendance: Steiner & Albright were NOT IN GOOD STANDING

STAFF Attendance: The Gowans (S & R)

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Out of 5 meeting items only 2 qualified as "secret session" discussions and they were Item1 - Employee Matters and Item5 - Compensation.

Items 2 - 3 and 4 qualified as discussions the shareholders should have been able to attend on.

ITEM2 - 2011-2012 BUDGET

Again!! R. Gowan tried to explain away the variances on financials regarding "board meeting supplies for 2010-2011". *R. Gowan stated that there was a misclassification issue that had occurred, and that was why the category showed an increase from the 2009-2010 fiscal years.*

Makes one wonder? Did ECS use supplies for their personal use? And about that new copy machine in the office -- how much was used for CPPMWC business vs personal use? Why can't shareholders have the same access for a reasonable cost? In meeting the Gowans said it cost less than maybe 2 cents for color copies and less than maybe .005 cents for B/W copy AND THAT because the copier is newly leased that the 1st 10,000 B/W copies are FREE! Tell me, how many of you would be willing to pay about 1-5 cents a copy for B/W? Alpenhorn charges 10 cents a copy.

Every meeting R. Gowan has some "dog ate my homework" excuse regarding the financials and the Board eats it up everytime. But, let's cut her some slack. Having limited education and qualifications for the job makes it tough.

ITEM2 goes on...

Director Albright raised the issue of our current lack of funds in the RUS Loan Reserve Account. There was a discussion regarding the amount required to meet our reserve goals, and how these goals may be achieved.

ITEM3 - ONE-TIME ASSESSMENT & MISC. FEE INCREASE

The possibility of a onetime assessment was discussed amongst the Board of Directors. The Board discussed evaluating the time and cost associated with the Company's current miscellaneous fee schedule, and suggested increasing those fees to cover the actual cost to the Company. Various payment options were also discussed.

So it appears the first documented discussion of ASSESSMENTS began at the April 28 "secret meeting". Because other minutes are pending approval it is not known WHEN the ASSESSMENT was approved AND if it was LEGALLY approved with proper Board quorum. 🙄

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 07:20 AM

There are so many things WRONG with company, it sickens me!

I realized that the OneTimeBill is due on the last day of Sonny's contract. I hope he likes pennies! 😊

For those sending a check.....Send it from your e banking on the due date. It counts as a current payment and the Gowans will be gone by the time your check is received.

PLEASE! PLEASE! PLEASE! Please don't make any payments until the investigation is completed by the Dept of Corp!!!!!!

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 07:33 AM

Jim, I hope you are right about the contractors last day... but I have this sick feeling it is a power play and they are setting you up for round 3 on this deal. I doubt these contractors could pay thier bills without CPPMWC. You would think after 2 contracts with this pair the BOD would see they aren't getting what they paid for. There is so much more that could be done and so much more revenue that could be collected but that would only happen if the contractor GM got out of the office and got his hands dirty...and that doesn't mean reading meters either... Read through your R&R and ask the people who know what else could be done to collect revenues that is not being done... it's so much easier to send the shortfall bill to you rather than get out and do your job.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Here's hoping the new accountant makes it through the trial by fire she is going through... Good Luck to her, every single day.

BTW - 6 days to go... 6, 6, pick up stix!!!

Keep it up, hope your letter to the CalCorp works... someone needs to step up and speak the truth...

From: Kacey1 

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 07:38 AM

Breezy, your complaint about the BOD not having a enough members in good standing will be hard to get action on because the BOD can select anybody they want to serve.

I hope you have the documentation to support your claim. You will have to pull the maps from PIMS, calculate the square footage and then have access to the list of memberships and their current shares as reported by CPPMWC. That list is no longer on the website, I hope you were able to make a copy to compare too when it was available. It was on the company website for some time which made it public information to anyone with a computer and printer.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 07:38 AM

The accountant was introduced as an employee and a CPA, at the last meeting. We don't need an expensive CPA on the payroll! Jsut an accountant.

From: marvista 

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 08:36 AM

We want to thank ALL of you for ALL of your efforts!! With Much Appreciation!!!

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 10:02 AM

So it appears the first documented discussion of ASSESSMENTS began at the April 28 "secret meeting". Because other minutes are pending approval it is not known WHEN the ASSESSMENT was approved AND if it was LEGALLY approved with proper Board quorum.

Looking at my notes from the July 30 Annual Meeting, the board stated they approved the Assessment on Friday, July 15, 2011.

Revising my previous post about meeting minutes not available and presume pending approval:

- Thursday, May 19, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Thursday, June 16, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, June 16, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Friday, July 15, 2011 Executive Meeting**
- Thursday, July 21, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, July 21, 2011 Executive Meeting
- Saturday, July 30, 2011 Shareholder Annual Meeting
- Thursday, August 18, 2011 Regular Meeting
- Thursday, August 18, 2011 Executive Meeting

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 10:24 AM

I hope you have the documentation to support your claim.

Kacey, thanks for your concern. Professionally I am a software engineer - 30yrs+ to date myself 😊 and have worked on government, health insurance, higher institution school and stock market systems. At least 4 or those yrs I reported directly to the county Assessor, designing, developing and maintaining software for the county Assessor and Treasurer.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

I am very familiar with PIMS. Manually calculating sqft from the parcel maps are a pain, but do-able, however no longer necessary. I have the software, similar to what the Assessor uses to calculate sqft! All the information about the BOD's property in question are in the public domain. You are correct about the water co publishing shareholder # of shares on their website (since removed, but copy obtained) 😊

SO to address your comments - calculating sqft and comparing to the number of shares owned is a snap.

your complaint about the BOD not having a enough members in good standing will be hard to get action on because the BOD can select anybody they want to serve

Getting the board to do the right thing? Agree, that is looking to be the most difficult task! 😞

From: [kacey](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 08:41 PM

Jim,

If the BOD introduced the accountant as a CPA, they are mistaken, her resume is impressive, but she is not a CPA. You can see it here: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/angelamforder>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 05:36 AM

Am I to beleive Perry or my lying ears!

From: [greenthumb](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 06:24 AM

Jim you did not hear right. The guy that went over the financials is a CPA. The girl they hired is the office accountant. You don't have to be a CPA to be an accountant. The demise of this and other discussions is people feed off of false information. Be careful what you read/hear. Some people are motivated by their own selfish endeavors. I've been watching this thread from a distance and can see it spinning out of control. It's just a water company but look at how much weight the community puts on it, giving it more importance than it deserves.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 07:11 AM

The demise of this and other discussions is people feed off of false information. Be careful what you read/hear. Some people are motivated by their own selfish endeavors.

greenthumb- your advice could apply to what has been offered by the board at the meetings. What "selfish endeavors" are you referring to? Shareholders receive no compensation to attend meetings. The decisions and actions of the board are being questioned because of their cause and effect to hit the pocket books of the shareholders. Numerous times the board was asked to produce the qualifications of ECS - none was ever provided. Kudos to the board for recently soliciting an open job search for candidates and the wisdom to NOW select a qualified, educated, experienced office accountant. ECS was selected without open-bidding or an open job search in Feb 2009. For the last 2yrs the board has entrusted the company financials to an ECS component that cannot provide and has not demonstrated proof of experience. If you can identify the "false information" that is being dispensed then I would be interested in the corrections you can provide.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 07:11 AM

Jim, stay the course... and give the new accountant your support, you know she will be greatly needed if the contactors ever leave.

5 more days here...

How goes your signature gathering? You can include personal statements with your submission. Those persons who

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

take the time to write out a formal complaint can be included with your submission. Remind them to KISS and submit with documentation and sources.

Hope you get a lot more signatures at the CPP-BBQ.

In CPP, it's not *just a water company*, it's a Mutually Owned Water Company that is not following the established rules and regulations and the members have a right to object and request transparency, some prefer not and others prefer to not be another mindless sheep following the herd. Many many people support your efforts and hope it doesn't drift away like so many other attempts to be heard. Congratulations.

In 1776, it was just a few people that said enough is enough... many people thought it was a waste of time, but look what just a few people managed to do.

Transparency is open access, not closed off without membership input. With over 700 metered accounts you have a lot of work to do... good luck. More people will step up as the word gets out. Don't let the occasional bump in the road get you down. Salute!!!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 07:18 AM

Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain

Heard that before? Illusionists are great at distracting the viewer so the slight of hand can occur, keep your eye on the cause and don't let the voices distract you so you catch the slight of hand when it happens.

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 07:29 AM

Greenthumb, have you attended any of the meetings? If you did I think you might say that there are some concerned shareholders who are compassionate about their community and only want what is right. An open discussion concerning business has not taken place in some time. Yes, there have been a few outbursts from shareholders that feel they are getting the shaft. Is it fair to say we will never have a Quorum with the present system? Mr. Steiner made it clear it was written to prevent a minority from controlling the water company, yet that is exactly what we have.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 08:20 PM

The Alpenhorn News reports that **Bennett** has taken all the unsanitized scrap asphalt and it is now dumped on his property in CPPMWC district. Markovich told the Alpenhorn News "*The toxins used in making asphalt can get into the water supply.*"

As if the district members didn't have enough to worry about.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 09:25 PM

ALL asphalt has oil and is considered HAZARDOUS WASTE!

Proper disposal is required, an EPA violation! BIG TIME!

BTW, all the SH!T piled up is on top of the "BLUE LINE", CLAWA's service to rest of the mountain!!

Another violation!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 09:31 PM

The Alpenhorn News was informed old asphalt from the high school was dumped in Cedarpines Park near drainages west into Devil's Canyon and east to Seeley Creek.

The Alpenhorn News discovered asphalt dumped on Devils Canyon Road on property owned by the owner of Bennett

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Excavation, Dennis Bennett. Bennett explained it was free and delivered based on his belief the county would take it because "Rudy at the county yard told me he needed base for the road."

"I got it from Rim High," Bennett admitted, "I don't think there is any oil left in it after they grind it out."

Bennett justified, "this stuff is laid down here on almost every dirt road" by the county and "If the county uses it on all their roads, it must be all right."

As to impact on waterways, Bennett denied it saying, "Definitely not in a water way," while admitting, "Everything has natural drainage up here."

An unnamed source opined, "It's really scary what it's doing to the environment" and "All this water in these mountains runs down to Cedarpines Park underneath asphalt into Silverwood Lake" and the dump truck driver said it was his twentieth load.

When The Alpenhorn News asked about the asphalt, construction manager Jason Howarth of Tilden-Coil Constructors claimed, "The liability is on Bennett."

At the August 18 meeting, trustee Scott Markovich objected to approving the trustee's payment report saying, "We are in a little bit of a pickle."

"I am extremely concerned about abatement, which is disposal of materials that are probably registered to be disposed of properly," Markovich stated.

"The asphalt and some of the concrete I don't think are certified base mix," Markovich explained, "I am not so sure that some of this stuff is ending up in the right places." Markovich declared, "I don't want anybody to misconstrue we are not paying attention to doing this properly."

Markovich continued, "The confusion is where do we go from here regarding these payments and accountability?" Chairman David Erlanger answered, "If in fact what you are saying is accurate, we can request corrections be made in order for payments to be made."

As a result, the board halted payments to Blair-Rasmussen, Cool Air Supply, Larry Jacinto and National Construction totaling \$511,004. Upon being contacted by The Alpenhorn News, Blair-Rasmussen claimed his trucks did not dump asphalt.

Markovich told The Alpenhorn News, "We know the unsanitized mix ended up in Cedarpines Park" and "The toxins used in making asphalt can get in the water supply."

"We paid good money to get this right" now "it's suspect," Markovich complained, the board was "elected to provide oversight not to be taken for granted."

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, August 26, 2011 07:08 AM

Heard nothing from CPPMWC, I have 4 more days. Wondering if the question was too difficult? Could my request have been ignored, emails dumped? I have proof of delivery, this time. Last time the request came back... Progress?

*Doris Day singing in the background...movie? **The Man Who Knew Too Much**,...song? *Que Sera' Sera'* fits the moment, even Hitchcock.*

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1


Sent: Saturday, August 27, 2011 09:31 AM

3 More days to go and I will have followed my obligated wait time for submission of my complaint.

Hope all the CPP friends have a great time today at the BBQ.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 07:06 AM

Kacey, you should have been there! Tons o' fun! 

From: Kacey1 

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 08:43 AM

We went to the lobster fest in Bluejay, Hubby is a lobster lover as is #1 son, had to laugh watching them scarf down lobsters! Maybe next time... thanks for the invite.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 06:21 PM

In reference to my Monday, August 22, 2011 11:22 AM post:

Originally Exhibit "A" of the 2010 annual meeting minutes was published on the company website

<http://www.cppmwc.com/minutes.html> and was available on the internet from about February 2, 2011 to about April 17, 2011... I believe you can contact the office and ask for a copy.

My sincerest apologies to all reading.

I WAS WRONG TO ADVISE THAT YOU COULD OBTAIN A COPY OF THIS REPORT OR SIMILAR OF THE JULY 30 ANNUAL MEETING FROM THE WATER CO. OFFICE.

My request for the July 30, 2011 Attendance / Proxy Log was denied.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 06:27 PM

I submitted a document request in proper legal format with stated objective at the Public Comment meeting on August 18 as follows:

Pursuant to CA Corporation Codes §1600, §14312(13)(F) and the Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Company Prospective Shareholders Statement (page 4) "A shareholder may inspect and copy the records of the shareholder's names and addresses and voting rights during the usual business hours on five (5) days' prior written demand on the Company" Requests to be fulfilled no later than August 26, 2011.

- 1) Demand for Digital Copy of Membership List
- 2) Demand for PDF Digital Copy of IRS Form 990 for tax years 2008, 2009, 2010.
- 3) Demand for PDF Digital Copy of Attendance / Proxy Log for July 30, 2011 Shareholder Annual Meeting.

I provided 3 blank CD's to fulfill the request and minimize the expense to the shareholders in terms of paper and labor costs. In reality, August 25, Thursday was DAY 5 in which to complete and deliver the request. I gave them to August 26, Friday as a courtesy by stating in my request NO LATER THAN August 26.

BY Friday, August 26 at 4pm – the date and time I was INSTRUCTED to pick up "the packet" I received only Item #1 delivered as a PAPER copy (29 pages) of the Membership List sorted by FIRST NAME. Hilarious to me, however not so funny if this list was received by a shareholder who did not have the means to convert it to a more friendly and reasonable sorted format – such as maybe, LAST NAME or MAILING ADDRESS!!! Very unprofessional move by the office IMO.

As for Item#2? According to GM Gowan he was advised by the company attorneys that he didn't have to provide IRS Form 990's because they were available online - of course he couldn't name the website but that was that.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

As for Item#3? According to GM Gowan he was again advised by the company attorneys that he didn't have to provide that information either.

The "Office Use" part of my Shareholder Request(s) were not filled out.

<http://www.eppmwc.com/CPPMWCShareholderRequestForm.pdf>

GM Gowan said if I wanted to know why portions of my request were not fulfilled that I would have to wait for the August 18 meeting minutes to be approved!!! SO? 3-4 months from now we might learn more about their inability to process this basic request from a shareholder.

I wish you better results with your future requests, IF you attempt to make one. 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 06:03 AM

The formal complaint and petitions will be filed today! 😊

As soon as the case# information is available, I'll post it here.

The primary focus of the complaint is "Not all shareholders were billed (one time bill) equally as per our By Laws". A postponement of the One-time Bill will be forced 'til investigation is completed.

Please DO NOT PAY this bill 'til the results are in. It will end up being a lot less! I'm sure of it!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 06:22 AM

Also, the "Mandatory Reserve" needs to be held in a separate account, and safe from the BOD and the company. With no single person access. We had reserves in the past, and poor decision making and poor management is why we are in this situation today! I'm doing everything possible to prevent it from happening again.

Eventually, all the crooks will be gone. And the turmoil in our community will disappear. Be patient! We're getting there, baby steps! 😊

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 07:42 AM

Thank you to all of you that are working on getting this mess of a company straightened out.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 08:30 PM

All the paperwork for the DLSE claim is complete, signed and in the mail... let's see what comes next.

From: Enix03

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 08:35 AM

Hey Breezy I'm not sure if this helps you at all, but this is what I managed to find.

<http://www.taxexemptworld.com/organization.asp?tn=1310020>. Still looking around for other pages.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 08:50 AM

Thanks Enix for the link. That one will only download company stats.

Using these links you can obtain some of the IRS 990 filings.

<http://www.eri-nonprofit-salaries.com/index.cfm?FuseActio...>

<http://foundationcenter.org/findfunders/990finder/>

Both links DO NOT have the 2008 TaxYr filing.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 08:54 AM

About IRS Form 990...

The focus of the new Form 990 is on increased transparency (additional questions about most of the board's activities), compliance (legal requirements), and ethics (stressing avoidance of conflicts of interest and personal benefit.)

Form 990 is the main tool used by the IRS to verify that an organization meets its taxexempt requirements. It also serves as a means to monitor changes in the sector in general and as a source of information for state regulators and the public at large. Because the Form 990 is a public document, you should pay special attention to your organization's "profile" and describe your organization's activities and accomplishments accurately.

Read more about Governance in Form 990

http://www.boardsource.org/dl.asp?document_id=681

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 08:59 AM

more about IRS Form 990.....

Your Form 990, now more than ever before, acts as the window to your organization and how your board carries out its duties. As you look at the extensive content in it, you must realize how important it is to provide accurate and complete information.

Presently, your organization must make available for public inspection the last three years' Form 990 and your tax application form 1023 or 1024. Now, for the first time, you must also report in Schedule O how you make the Form 990 - as well as your financial statements, governing documents, and your conflict-of-interest policy - available to the public. In addition, you need to indicate in the core form (Part VI, Section C) how the public can contact the person who is responsible for maintaining these records.

Governance in Form 990 - Page 12

http://www.boardsource.org/dl.asp?document_id=681

From: [Enix03](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 08:59 AM

See I'm only 18 and I'm actually using my school time right now to look stuff up. I started reading some of the posts and since my mothers a share holder I'm going to start attending the meetings since my mother doesn't. Going to try to get as involved as I can. I've got a loud voice that refuses to be silenced. I'll look into this a little bit more. I'm good with computers so I should be able to come up with a little bit more once I've got some more knowledge about the situation and what I'm trying to find.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 09:12 AM

See I'm only 18

Oh how I would love to be 18 again -- ONLY if I knew what I know now 😊

Very impressive for you to want to get involve. Never stop learning.

I've got a loud voice that refuses to be silenced.

With knowledge on your side you won't have to shout, but I get the passion. 😊

Keep up the good work and may your curiosity keep your passions alive.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 10:05 AM

Here's a good reference (probably one of many) to learn more about "How to Read the IRS Form 990"

<http://www.npccny.org/new990/new990.htm>

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 01:06 PM

The complaint and petition were mailed on Monday!!!! 😊 I'll keep everyone current as it develops!!! 😊

From: marvista

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 01:33 PM

PRESS ON - no matter what:

Nothing in the world can take the place of persistence.

Talent will not.

Nothing is more common than unsuccessful men with talent.

Genius will not.

Unrewarded genius is almost a proverb.

Education, alone, will not.

The world is full of educated derelicts.

Persistence (and determination) alone, are omnipotent!

PRESS ON - no matter what!!

~author unknown

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 06:42 PM

OMG - the request I submitted came today... 2 days too late but it came just the same. You would think they could have gotten the request to me within the time limit required by DLSE, nope.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2011 06:07 AM

Kacey, regardless of when you received your request, they were late in giving it to you.

As MarVista said, PRESS ON!!!!

Persistence wears down resistance! 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2011 07:03 AM

It's already on the way to DLSE, the copies will insure no changes were made to the original document copies I already submitted. Will carefully compare what I submitted to what I received.

BTW the signature on the response letter is an electronic signature, looks like a copy, not real, nothing noted as to use of an electronic signature on response, guess people need to brush up on using electronic signature protocol.

Wonder if President of the BOD knows the office is using his electronic signature?

Anybody else getting electronic signatures on their responses from the office?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, September 3, 2011 08:16 AM

Was anyone successful in getting a copy of the 2008-2009 year's 990 from the office? Not found on line, did they file?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 08:13 AM

Jim, have you had any response from your filed complaint? Wondering.

There are now, **44 Days** left on the ECS contract. Will there be change or just more of the status quo? 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [marvista](#)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 10:40 AM

Our water bill was almost \$200, and we don't even have a lawn!?! The water in CPP has always been high, but it is getting more & more expensive!! AND we wonder what the old dump out on Mojave River Road might be leaching into our wells!?!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 02:04 PM

AND we wonder what the old dump out on Mojave River Road might be leaching into our wells!?!

UGH! And then ADD to whatever the dump trucks were transporting down to Burnt Mill via Mojave River Rd all day yesterday??? Buying bottled water is the reason water is expensive IF you live in CPP 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 02:05 PM

The water in CPP has always been high, but it is getting more & more expensive!!

Actually the water is not that expensive. Unfortunately the static Bi-Monthly cost of \$85.06 is beyond the consumer's control. 😞

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 02:09 PM

Besides the static Bi-Monthly cost of \$85.06 there are other factors that can be attributed to the "water bill expense" that is also beyond the consumer's control.

1. Truth in billing regarding WHEN the meter is actually read
2. ESTIMATES based on bad data

Incorrect billing practices have been brought to the attention of the BOD at numerous meetings. Their response is usually a "song&dance" as to why they prepare billing stmts in such a non-descriptive and less than truthful manner.

Look at your billing statement and you will notice that the

Billing To Date is ALWAYS the same date as the **Read Date**.

REALLY? That means that all 700+ meters were read in one day?

Of course this is absolutely false but that's how your statement reports it.

Truth in billing regarding WHEN the meter is actually read

For example: Billing Period from 6/24/11 to 8/24/11

I called the office and was told the meter was read either 8/25/11 or 8/26/11.

REALLY? That would mean they read the meter AFTER the Billing Period ended!

I doubt they read the meter at all since I was on the property those days.

On 8/27/11 and on 9/1/11 the meter was still covered with dirt.

Guess they were doing psychic readings???

Seriously, this is not Truth in billing nor good office practices IMO.

ESTIMATES based on bad data

How about when they DON'T read a meter and ESTIMATE without noting that on the Billing Statement? Happen to you? Has happened to me on numerous occasions! That's the price one pays for being an "active" shareholder participant!! Harassment and account tampering 😞

For example: Billing Period from 4/25/11 to 6/24/11

Called the office and they said the meter was read on 6/24/11!!

REALLY? The actual read date was 6/30/11 because I witnessed the GM in front of the house reading the meter.

Truth in billing regarding WHEN the meter is actually read and ESTIMATES based on bad data will eventually affect

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

your TIER BILLING RATE consumption charges.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/rates.pdf>

Rates & Billing - Consumption Rates per cubic foot

\$0.055 - up to 600cf

\$0.070 - from 600 to 1400cf *should be 601-1400cf doncha think? duh!*

\$0.095 - above 1400cf

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:12 PM

Complaint/Petition Filing Update: Cerified mail return receipt dated 09/02/2011

I'll follow up with them Monday. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:14 PM

Always proof-read after you post..... certified 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:18 PM

My water bill was \$198, not surprised with the garden!

But my god! I'm one guy, 7 minute daily showers, and who leaves multiple pees before I flush, it's so disgusting....

From: Claire

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:40 PM

lol sooo much more info then we needed hahahaha

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:56 PM

I wasn't raised like that! It really is, digusting. All over a stupid water bill! 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 07:59 PM

Multiple like 3 or 4. and always when there's tp 😊😊

From: marvista

Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2011 12:52 PM

Should have said, the BILL is expensive. Compared to the valley where we moved up from many years ago, the water BILL has always been very expensive. Figured it was the price of living in heaven, but it is getting more and more prohibitive. We stopped drinking the water years ago and also don't flush. Remember the old saying from the 70's: if it's yellow, let it mellow... Yes, there are so many other real tragedies that need our attention but guess cleaning things up needs to start in our own backyards, so to speak.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, September 12, 2011 12:49 PM

UPDATE!!!! 😊😊😊😊

A response letter from the DOC, Case# CAS-47463. They advised me they forwarded it to the Attorney General's Office.

From: lazytoad

Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 06:06 PM

Well, it's been over a week now with no comments on our water co. What's happening ?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 08:25 PM

Nothing yet! Still waiting! btw, Everyone is cordially invited to our CPPMWC monthly meeting! Free cookies/snacks, courtesy OUR general expense acct!

Crestine Village Water Dist, 7pm, Thursday, 9-22-11

Show up early for the MEET and GREET!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 04:52 AM

Not sure if I'll make it tonight, but hopeful. Should be interesting to say the least.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:30 AM

I copied these comments from the Good Morning CPP thread.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 09:17 PM

Home from the Water Co meeting(not much to re-cap, Ron Albright STILL owes money for his shares and continues to be a Board Member(WTF???!!!!)), maybe the reason for his absence tonight)

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 10:21 PM

Did the petition come up tonight? How is the GM hiring going?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:33 AM

Post 1 of 8

Ron & Paul were absent from the "public comment" session tonight. Hard to tell if they showed up for the Executive Session / Meeting following.

As Jim mentioned re: Ron. He stills owes for his shares. The water co will be buying his easement. When asked why Ron wasn't paying for the 2 shares he owes NOW, the BOD stated it was "easier" to do the transaction (share purchase & easement) at the same time. Could be months before its all resolved. When all the details about the easement are in THEN Ron will either have to pay a bill OR because of the "easement" the difference might turn out to be that the water co will be owing him money!! All the legal & engineering costs are being paid out by the company - actually shareholders. Sweet deal eh?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:35 AM

Post 2 of 8

The BOD is setting precedence by enacting this deal with Ron. There will be other shareholders that may be affected by this "sweet deal" however the BOD doesn't seem to be "proactive" in notifying them. Concentrating on Ron seems to be their priority. This "easement" for Ron & future shareholders affected was not budgeted in the Fiscal Budget for 2011-2012. So deeper in the hole we go.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:35 AM

Post 3 of 8

Did the petition come up tonight? NO

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:37 AM

Post 4 of 8

How is the GM hiring going?

They are still interviewing. I suggested they swallow their pride and re-hire Casey. The BOD felt he did not have the experience for the GM position. No mention about the possibility of hiring him back in the field. At this point the BOD only wants to consider folks from DTH. Most qualified candidates cannot accept the offered salary (too low) and/or live too far to support our system in a timely manner.

Currently the 1 field guy is living DTH. If they continue ONLY hiring from DTH then anticipate POOR response time especially during winter when the power goes out. The infrastructure will be at risk.

Again, deeper in the hole we go.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:38 AM

Post 5 of 8

Also, consider the salary of ECS has been taking up 28% of the revenue for the last 2 yrs! Outrageous. The BOD has failed to publish the ECS qualifications / resume. There is no evidence of prior GM experience from the ECS GM. There is no evidence that the ECS office manager has education pass the H.S. level. It's a shame this has happened. We have 2 former employees who live in the community that are qualified to service the field & GM position. The BOD seems to be sabotaging the best interests of the company by ignoring these former employees.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:42 AM

Post 6 of 8

Share Registry? Perry stated it is as accurate as they know it to be. ECS -office manager explained about "working thru the books". Seems like they have been working on BOOK 1 customers forever, at least that's what I have been hearing for many, many meetings. Basically, they are only paying attention to shares that occur during the escrow transactions. The office isn't conducting a proper review of existing accts. This is lost revenue! Unfortunately, as recently discovered, this has been going on for years. The BOD knows about it but is unwilling to prioritize the correction of the registry.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:43 AM

Post 7 of 8

As for opening up the meetings for shareholders? Perry tried to justify NOT opening up the meetings because they (the BOD) couldn't get much business done with shareholders there. Interpretation? President Perry is not capable of controlling a meeting, therefore shareholders suffer the consequences. Also the BOD consider Executive Session & Executive Meeting to mean the same thing.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:44 AM

Post 8 of 8

Otherwise? Business as usual. Lots of excuses. Concerns ignored. The BOD is acting as they wish and to hell with the shareholders.

Wish I would have asked if the "public comment" meetings could be switched back to the CPP Community Center. That would save the shareholders about \$300/yr not to mention provide a closer convenience for shareholders to attend meetings especially during the winter.

If I missed anything then anybody else that was at the meeting, please add.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:54 AM

AUGUST 2011 FINANCIAL REPORT

(MTD) = Aug 1, 2011 to Aug 31, 2011 (1 month)

(YTD) = May 1, 2011 to Aug 31, 2011 (4 months)

Remember: CPPMWC Fiscal Year is from May 1, 2011 to April 30, 2012

Already we are seeing CONTRACT WORK accrued.

This was not forecasted on the Fiscal Budget for 2011-2012

\$236.20 = Office-Contract Work (MTD)

\$472.40 = Office-Contract Work (YTD)

\$236.20 = Shop-Contract Work (YTD)

\$360.00 = System-Contract Work (YTD)

This is ECS. Notice that in 4 months we have paid \$63,512.50!!! Something is not right with that. 🙄

\$14,500.00 = Admin.Contract Services(MTD) 122.86% of revenue

\$63,512.50 = Admin.Contract Services(YTD) 34.49% of revenue

This is accountants, lawyers, engineers

\$ 4,546.69 = Professional Services (MTD) 38.53% of revenue

\$27,463.66 = Professional Services (YTD) 14.91% of revenue

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 12:59 AM

Meeting Minutes after March 2011 have been posted but only up till June 2011.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/minutes.html>

Meeting Agendas after 3-17-2011 have been posted but only up till 8-18-11

<http://www.cppmwc.com/agendas.html>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 01:06 AM

NOTICE: The Meeting Minutes for 3-22-11 Exec Meeting is INCORRECT.

The link loads the 2-17-11 Exec Meeting.

This was brought to the attention of the BOD & staff at last night's meeting.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 01:10 AM

That's all I got for now. *Adios-A-Boogie* and I am out of here. 😊

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:05 AM

If ECS is to provide 2 1/2 persons for their fee, why are they hiring contract workers?

\$236.20 = Office-Contract Work (MTD)

\$472.40 = Office-Contract Work (YTD)

\$236.20 = Shop-Contract Work (YTD)

CPPMWC pays one contract person to work for shop and one contract person MTD for the office? Where is their 1/2 person working?

Did ECS explain why there was a contract employee on the books for CPP in the office? Is CPPMWC having to pay the 1/2 person now for ECS?

What is the contract employee on the books for the Shop? Exact same amount as the Office for just this month, so it

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

isn't a high cost electrician to fix what ECS isn't capable of fixing... it is low cost contract worker.

Looks like the contract has expanded to cover their 1/2 person on the water company expense rather than ECS providing the 1/2 as contracted...

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:07 AM

Somebody needs to let all the other property owners near the well know that the water company is buying easements. I bet many of them have paid for full share requirements while your BOD member has been allowed to skate without. Sounds like a real conflict of interest there.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:14 AM

Post 6 of 8

Share Registry? Perry stated it is as accurate as they know it to be. ECS -office manager explained about "working thru the books". Seems like they have been working on BOOK 1 customers forever, at least that's what I have been hearing for many, many meetings. Basically, they are only paying attention to shares that occur during the escrow transactions. The office isn't conducting a proper review of existing accts.

This is lost revenue! Unfortunately, as recently discovered, this has been going on for years. The BOD knows about it but is unwilling to prioritize the correction of the registry.

The answer from ECS Office Mgr, doesn't add up, the meeting minutes from previous BOD meetings give much different answers regarding share registry, now it changed? How then could the counts for the last 2 shareholder meetings have been accurate?

From the answer given by ECS, it would appear that ECS is hoping all of CPP moves out so they can review the shares at that time?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:19 AM

// Most qualified candidates cannot accept the offered salary (too low) and/or live too far to support our system in a timely manner.//

Looks like ECS is going to be there forever, doesn't it? 6 weeks left and no replacements? One field rep short, no viable GM candidates...lol!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:23 AM

There is no evidence that the ECS office manager has education pass the H.S. level.

Might be why there are so many complaints and legal costs. Does anyone with a H.S. level education have any idea of HR laws, or corporate laws...CPPMWC should troll the high school and get a replacement. ECS office manager has no resume? Guess being the GM's wife is enough? Very big mess there, Breezy!

So if your contractor is not upholding the laws of the State, County, Town, etc... who is liable for all the extra expenses, CPPMWC or the contractor?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 07:26 AM

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 09:17 PM

Home from the Water Co meeting(not much to re-cap,Ron Albright STILL owes money for his shares and continues to

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

be a Board Member(WTF???!!!!), maybe the reason for his absence tonight)

So this is true, Ron has not been a legal BOD representative from the get go. Why then is he still allowed to sit on the BOD and act as a director, act as the VP of the BOD?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 10:07 AM

Somebody needs to let all the other property owners near the well know that the water company is buying easements.

This was suggested, however I got the impression from the BOD that this would be labor intensive trying to identify those property owners for notification. I believe the BOD said they would only address "the other property owners near the well" if those owners contacted them for review.

So if your contractor is not upholding the laws of the State, County, Town, etc... who is liable for all the extra expenses, CPPMWC or the contractor?

That will be interesting to find out. Perhaps with the various complaints filed (DOC - Attorney General, IRS, Labor) there's a possibility that one or all those agencies will provide an answer.

Why then is he still allowed to sit on the BOD and act as a director, act as the VP of the BOD?

This question has been asked numerous times of the BOD. Perry usually responds but the answer never makes sense, at least not to my ears. **Is there anybody from last night's meeting or other meetings that understood the response want to explain it to me?**

Where is their 1/2 person working? Did ECS explain why there was a contract employee on the books for CPP in the office? Is CPPMWC having to pay the 1/2 person now for ECS?

What is the contract employee on the books for the Shop?

With the new meeting format it's difficult to ask these questions unless the information is available in advance. For instance the August financials were only available at the beginning of last night's meeting. In the old meeting format shareholders were able to question certain items when the financial report was being presented to the BOD. I guess that's where the BOD figured we were wasting their time. In this case these questions would have to be asked at the next "public comment" meeting via a public comment card. A July financial was available last month. Prior to that there were NO financials for at least a few months. It's difficult to monitor financials when its not available in a timely manner. 😞

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 10:33 AM

Does anyone with a H.S. level education have any idea of HR laws, or corporate laws...CPPMWC should troll the high school and get a replacement. ECS office manager has no resume?

ECS has an outdated website <http://www.ecscompany.com>

If they were a legitimate "management consulting firm" then wouldn't it seem reasonable to find promotional material for their services? Personnel resumes? List of clients?

At least 7 different business addresses have been discovered for ECS COMPANY, INC since incorporating on 1/1/02. The most recent business address discovered appears to be the same as the personal residence of the ECS personnel.

Searching the contractors license for "E C S COMPANY" <http://www.cslb.ca.gov/>
you will find 2 active licenses - C36 Plumbing and 1 revoked license - B General Bldg Contractor

So many red flags that the BOD's have ignored. I now wonder if the BOD's have the business experience and common

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

sense needed to run a water co. Have they ever visited & monitored the office operations?

One BOD claims to be a "collections expert". Then why didn't he leverage his expertise to instruct ECS about collection procedures for defaulted accounts? Burtec, the mountain trash vendor, can levy a property owners parcel for uncollected "trash fees". Maybe the water co should start "thinking outside the box" and enact comparable collection tactics? The opportunity to collect during the escrow or foreclosure process is viable as well. Massive write-offs of delinquent accounts contribute to deficits.

The BOD's have entrusted the company financials to persons that have no post high school accounting training & experience.

We have lost some very qualified personnel because of the personal problems within ECS. For instance, the former office employee, with at least 1yr of service to the company, was equally as qualified as Angela. She could have assumed the office manager duties and relieved the water co expense of job searching. The same goes for the former sr. field operator, having at least 5yrs of service & loyalty to the company. His alleged "lack of experience" to be the GM hardly seems reasonable now considering the BOD hired the current GM without a record of experience. AND, more importantly, the former employees RESIDE ON THE MOUNTAIN! Their dismissals, IMO, was a self-serving gesture on behalf of ECS and has created additional expense to the shareholders.

Employee transition is always an expensive process for a company. The BOD's had a fiscal responsibility to minimize this expense. Running a mutual water co on personal agendas is a recipe for disaster. These actions contribute to deficits. ☹️

From: [marvista](#)

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 02:34 PM

Thank you Breezy!

Wondering how many of us will be paying the one-time assessment next Friday, 9/30/11?

Shocked [but not surprised] at the BODs & contractor shenanigans.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 03:12 PM

NOT ME!

I'm not paying! There's a pending investigation. I'm not letting go of my rolled pennies for just anyone!

Go ahead, shut my water off! I dare you!

Really, CPP Water Company, you've over-stepped your boundaries!

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 03:39 PM

Well, I have to pay it so my caretaker has water.

So I'm making out my check and the slip says "MUST send this slip with payment". Ok I have **4** payments to make and **one** slip. Good thinking there!!!!???? Also had to hunt for a past bill to find my bk and acct number.

I also take exception to other people who can afford to make 2 payments or 1 payment getting a reduction on the ""one time"" assessment. Talk about a non-equal assessment!!!! We are all assessed the same and made to pay the same or it is not equal!

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 05:27 PM

Ok I have 4 payments to make and one slip. Good thinking there!!!!????

do you think they will put the other three payments due onto your REGULAR bill??

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 05:40 PM

I have no idea. If they put it on the regular bill the late date will be different and I need those extra few days. This whole thing is a mess. If they need the dang money so bad why are they giving breaks for those that have the money? How do you add up the money you need and divide (by an unequal amount of accts) money so you get what you need and then give a discount. Now you don't have all the money you need! The people with the least money pay the most and you still don't have all the money you need.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 05:56 PM

I think the regular bill and the extra payments are all due on the 30th. (same time)

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 06:27 PM

You may be right about that. At least the last bill was due by the 30th.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 07:03 PM

if you can't find your regular bill, it is due also.

if you can't pay both, I'd suggest paying the assessment first. they will just charge you late fee's on your regular bill. they promised to turn your meter off for not paying their extortion assessment.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 07:12 PM

I paid the regular bill right after I got it so I'm clear there. Just didn't have the 1/4 "hold up" fee until now.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 07:18 PM

Soc Sec doesn't stretch when other people want it to. I just didn't have the lead time to get things in order for this month. Not sure about the next ass. pymt either because I have copays for my husbands hospital stay and they don't want to wait either. I don't have money to throw away on late fees.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 07:45 PM

kibcpp: if you email me your book # and acct.# i'll send in the 48.75 for you and you can credit my acct.# if or when you can. Dchinitz

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2011 07:55 PM

You are very kind but the check is made out and ready to mail. I thank you very much for the offer but right now I have it covered. Thank you again.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 11:17 AM

I have a CPPMWC assessment form. 😊 It's your RIGHT! to postpone the OneTime Assessment until the investigation and resolution is completed by the California State Attorney General (File# CAS 47463)

I'll post it here as so I can, so you may print the form yourself or call me, email me... 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 06:25 PM

It's your RIGHT! to postpone the OneTime Assessment until the investigation and resolution is completed by the California State Attorney General (File# CAS 47463) Thank you Mr. Morrison! You rock!

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 07:51 AM

Well, I sent in my 1st pymt. I had emailed for the form but it didn't get emailed back or posted until after we went to the post office. Not sure I would have tested the waters anyway as I can't have my resident caretaker without water. After what the fire board pulled out here in AZ, I don't trust that CPPMWC will go along with the law. They don't seem to care about the rules/laws up to now. If you all don't get your water turned off, my first payment will be my last and I will write out the form and send it in. It took months for the AZ attny general to answer the complaints here and meanwhile the fire board continued to do as they darn well pleased and it sure wasn't good for the community.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 12:20 PM

Update: I just recieved a letter from the State Attorney General. File# PIU:435109

Further documentation is requested.

CPPMWC is demanding the assessment and claim they have the right to do so.

I agree to an assessment, if in fact necessary, but shared equally between shareholders, as per OUR By-Laws.

I'm not paying! They can wait for their \$48.75, until I'm notified by the Attorney General as to their results.

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 01:31 PM

what further documentation is requested and of whom, company or shareholders? If from us, is it information that the company will allow us to have. Is it documentation we are know to be in order or like the # if shares, something we know to not be in order.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 02:58 PM

The Attorney General has listed the specific code violations that fall within their jurisdiction, pertaining to non-profit, mutual benefit corporation members. There are 18 different codes. Half of which I can roll off my tongue CPPMWC is guilty of. We have mountains of documentation!!!! 😊 But it's going to take a couple of days to organize it and forward to the Attorney General.

I am asking from our COMMUNITY, as a whole, postpone payment of the assessment until the Attorney General has completed their investigation. CPPMWC wouldn't dare dis-connect service for hundreds of shareholders. I know they wouldn't! I'M CALLING THEIR BLUFF!!!!

As per Angela, CPPMWC, half of the shareholders have paid the assessment already. The remaing 350 shareholders need TO STAND FOR OUR RIGHTS!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 03:13 PM

Also, a letter is being drafted today for Congressman Jerry Lewis. If the Feds have to get involved, we'll ask them to. We have his personal email address! 😊 It good to know people who know people! 😊

We have made a lot of progress in a very short time! I thank ROTW for allowing us to speak openly!!!! 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 03:43 PM

Sounds like it's all good then. I knew Breezy had a lot of docs but I seem to remember there were some they wouldn't give to her even with proper request papers.

My husband and I would like to thank all of you for doing all the hard work. I'm so hoping that CA AG will find some fines for the board members to pay. If AZ AG goes with ahead doing the charges, our now defunct fire board will be paying a whole lot of very expensive fines for their illegal activities.

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 05:49 PM

Jim, can u put a link for us to se a copy of the AG's response? CPP Water Co. website says the case is closed but if I understand you the AG did not close the case, but merely asked for additional info. Am I correct?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 07:35 PM

Rhondalee - thanks for bringing that to my attention. Isn't it amazing how quickly they can update the CPP website when it serves THEIR purposes?

The CPP response Rhondalee referred to is located at:

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Attorney%20General%20Statement.pdf>

For all my fellow tech geeks?

The PDF statement was created 9/27/11 - 11:56PM

using Microsoft Word - Attorney General Statement.doc author: matthew cataldo

Because the WORD document was **poorly and unprofessionally created** - generating a BLANK 2nd page & wacked out text centering - this doesn't provide PROOF - IMHO. 😞 NOW, if they wanted to **PUBLISH** the AG response letter received by the water company then it might have more credibility. You decide.

From: TheMadDuck 

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 07:44 PM

Why would they publish something that is a NULL? It's like non-information.

Besides my understanding from Jim's post *Further documentation is requested* the AG asked for more information concerning the charges pending.

??????? Isn't it amazing how they can publish misinformation and no damn minutes for months at a time? Me thinks there's some smelly stuff under the rug. 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:02 PM

Rondalee, yes the original Dept of Corp complaint File# CAS-47463 was cancelled when it was forwarded by the DOC to the California State Attorney General. The AG issued case# PIU:435109 on September 20, 2011.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:03 PM

AGAIN, they are delaying the monthly board meeting from OCT 20 to Oct 27. What the heck is going on? According to the April 21, 2011 minutes

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Reg%20Board%20Meeting%204-21-11Amen...>

PAGE 9: Director Steiner made a motion to modify the board meeting format to include 1 hour of public comment

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

and then adjourn to executive session, **not to exceed the October 20, 2011 meeting**. Director Hartman seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

ANYBODY want make a bet the Oct 27 meeting will not be re-open to the shareholders? On the bright side? No more ECS? 😊

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:08 PM

Here's a good example of the water company not publishing information that **would serve the shareholder interests. It's the company Rules & Regulations.**

<http://www.cppmwc.com/rules.html>

What do you see? NOTHING!!!!

Another example? The water quality reports. Hey? It's 2011!! 2007 - 2008 has come and gone hasn't it?

<http://www.cppmwc.com/waterquality.html>

And what about the BY-LAWS? NOTHING!!!!

That AG announcement on the home page is self-serving to the few! Again for disclaimer purposes - IMHO!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:25 PM

Another revelation has entered my email today! 😊

Ron Albright, a director, has been in recent discussion over his contiguous property he owns. He bought 4 lots next to him. Per OUR By-Laws he is required to purchase shares for the additional property. Well he has owned the property since 1994. All the while, CPPMWC never imposed the share requirement. When questioned by our shareholders why? They were like deer caught in the head-lights.

Now, our board wants to compensate Ron Albright for an EASEMENT because of close proximity to Burnt Mill Well No.7. Our BOD claims his property edge is about 100' from the well and needs an easement. I believe I heard \$10,500 of OUR money is to be spent for all the paperwork and Albright's compensation. And is to be the example for all future easements.

This is more evidence OUR BOD is acting with no regard to the shareholder's interest. Please see the copied email from California Dept of Health. It states 50' is the set-back requirement!

Negating ALL BUSINESS NEGOTIATIONS with Ron Albright!

Please share this with everyone!

FROM: Aguirre, Andres (CDPH-DDWEM)

TO: that1deadguy

September 28, 2011 4:13 PM

Mr. Morrison:

This e-mail is in follow up to your phone call today requesting information/operating rules of Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Company and information on potable well setbacks, in particular Upper Burnt Mill No. 7.

I searched our files and we do not have any operating rules or bylaws on file.

Regarding the separation/setback requirements, for new wells, Title 22, CCR, Section 64560 (a)(2) requires

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

documentation that a 50 foot radius around a well site be provided. Title 22, CCR, Section 64560 (c)(1) requires that wells be constructed per the California Department of Water Resources Bulletins 74-81 and 74-90 (also known as California Well Standards) which include separation requirements.

The California Well Standards may be found here

http://wwwdpla.water.ca.gov/sd/groundwater/california_wel...

Separation requirements specifically are noted in Section 8

http://wwwdpla.water.ca.gov/sd/groundwater/california_wel...

Title 22 regulations may be found here

[http://government.westlaw.com/linkedslice/search/default....](http://government.westlaw.com/linkedslice/search/default...)

Attached please find the horizontal distance form we use to review new well applications. Regarding Upper Burnt Mill No. 7, the source was reviewed at the time of permitting and is currently an approved source. We request waters systems to maintain the current separation requirements for existing wells. These would apply to vertical and horizontal wells.

If you have any questions, please call or e-mail.

Regards,

Andrés Aguirre, P.E.

Associate Sanitary Engineer - California Department of Public Health

Drinking Water Field Operations Branch

Direct (909) 383-4308

Fax (909) 383-4745

andres.aguirre@cdph.ca.gov

Visit us at www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/drinkingwater

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:32 PM

CPPMWC! You ain't looking too good about now! 😏

OUR BOD is being exposed for what they really are!

Used snake-oil salesmen!

Bunch of very, very bad boys!

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 08:59 PM

Andrés Aguirre, P.E.

Associate Sanitary Engineer - California Department of Public Health

Well there it is -- the "ENGINEER's" opinion. Jim? and how much did that opinion cost the company? With the exception of your time? ZERO! Thank you for re-affirming the shareholder complaint submitted against Ron. You are so right about the BOD's "blowing us off" when we presented this same information to them!

So? What's it going to take to get the BOD's to do the right thing and stop inventing solutions and spending unnecessary legal & engineering fees to keep their guy on board? It's been over 6 months and he still sits on the board and hasn't paid a dime.

Very, very bad boys, indeed. 😏

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 06:59 AM

Breezy, it didn't cost me a penny, the call was local. And it was about a 15 minute conversation.

I wonder if the BOD will thank me for saving %\$10,500 of OUR MONEY?!!

NO!

We are non-profit!!!!, gotta to spend it!! or return it to the shareholders in the form of a dividend. Yea right!
A cold day in hell, that'll happen.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 07:08 AM

There will be a group of us near the post office today and tomorrow, starting at 10am. Several folks in our community don't have the information we have about our water company. We want to spread the word!

We are really pushing for DELAY of the One Time Assessment bill, until the Attorney General completes the investigation. It'll take a while, and we all need that!

It's all about being fair! And what is right and wrong!

Good Morning CPP! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 07:22 AM

I really want to thank EVERONE for their comments and concerns. It seems like it's been non-stop on the phone and emails from our fellow shareholders. Several have ask me to be their voice, and I am proud to do so!

You best action is to refrain from paying the assessment. Never speaks louder than that!

I'm in no way perfect! I have very deep values of right and wrong. And I'm open to Constructive Criticism! I'm just a stupid construction worker. But, I'm a MARINE first a foremost! Carry On!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 07:57 AM

The City of Palmdale, CA sued their water company and WON recently!

Check it out! Great info!

<http://www.bbklaw.com/?t=40&an=8588&format=xml>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 08:03 AM

What about APN:034212266000, Has this family been notified of the easement allowance being currently made to RA? Their property is in the well easement. Do they know about this golden ticket?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 08:11 AM

Everyone within 50' of a existing, operational well has a claim to an easement.

Not Ron Albright, though! His property is about 100' away!

Go away Ron!

You get nothing!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 08:27 AM

A HUGE thank you to David! He made several signs for us! 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) ✉

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 09:38 AM

The City of Palmdale, CA sued their water company and WON recently!

You do realize that Best Best & Krieger is ALSO are the same legal firm that CPPMWC uses don't you? IRONY!

From: [OtterPrincess](#)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 07:49 PM

K! So I finally have an identity on ROTW.net! woo-hoo! am wondering if there's a way to look at case# PIU:435109 info anywhere on the internet? Thanks for all of your dedication & hard work on this issue, everyone!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 08:22 PM

Otterprincess, welcome and thank you.

My name is on the complaint, and is not open to the public. I'm representing the community (well, most).

But, I have no secrets and post everything here as we go along. 😊

Over the weekend, we will be organizing the documentation as evidence for specific Corporation code violations. There are mutiple violations. Feel free to add to it if you'd like.

We are just starting.

Sit back and enjoy the show! 😊

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 07:01 AM

Good morning, folks. We will out and about protesting the One Time Assessment bill today 10am -6pm

I consider yesterday a huge success! 😊 Well over 100 shareholders are NOT paying the assessment until the investigation by the State Attorney General is completed.

Some even stopped payment of their checks! Thank you!

btw, non payment of assessments does NOT give them authority to dis-connect your water service! It can ONLY be collected in the form of a lien, against your property, adding to your tax bill. That's it! 😊 So their claim to dis-connect is 100% inaccurate!

More B*llshit from an uneducated BOD! 😞

More evidence, they don't know what they are doing! 😞

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 07:10 AM

Make sure the office is notified by fax, email or in person when you stop payment on your check so they don't charge the NSF fee on your account, present the letter/notice from the bank that the payment was stopped with the notice of why you are not paying. Then it is an arguable point to reverse any NSF fees, if they try to post them to your accounts. It is month end, and the deposits may have finally made it to the bank.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 07:10 AM

Hot off the presses!!!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

The 40 acre property on Crest Forest Drive has sold! The listing agent has confirmed the water supply will come from CPPMWC. In my opinion, several people (BOD) have a vested interest in this development and stand to make a lot of money from it, all of the heels and expense of us shareholders!

Wake up people! You've got read between the lines!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 07:14 AM

And always, please, please please, correct me if I'm wrong!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 07:18 AM

Kacey, the shareholder did go into the office and notified them of the stop payment. 😊
She lumped together both of the bills on the payment. She gave them a new check for her regular bill.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 08:17 AM

Good morning Shareholders of CPPMWC.

Well, today is the day. We either pay the assessment or we do not. I will not!

Jim, as you have stated that a lien could be placed on our property for non-payment of the assessment, I'd like to have factual conformation on that as I do not believe it could be true. Where did you get this info and is it in writing? I hope this does not frighten people away from not paying the assessment.

From: Bearlady

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 08:19 AM

Patti, Exactly! That's what I'm afraid of 😞

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 08:39 AM

Don't worry Bearlady, I'll protect you 😊😊 I am not afraid!

First of all, having a lien put on our tax bill will take time for the cppmwc to care for in the first place *IF* it is even possible.

Secondly, as what they are doing is an illegal act and a case against them is pending, I don't see the County of SB stepping into this so easily.

Does anyone else have an opinion on this subject?

If so, pls speak up. In the mean time we need to hear from Jim.

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:00 AM

Follow the Pied Piper and drink the Koolaid, it's still an unpaid bill!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:05 AM

I'm calling their bluff! I'm not a fortune teller.

They are not going to do ANYTHING to over 150 shareholders postponing the assessment payment until the investigation is completed. They don't have money to take action which involves money for so many.

That would create a monster!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:10 AM

You as shareholders need to do what you want/need. I'm not going try to sell you into anything (other than attending the summer BBQs) 😊 I'm not afraid of ANY potential consequences the BOD has threatened to do!

The more that we act upon, the more action we will get as a community, and someday.....

Everything will be beautiful!!!! 😊😊😊

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:10 AM

I called the AG office, gave them your case numbers your case has been closed, all you have is a complaint number. You better hope your nieghbor has paid their bill so you can get some water!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:16 AM

Whatdayawant, I hope you're joking!

I am a Marine! I was taught to defend our rights and our freedom!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:20 AM

You probably called the DOC. Yes the original complaint was received and forwarded to the Attorney General. If you have a phone for the AG, share it wuth everyone, please! Pay attention and read back through the posts.

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 09:56 AM

Jim, you know you are a bro but I cannot find anything that says I don't have to pay my bill because you filed a complaint with the AG. Can you provide a link or a statement from the AG office?

Also, if a charge goes to lien, by the time the county adds their charges it doubles the original bill (See Burtek)

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 12:04 PM

CPPMWCo dose not file leans on properties (too much damn work for them)

They have a monopoly and find ways that benefit themselves (the water co).

They charge new owners the past due water amounts, or in the case of canyon vista they pulled the meter which costs an extra pretty penny. (or no water!)

Pay the past due amount or pay to have a meter reinstalled OR both! (or no water!).

They are too d Lazy to put leans on properties so that escrow knows to have THAT bill paid before escrow closes.

<http://rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/79440>

If they are being nice they don't charge the new owner the past due late fees from the old owner.

Welcome to CPP_ be nice to the water co. or beware.

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 01:46 PM

WE are the Water Co! Charging \$5000 for a meter seems like a good source of revenue. Could keep us from being assessed again?

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 02:17 PM

CP_Maynard, are you suggesting that late fees should be \$5000?

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 02:23 PM

Meter hook-up in Lake Arrowhead is \$10,000 pretty good deal...

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 02:26 PM

And Jim no joking going on here, have Breezy look it up for you she seems to get her nose in everything else!

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 02:39 PM

Whadayawant; are you suggesting we move to Lake Arrowhead?

We were talking about how to fix a broke, broken water company.

From: disposition 

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 03:11 PM

Whatdoya... You on the payroll or something?? Where is Tazzy with her... "Bugger off!!!"

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 03:17 PM

Whadayawant: *And Jim no joking going on here, have Breezy look it up for you she seems to get her nose in everything else!*

Want to elaborate? I'm just presently facts and 1st person observations.

What can you bring to the party for enlightenment?

From: CP_Maynard 

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 03:21 PM

As a shareholder/part owner - if pulling the meter on bank owned properties generates \$5000 in revenue for the water company upon the sale to new owners then I am for it.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 03:21 PM



CP_Maynard: *WE are the Water Co! Charging \$5000 for a meter seems like a good source of revenue. Could keep us from being assessed again?*

New meter charges start at \$5K. The longer your membership in the water company the cheaper the new meter. As low as \$2,500 after 21/yr membership time.

Fixing the Share Registry is a good source of revenue too!

From: Tazzy

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 03:28 PM

Ummmm okay disposition, bugger off my friend. Go figure   

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, October 1, 2011 07:34 AM

Good day for compiling Coporation Code violation documentation.

There are so many!.....lots of work to do today!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, October 1, 2011 08:37 AM

Boy Jim you've taken the ball and are running with it. Keep up the good work

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 2, 2011 06:40 AM



ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 2, 2011 07:34 AM

How did your Corporate Code identification go?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 2, 2011 07:50 AM

We documented well over 10 violations. After 7 hours of this, our heads were spinning. Today were are putting the final touches on it and perparing it for delivery. Should go out to the AG tomorrow!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 2, 2011 07:57 AM

FYI, several folks were over-charged on their most recent water bill. The cheap-ass excuse was "mis-read or wrongly input into the computer". Please call the Sheriff and file a police report against the Water Co. if you have had this experience. 2 reports have been filed, any more will constiute the District Attorney to get involved for criminal activity. Bunch of crooks!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 2, 2011 08:03 AM

Breezy & Jim, correct me if I am wrong here...the water company only can collect the 5K for *new service* or if the service has been *abandoned*, right? Otherwise the outstanding water bill is collected during escrow, right? So if, for example, Jim sells his place...if he was in good standing at sale the new buyer would only have to pay the share transfer fees and whatever outstanding balance on the account that was applicable at the time of sale...**not a new service at 5K?**

I have read in the postings and minutes available that water service has to be abandoned within 35 days of shares being cancelled for non payment...how many of those produced the 5K revenues? That's were many of the real income losses would be coming from.

If properties have gone through foreclosure, gone to auction, sold and then reapplied to the water company, those would be the properties that service could and probably should have been abandoned.

The right-offs accounts, from the minutes, should all have been abandoned within 35 days of the water services being abandoned. Abandoned service means they disconnected the property from the main. I am sure that the office would have had to bring that to the BOD and declare them abandoned and it would have to have been in the minutes as such.

Each of those should have been disconnected and a new service assesment would have been required at sale.

So if you would look back through the minutes and compare to the published foreclosure sales you could estimate lost revenues or expected revenues if the property hasn't sold or closed escrow. Either way, it should have been included in the budgeted cash forecasts, if not...your cash forecasts are underestimated.

Fannie Mae, HUD, and any other banking institution would be required [**and they would pay without argument**] to clear all outstanding utility liens at escrow...that is if the utility is on the ball and following through with their allowable procedures.

Now that you have Angela in the office, with her accounting expertise, maybe she will be able to finally follow through with the revenue acquirements that your ECS administrative advisor isn't following through with. *It's not her fault, she obviously doesn't understand how expenses and revenues can profoundly effect a company, non profit or not. If you haven't had the education or experience, it's hard to know what is what on the balance sheet.*

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 3, 2011 04:04 AM

Kacey, you've pointed out, in my opinion, more criminal activity. Some have paid \$5,000, others \$50, % 150, \$300, and \$500. There's no explanation for the favoritism.

Yesterday was a very productive 8 hour day, unlike at CPPMWC. We've documented 12 different Corporation Code violations that falls within the AG's jurisdiction. Exhibits will be identified and several copies will be made. The package will be sent out today. I will have all the documents available for anyone who wishes to review them. Just ask.

From: Bearlady

Sent: Monday, October 3, 2011 05:38 AM

Good Morning Jimbo! Was thinking about what we were talking about on Friday. OLDGUY's email is in his profile..

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 3, 2011 07:51 AM

Jim, not sure if it would be *criminal* activity, just another example of the the contractors not doing what they are paid to do. That falls on the backs of the BOD for taking the contractors at their word.

If a review of the minutes is made and the accounts that were reported at the meetings as written off and all shares cancelled and were not reissued to a new buyer are reviewed, those properties should have been abandoned within 35 days and the new service connection fees of \$5k would be valid for the district to collect. Did the following month minutes report those accounts as abandoned within the mandatory 35 days? It would be in the operations report in the next month's minutes.

It will require a bit of investigation. If the accounts were cancelled and reissued to the new buyer, they had to have been in good standing and cleared through escrow so shares transferred without a hitch and the valid cost of transfer would have been collected. Those shares would not apply to the \$5k abandoned membership accounts.

The loss of revenues by not following up on the \$5k accounts are a point to investigate. With all the foreclosure activity on our mountain, the \$5K new service connections could have been a source of considerable income, if proper procedures were followed by the district.

How many shareholders lost their property through foreclosure in the last two years? PIMS would show the current owners of the property. If the property is held in trust through foreclosure, what is the current status of the membership?

From: rebs

Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2011 02:45 PM

The process for adding an assessment to a tax bill does not seem to be too onerous. I pulled the latest memo off the county web-site....missed for this year of course as tax bills were extracted last month...but it may be a good time to start thinking about FY12/13. I will snail mail a copy to the office but if anyone would like it also let me know i will e-mail. rphillips at asr.sbcounty.gov

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2011 02:53 PM

UPDATE:

Our response to the AG has taken countless hours to compile! Several people postponed their lives to accomplish what we have done in a very short time! THANK YOU!!!!

83 pages, 29 pieces of documentation, 12 specific Corporation code violations that the AG has authority/jurisdiction over. It was USPS certified mailed today.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2011 03:21 PM

We thank everyone working on this problem. It is greatly appreciated!!!!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2011 06:30 PM

Good job, Jim and Company... wow, that's a lot of work!

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2011 10:32 PM

Lets not forget that the BOD didn't interview or put the contract position up for bid - ECS are old compadres of our dearest BOD Pres.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, October 5, 2011 06:57 AM

NoOtherButMe, I wish there was some documentation to that out in the public. I don't believe the CPPMWC has to bid out, do they? That should be a resolution for sure!

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Wednesday, October 5, 2011 03:38 PM

I wish there was some documentation to that out in the public....

The documentation out in the public regarding a bid out can be found in an April 21, 2009 Public Comment presented by the late Patrick Marley. It is available as a PDF at:

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/minutes/2009/20090421_PMarley-PublicComment.pdf

It is available as TEXT in a post at:

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/68873?page=5>

9-18-10 - CPPMWC Annual Shareholder's Meeting

CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, Inc,
REGULAR BOARD MEETING - April 21, 2009
PUBLIC COMMENT by PATRICK J. MARLEY, Esq.,
on behalf of a number of Shareholders

Page 1 - Paragraph 2 (per the PDF link)

When the Shareholders offered potential solutions and made suggestions of possible new employees for the Company, the Board advised that the Company would follow standard procedures such as advertising and offering public opportunities for any job openings. It was a surprise, then, when the Board announced it was hiring a consulting firm - without Shareholder input and without any public offering or announcement. The details of the arrangement have not been released to the Shareholders.

A resolution or policy to that effect would have been better, however, it appears that previous discussions and assurances by the BOD was provided to the shareholders that an open job search would commence. It did not happen and ECS was hired "without any public offering or announcement".

No0thrButMe - you are correct about the ECS connection to the BOD Prez. He has stated in meeting that his company, Golden State Water used the services of S.Gowan in a vendor capacity.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Wednesday, October 5, 2011 03:58 PM

The current process of hiring the new employees appears to be in accordance with the expectations stated in P.Marley's April 21, 2009 comment:

..new employees for the Company, the Board advised that the Company would follow standard procedures such as advertising and offering public opportunities for any job openings.

Right now, a concern about the implementation of that objective is in question regarding the GM position & field tech positions. Would it be in the best interests of ECS not to fill those positions? Or, not hiring within the community?

An interesting post, among many, addressed this concern:

I have wondered if any of the LACSD staff had applied, but doubt they were called for interviews if they had. I don't think it falls within the obvious plans of the current contractors to keep their control supreme. Reaching out to hire proven professional local technicians puts their hold on the company in a place where they would finally be unnecessary. The closer the CPPMWC gets to the end of the current contractor monopoly the sooner their dirty tricks and unprofessional ways will come to the surface.

Alas, it will be the contractors to the rescue, again, to rescue the rubes of CPPMWC from themselves. The mountain folks are not capable of taking care of the issues at hand. [Paraphrased down from a much nastier comment heard many times regarding the people of CPPMWC.]

Source: <http://www.geminisworld.net/gemsworld/MessageBoard.aspx>

TOPIC: In the News

Title: CPP WATER CO

Page: 11 - kacey1 - Tuesday, October 04, 2011 8:12:53 AM

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Wednesday, October 5, 2011 05:08 PM

Golden State Water used the services of S.Gowan in a vendor capacity.

makes me wonder what that means. vender? capacity?

he sold him a car??

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2011 07:03 AM

Where is the resolution posted to ban hiring people who live in CPPMWC, is that legal?

From: [marvista](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2011 08:09 AM

Isn't the ECS contract supposed to end Oct. 30? Are they leaving just in time before our "Mutual" Water Co. becomes bankrupt?

From: [Veritas](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:04 PM

The **MAJORITY** have not paid! The CPPMWC Rules&Regs are clear regarding assessments. It is per share! The total needed assessment was stated at \$231,467 (\$91,700-RUS + \$139,767-cash reserve). 4,340 shares issued and outstanding were reported as of 7/30/11. Do the math. Roughly \$53.33 per share.

For BOD members the hit per share would look like this:

Prez = \$266.65 (5)

VP = \$479.97 (9)

Sec = \$959.94 (18)

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Tres = \$586.63 (11)

BoardMem = \$213.32 (4)

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:07 PM

Seems like anybody who did not have a payment plan option on the books at the office should walk in and sign the paperwork and move the one time payment total to a payment plan and opt for a 12, or 18 or 24 month payment of the \$180, and be done with it.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:28 PM

marvista - According to the assessment notice and the office ECS last day is Oct 28.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:29 PM

Hi Veritas - Thanks for passing that along from the G-World CPP Water co thread.

Also stated:

As it stands right now, the current assessment will NOT raise the objective funds. There are only 728 connections reported as of 7/30/11.

Do the math. \$317.95 would have to be the proper assessment to raise \$231,467 on just "metered" accounts. If assessed on all accounts then maybe \$279.55 per account.

The assessment will accomplish nothing because it will not raise the required amount. There will be another "bail out" asked of the shareholders again in the near future. It's almost inevitable based on the math. 😞

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:33 PM

Seems like anybody who did not have a payment plan option on the books at the office should walk in and sign the paperwork and move the one time payment total to a payment plan and opt for a 12, or 18 or 24 month payment of the \$180, and be done with it.

Kacey1 - interesting idea. \$180 / 24mo is \$15 per bi-monthly billing cycle. Still not great since it is not a fair assessment, however it might help. Thx.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 03:27 PM

BreezyMtnLady, the payplan has to be paid monthly, not bi-monthly as the water bill. So in the months the bi-monthly water bill is due, the monthly payplan is due as well.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 03:37 PM

The question not being answered: why wasn't the shortfall assessed to all members? The answer, is the same when the rate increase was passed... what had to happen then? Do you remember?

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 04:10 PM

The question not being answered: why wasn't the shortfall assessed to all members? The answer, is the same when the rate increase was passed... what had to happen then? Do you remember?

That "rate increase" increased my annual membership fee from \$165 to \$243.48.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

If you are suggesting another rate increase based on that then for sure they will lose more 99-accounts / unmetered accounts. As the BOD has stated we are the cash cows for the company because we require no service. Forget it.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 04:13 PM

the payplan has to be paid monthly, not bi-monthly as the water bill. So in the months the bi-monthly water bill is due, the monthly payplan is due as well.

Well, that would be a bit annoying. Saving pennies for the monthly payment might make it less painful and have great entertainment value. 😊 Oh Wait, what am I saying? - I didn't get billed for the assessment - but, when the assessment is reviewed correctly and ALL accounts or better yet - ALL SHARES are assessed as per the Rules & Regs then I will remember the payment plan option. Thx.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 9, 2011 07:34 AM

Update:

Registered receipt of mailing to the Office of the Attorney General was confirmed yesterday. The AG received our 29 documents of evidence against 12 code violations on Oct 6, 2011.

The beatings will continue 'til the morale improves!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 9, 2011 12:13 PM

It's almost over, one less "Trick" this Halloween, actually 2 & 1/2 less "Tricks" this Halloween... once ECS leaves the maountain to dwell in their lovely *Fontucky-By-The-Ditch*, the CPPmountain members will offer up solutions that will work, they will do it for free too!

From: OLDGUY

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 01:13 AM

...the CPPmountain members will offer up solutions that will work, they will do it for free too!

Not me! I'll be happy to take over daily operations and will do it for *only* \$8000/mo.

Think of the savings!!

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 09:49 AM

OLDGUY - sorry to break the bad news, but since you live ON THE MOUNTAIN you are automatically disqualified for consideration. 😞

A 45min response time is required as well. The "newly hired" folks can possibly respond within a minimum of 1hr in "good weather". But I bet at \$8K/mo you and many others would have made the necessary adjustments to fulfill the response time requirement. We have certified & experienced shareholders that are automatically disqualified for consideration as well, so don't feel totally discriminated against. 😊

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 10:03 AM

Breezey, seems I would qualify since they don't want immediate response. I can be there in about 7 hrs! I, like the current management co., no nothing about running one. However I would be disqualified since I do know a bit about bookkeeping 😊 Oh well. ROFL

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 03:03 PM

My neighbor sprung a leak under her home. She called dispatch, they said it'll be a least an hour to respond. That was yesterday at 9:30, SHE'S STILL WAITING!

Page 97 of 187

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

(I shut it off after she told me they haven't showed up. Her water ran for 3 hours!)

This is the kind of CRAP we get!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 03:35 PM

Yep - a 1hr response time and there is no snow or fog conditions! Sucks! 😞

Everyone should know where their shut-off valve is located. It's easy to do. Don't rely on the water company to do it under the present circumstances in the office staffing. You are on your own. If you are a part-timer then turn your water off at the shut-off valve when you are not there.

Jim- your neighbor will now have to go through the one-time forgiveness protocol, of course they will have to prove their case (take pictures etc) to the satisfaction of the BOD and be sure they did not already receive a previous one-time forgiveness. Good Luck to them. Lesson learned. Know where the shut-off valve is and make it so.

From: [CP_Maynard](#)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 04:01 PM

I've lived here 7 years and it turns out I have even more water shares than I thought! How cool is that? My property value probably just shot up a ton. I never even thought about developing all four lots until now!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 06:35 PM

FYI for shareholders getting a delinquent notice from CPPMWC saying that they have until 10-17-11 to pay the additional \$48.10 (assessment install pymt) or the water will be turned off. Refer to Rules&Regs Section 1.7

September 30 to October 17 ????

HAS IT BEEN 52 DAYS OF NON-PAYMENT!!!!

Someone needs to buy those folks a calculator and a lesson in basic math!!!!

CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR WATER SERVICE
ADOPTED IN 1981 WITH UPDATES TO DECEMBER 16, 2010

WATER SERVICE AND TURN-ON PROCEDURE

Section 1.7

Accounts will be shut off and locked after 52 days of non-payment. If a Shareholder account is delinquent for non-payment, after eight (8) consecutive months of non-payment, the water meter will be removed from the Company water service connection at the Shareholder's expense. Shares will be canceled after 12 months of non-payment. The water service line will be disconnected from the water main after the cancellation of water shares. Shares on accounts without water service (99 accounts) will be canceled after 1 year of non-payment. 7/15/10

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 06:46 PM

NOTE: Section 1.7 would correctly apply in the situation below.

Accounts will be shut off and locked after 52 days of non-payment.

In the JUNE 11, 2011 Exec Minutes - Page 3

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Exec%20Minutes%206-11-11.pdf>

The BOD stated a board member owed 2 of 4 shares required. Therefore, if that board member was billed on JUNE 13, 2011 then that board member's account was delinquent on AUGUST 5, 2011.

His water has not been shut off to date because he was not billed. 🙄

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 07:19 PM

If CPPMWC is going to buy an easment on lots 72,73&74 has the same offer been extended to the owners lots 80,81,82,83,84,85,86,27,28,29,30,31, 36,38,39,40,41,42,56,57,58,69,70&71?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 06:20 AM

Only if the property is within 50' of a well, as per California Dept of Public Health.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 06:30 AM

Very, very busy short week is upon us. Lots of exciting stuff going on.

Please, please refrain from paying the One Time Assessment, aka "Miscellaneous" as listed on the Delinquency Notice. Their bullying tactics are an obvious attempt to scare you again. They are very desperate for money for reasons you'll never know until executed, then it'll be too late.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 07:05 AM

Jim, I don't understand why the VP of the BOD, who does not own lots within the 50 foot radius from the well, will not be charged for 4 shares. The public domain documents I have seen states he will only be required to purchase two? All the lots he owns, are contiguous and are no where near the 50 foot easement restricted area. CPPMWC owns the two lots where the well is located, and the VP gets a deal? This does not add up.

If CPPMWC will not collect funds [REVENUE] from BOD members according to the rules and regulations, how then are they allowed to assess this one time fee on members with meter?

Is there a clause in the by-laws or rules and regulation of CPPMWC that states they have the right to discriminate?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 07:05 AM

If the VP of BOD does not have sufficient shares for all his contiguous property, doesn't this apply?

BY-LAWS OF CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

Section 7.12 Suspension of Service.

To provide for the suspension of water service and for discontinuance of water delivery for violations of the rules and regulations, or for failure to pay any charges, tolls, assessments, costs, interest, penalties or other sums payable to the company, and the time when and conditions upon which such delivery or service shall be resumed. **Such discontinuance may be solely with respect to the delinquent shares, or with respect to all shares of the shareholder, whether delinquent or not.**

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 07:48 AM

Just remember, **all politics is local** if we clean up our own backyard, the rest of the mess will be easier.

113 years ago today, the Miners in Virden Illinois were attacked in their protests, **wear a red bandana today in support of standing up for the truth.** If the CPPMWC will not listen today, they may listen tomorrow or the next day, sooner or later they will have to listen. These historical beginnings like Virden, are proof that people can and will be heard. **Wear the red bandana** around your neck for your neighbors and your friends in CPP, show your solidarity, show you care!

Miners at Virden, Illinois, confronted armed guards in a battle that became one of the bloodiest class conflicts in

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

American history. This battle, part of the longer struggle to organize miners into an economic and political force, shaped the views of a generation of workers in Illinois and across the nation.

In the summer, Virden operators sent recruiters to Birmingham Alabama, using deception (telling them the miners had gone to war, not that there was a strike). Some of the recruits had worked in mines, and all sought to escape their enforced poverty in the South, where black workers faced multiple obstacles to a decent life, and where the use of prison labor in the mines was widespread and kept wages constantly below poverty.

In September, black organizer Richard Davis of the UMWA expressed dismay at the growing racial hostility expressed by white unionists, arguing that "no good results" would accrue from seeing this as a black-white issue. "I have watched it in the past and have never known it to fail. I would advise that we organize against corporate greed."

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 06:36 AM

Red Bandanas today, support the protest of the assessment fairness.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 07:11 AM

Huge thanks to neighbor Anthony. He is instrumental in preparing the court documents. Saving us a ton of dough! 😊

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 07:47 AM

I've done a little checking, and guess what I found out Kasey1 is a disgruntled EX employee of CPPMWC, I wondered why she keeps chiming in, and doesn't even live in CPP, why don't you but out of our business... And knowing where you work now, wouldn't they be interested to know what you'll be saying if you leave there!!!

Jim you're only going to cost us all more money, hope that makes you happy, the majority of the shareholders don't agree with you. We like our water company!

From: ThePants

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 08:05 AM

What if i don't *have* a red bandana?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 08:09 AM

Then wear red, a red shirt, a red something, in a sign of support for the shareholders of CPP!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 08:15 AM

Today I have my red bandana around my pony tail and am wearing my red suit. Wore my red bandana yesterday and a red shirt... and I will continue to wear my red bandana or red something everyday until the shareholders are given back their water company... it will remind me about the truth and sacrifices of every single person who ever stood up and said NO to some corprocat who didn't want to be bothered with objections of the people whom they serve.

The symbol of the Red Bandana is borrowed from the red bandanas in memory of Miners who tied Red Bandanas around their necks and fought in the "Red Neck Wars" of 1921. We mean to unite again. It takes just a few to make change happen. The Red Bandanas are the sign of unity.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 03:25 PM

Not expecting anything to begin with, and getting to see the GM and BOD member admit to the Deputy Labor Commissioner they had violated the labor laws was worth the entire hassle, all the petty comments, innuendos, insults and the trip. GM and BOD member admitted they should have paid lunch penalty when I was not allowed to take an

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

uninterrupted lunch break.

GM provided a written document to the DPL of full admission of violations they thought they should be held too.

The admission was on CPPMWC letterhead and signed by GM's wife, "under penalty of perjury". *Not notarized, no oath administered to the comment.*

I almost had to laugh out loud; it was not what I expected, at all. One admission of guilt is priceless.

My one mistake was to allow the initial intimidation from the GM and wife over breaks to begin with. They insisted the time I didn't get to take a lunch break had to be shown on the time calculation sheets as break taken, even though my punch card did not corroborate the facts, and the gist of the message was "if I didn't do it I wouldn't get my paychecks, and I could quit" ... my bad, live and learn. It only took two weeks of insisting I would clock out and get to leave for my breaks to be let go "At Will".

The record is now written, and they can't undo the fact they admitted they had violated the labor laws, and it's on the record. But we knew that, since the office is closed for lunchtimes now. One down and a few more to go! The district offer was accepted, because it put them on the record. Pure and simple, we won one!

So one step of the ladder is in place with cement and cannot be disputed. What else can I assist with?

To: CP_maynard, I wanted to tell you it wasn't going to cost the shareholders and it wouldn't end up being a ding to them, but I needed to hold my cards close. It got the district on record, I would have been happy to tell you what was in the works, but less known, less revealed.

We have one recorded admission of bad management.

Dead Guy, your turn!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 07:48 PM

I'm wearing my red boxers! It's the same design! But, I'm not wearing them on my head!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 07:52 PM

There's a lot in the kettle right now! Hunker down and enjoy the show! Pant, did you bring the popcorn?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 08:23 PM

oh, ya... thanks for that mental image Ideadguy, now I have you pictured with red bandana boxers on your head... great, I will have nightmares for sure, tonight 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 09:04 PM

I think it's odd that Jim wants me to put popcorn in his boxers. I *might* comply if he's not wearing them.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 09:29 PM

great, another nightmare image addition... popcorn in the boxers, on his head... what next!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 10:03 PM

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 08:03 PM

Dancing on tables is NEVER out of the question!

<http://rimoftheworld.net/discuss/194/86030> 😊

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 06:48 AM

So today is the 14th, will they leave on the 28th as declared, or is it just going to be another lie to add to the very long list of lies heard before?

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 06:56 AM

Court fees are \$395 and \$40. I don't have that. I need to borrow it. Any help would be appreciated.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 06:58 AM

I get my unemployment check next week. I'll pay you back. 😊

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 07:10 AM

\$25.00 On it's way, watch the post office, when is the date you need to file?

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 07:34 AM

Don't worry about paying me back, I will just brown bag-it for a while... Semper Fi!

From: [disposition](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 09:29 AM

Jim, is that the cost for filing fees? You may qualify for a waiver.

From: [ccmb](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 01:55 PM

Little help here! I know it's so last minute, but I need some help with, sould I call them for an extention? I can't afford this accessment,I haven't paid it cause it unfair, I got a deliquent notice, we're a fixed income household with a disabled person in the home and don't want my water shut off, but I did pay the regular water bill, what to do??? Thanks!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 02:18 PM

ccmb - Do a quick read on this posts within this thread.

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388?page=26>

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 06:35 PM

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388?page=25>

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2011 02:07 PM

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 02:19 PM

ccmb - for you and others.....

Edited post from Gemsworld

<http://www.geminisworld.net/gemsworld/MessageBoard.aspx>

TOPICS: In The News

TITLE: CPP WATER CO thread - Page 15

Saturday, October 08, 2011 2:36:28 PM

Anyone who does not have a payment plan on the books is able to put the \$180 on a payment plan. The rules and regs are clear that this option is available to any shareholder.

The number of payments is up to the shareholder and can be as long as 24 months, water cannot be turned off as long as the monthly payments are made on time.

The shareholder could then afford to pay the \$180 at a level affordable to the shareholder, rather than being held hostage by an illegal one time assessment not made per the rules and regs on all shareholders equally as stated in the rules.

The shareholder would still be responsible for the regular bi-monthly water bill and the monthly pay plan payments on the one time assessment on the metered accounts only.

It would also allow all the current complaints time to run their course and be given the consideration by the authorities who are currently examining them. If the onetime assessment is found to be outside the scope of the rules and regulations, then those persons who put the \$180 on a payment plan would not be out too much money.

The office can not discriminate as to what outstanding charges can be placed on a payment plan if the shareholder does not currently have a payment plan on the books.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 05:57 AM

Thank you John! He gave me a check yesterday. I'll be ready for filing Monday morning.

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 07:25 AM

I am here to help. 😊

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 07:45 AM

Jim, how close are you to reaching the amount of money for the filing fees? I have a small donation to give to you and hope it helps. Will you be around home most of today? if so, John will drop it off.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 06:47 AM

12 more days until the contract is over, and no still no GM, still missing another field technician... why would the contractor hire their own replacement? Why would they fulfill their contract and complete the required tasks? We will soon see what is meant from the old saying...

There is No Honor Amongst Thieves

CPPMWC has been taken to the cleaners and the story will continue. They are on record now for violating California Labor Laws. Be warned the contractors will not leave, they can't give up so much money for nothing here... The

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

agenda on the next BOD meeting will include asking them to stay. Shareholders need to make their feelings known at this next BOD MEETING, it is on the minutes as returning to full BOD business meeting. Will the BOD follow their own words?

Start making plans to be there, and see to it they do!

Good job Dead Guy, your hard work will hopefully pay off.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 07:28 AM

Angela told me the board has hired a GM from dth. His name escapes me now.

The sense of urgency collecting the One Time Assessment is really displaying their true colors.

Sorry, Charlie!

You are going to have to wait to get any money from me.

I'll pay when it has been determined the assessment was fairly distributed. It might be a while.

I heard ECS would stay if you offer a cost of living raise. They can hardly get by with a \$14,500/mth salary. 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 07:37 AM

ECS can't afford to live on the \$14,500 because they have all their eggs in one basket now, they are completely in the CPPMWC pockets. A real GM doesn't need to have them around... don't allow this to happen. The BOD voted in May to not allow the moratorium of Shareholder input to exceed 20 OCT 2011, everyone needs to show up at the October BOD and make sure they honor their commitment! Keep informed, there is a FaceBook website for CPPMWC shareholders now.

<http://www.facebook.com#!/pages/CPMWC-Shareholders-Unite-and-Occupy-CPMWC/145673325530664>

Use every outlet that is available to communicate what is going on, your neighbors may not use ROTW or Gemini's World, but they could be on FaceBook... reach out and let them know anyway you can reach them.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 07:45 AM

Kacey, the time I put into this is the size of a thimble! There are so many others that have postponed their lives and donated so many hours for one common goal. Huge thanks to everyone!

I post the time/date/court room# as soon as I file for the Ex Parte Hearing tomorrow. (Yes, CPPMWC will have to legal fee costs for their representation. A small price to pay to force the Water Co to follow our own By Laws, Rules and Regulations.)

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 07:57 AM

Kacey, I couldn't copy & paste your link. I searched for CPPMWC on FB, then I found it.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 09:12 AM

I had to key in ccpmwc-shareholders unite before it came up

This may be a better link

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/CPMWC-Shareholders-Unite-a...>

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 09:13 AM

yup, worked for me 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:27 PM

If you want to see the court filing, here it is.....

<http://www.flickr.com/photos/51273048@N08/6252998076/in/photostream>

It's next to the new phone book.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:29 PM

I'll count the pages tomorrow. I'm pooped.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 08:18 AM

great job Dead Guy, and all the others who have worked so very hard... you are admired, SALUTE!

Eleven more days and it's off to "Fontucky-By-The-Ditch" and your water company will be yours without the careless in-experiences you have endured.

Stand strong CPPMWC SHAREHOLDERS! Hope nobody accidently parks their cars over their meter boxes today, that wouldn't be a neighborly thing to do now, would it?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 01:03 PM

The Ex Parte has been filed in the San Bernardino Superior Court CASE# CIVDS1111965. I will post the date/time/court room# of the Ex Parte Hearing later. Just waiting for the clerks to finish their lunch break. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 02:38 PM

The Ex Parte Hearing is Wednesday, October 19, 2011 at 8:30AM

3rd Floor - Room S38 - Case# CIVDS1111965 The courthouse will be open at 8:00AM.

Location: Superior Court of California - San Bernardino Civil Division
303 W. Third St. - San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

Directions: <http://www.sb-court.org/Locations/SanBernardino/Civil.aspx>

The Ex Parte is a 136 page document containing 40 pieces of evidence against multiple violations!! It was very impressive and got a lot of compliments about it. 😊😊

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 02:50 PM

I just called the office of CPPMWC and spoke to Angela to set up a 24 month payment plan for the onetime meter fee because I am currently unemployed. She said we can not do that, and the Rules and Regulations only allow that for water charges. That does not sound right, but I did not get into it with her. (By the way, Angela was very pleasant on the phone - I thought I was going to get my head cut off when I called LOL). Anyway... HERE'S THE PART SHAREHOLDERS WILL BE INTERESTED IN: CPPMWC is currently preparing a letter to send out to shareholders informing us that the due date for the onetime charge has been extended to NOVEMBER 21, 2011. She did not give a reason for the extension. I JUST WANTED TO PROVIDE THIS INFO TO ALL. Have a good night all. 😊

From: PattiM 

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 03:00 PM

I think it would be a valuable show of support on this issue for as many people as possible to show up for the court hearing on Wednesday. I hope to be there but need to find a ride with someone.

Page 105 of 187

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 03:05 PM

She said we can not do that, and the Rules and Regulations only allow that for water charges.

That doesn't seem correct. The Rules & Regs regarding a "payment agreement" is not specific to just "water charges". OH WELL!! 😞

CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR WATER SERVICE
ADOPTED IN 1981 WITH UPDATES TO DECEMBER 16, 2010

Section 4.9

If a Shareholder is unable to pay a bill in full, a payment agreement can be requested. Once an agreement is signed, payments must be received on a regular basis for the delinquent amount and all current bills must be paid in full upon receipt. If a payment is missed the Shareholder's house will be posted with a reminder and a posting fee of \$25.00 will be charged to the Shareholder's account. If the missed payment is not received within 48 hours of the posting, the water service will be terminated and the payment agreement becomes void. The delinquent account must be paid in full before service will be reinstated. 3/2/93

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 03:08 PM

Download the **RULES and REGULATIONS**

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPPMWC_RulesAndRegulati...

Download the **BYLAWS**

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPPMWC_ByLaws-1996.pdf

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 07:01 PM

What a day! This afternoon, around 3pm, My process server delivered the case filing to CPPMWC's Attorney Michael Riddell at his office in downtown Riverside. He kinda look surprised, he said. I received the proof of service and took it back to the courts. It didn't have to be returned until Tuesday, noon, but hey I'm already there! It's all done! 😊

Now, I need to prepare my comments for the court.

The main issue being asked for is an injunction for the threat of disconnection of water service and equal responsibility for the assessment. I had a productive day! 😊 unlike any given day at the Water Co.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 08:18 PM

If the office is saying the assessment can't be put on a payment plan then it's not a bill, and if the one time assessment is not a bill, then a shareholder shouldn't be expected to pay it... if it is a bill then the following rule concernign payment plans shall apply. A shareholder can put any BILL on a payment agreement...it is in the rules and regulations. The only reason you wouldn't be able to have a payment agreement is if you already have one on the books at the office.

4.9 If a Shareholder is **unable to pay a bill in full**, a payment agreement can be requested. [*does it specify here what kind of bill, no it just says bill*] Once an agreement is signed, payments must be received on a regular basis for the delinquent amount and all current bills must be paid in full upon receipt. If a payment is missed the Shareholder's house will be posted with a reminder and a posting fee of \$25.00 will be charged to the Shareholder's account. If the missed payment is not received within 48 hours of the posting, the water service will be terminated and the payment agreement becomes void. The delinquent account must be paid in full before service will be reinstated. 3/2/93

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Angela is probably being told by the CONTRACTOR that nobody can put the assessment on a payplan, the rules and regulation say different, Angela is being given the wrong advice. Print out **Rule 4.9 and tell the office they are not honoring the rules as written for the SHAREHOLDERS**, and the Rules and Regulations are not subject to CONTRACTOR changes because of their interpretation... and remember as of tomorrow they Contractors have only 10 days to get the heck out of town.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 08:31 PM

For those of you attending the hearing, metal detectors are at the entrance. Be prepared. No cell phones please.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 08:35 PM

By the way, I didn't get a disconnect notice today! But then again, it was dark when I got home. Maybe the doggies ate it? 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 08:49 PM

So proud of what you guys did Jim and the others... like the links to the rules, and code too... way to finally get the rules on public domain... the CPPMWC can't do it but Breezy did it... what's with that?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 09:09 PM

Mybe they are banned from posting here? It could be Ron CCCP.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 05:20 AM

Good morning, everybody. I forgot to mention, yesterday around 1:15, I stopped by Supervisor Janice Rutherford's office and spoke with Scott Vanderhorn, Public Communications Director,(?) I advised him of the hearing and date. I told him as many as 200 shareholders may be getting disconnect notices and shut off as early as Wednesday. I told him I didn't want anyone to get hurt. There's a bunch of folks here that have weapons and aren't afraid to use them. He told me I ought to be notifying the County Sheriff! I replied, when I voted, Janice Rutherford was on my ballot above Rod Hoops. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 05:27 AM

I've got 2 dozen red bandanas!!!! 😊 I'm washing/dryer them now.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 06:54 AM

UNITY

From: Ellymay

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 07:37 AM

Would like to be there but I have my Mother home for a few days. I'm with you in spirit! Thanks everyone.

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 01:42 PM

Thank you Breezy for rules & regs. CPPMWC Websites r & r's is a blank page. Figures.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 02:01 PM

Update:

Per Angela at CPPWMC today, all assessment payment due dates have been postponed 'til further notice! The BOD will make a decision as to the new due date and a letter will follow.

NO PAYMENTS ARE DUE AT THIS TIME!!!! 😊

A small victory for the community and we haven't even gone to court yet! 😊

Imagine that!!!!

From: rebs

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 02:11 PM

Speaking of Court....fyi if you are going tomorrow...jury summons has been inordinately heavy yesterday and today, you may want to arrive with plenty of time to park in addition to time for screening

From: marvista

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 03:18 PM

Jim for President!! Breezy for Vice President!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 05:04 PM

I'm leaving my house promptly at 7:15, may have an extra seat???? or 2 in the car. Should be there shortly before 8. Be sure to dress "metal detector" friendly. 😊 I had to remove my belt, and the usual, empty pockets into a basket. I've got my notes. I'm ready! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 05:14 PM

Who had the popcorn?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 06:47 PM

Way to go... I will be waiting to hear how your day in court goes!

Congratulations on the stay of payment for the shareholders! See what a little organized resistance can accomplish!

Way to go CPP Shareholders, SALUTE!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 04:55 AM

Good Morning Shareholders!

Today is the day! Ex Parte hearing at 8:30am

Heads are going to roll!

In addition to a cease and desist of the assessment, I'm ordering the court to dismiss all the current board members. They illegally changed the quorum to 50%+1 in 1984, reversing the 1966 quorum of 20%. And the Shareholders have not been able to participate, due to a lack of quorum, ever since

If/when granted, we will need to elect a new board. Please keep that in mind. 😊

Shareholders in good standing are eligible.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 06:38 AM

I'm with you in spirit! Thank you so much, and everyone else working on this, for your time, knowledge and leadership.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 06:46 AM

Good luck today CPP Shareholders... keeping my fingers crossed for you!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 07:06 AM

:0 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 12:23 PM

Update: New court date Nov, 9, 2011

The recent action by the water co postponed our hearing. They have stated the One Time Assessment is due Nov 30, 2011, thus negating a need for an Ex Parte hearing. Basically, by moving the due date, it allows them more time to defend their actions.

Civil action is continuing. The water co is still in violation of our By Laws, Rules and Regs and that has not changed. Persistence wears down resistance! 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 07:34 PM

Way to roll Jim!

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 09:11 PM

Jim..You are my HERO Brother!! I applaud your efforts, your actions & your will to persevere in the endeavors of your community brethren. WE ALL owe you a debt of gratitude my friend. I, personally, intend to show you a little bit of that Friday night. PLEASE call me tomorrow, Tiff and I would like to do a little something different other than previously discussed! I think you will like it!

CPP would be a LOT worse off without YOU my friend, DON'T be surprised if you ARE nominated for NEXT year's NOBEL award for...to be continued..once again, YOU deserve some kind of civic award for your contributions! ATTA BOY Jim!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:09 AM

Please be advised the one time bill's first payment is due Nov 30, 2011. Pass it along.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:21 AM

Your VP is complaining...

As in our case, the majority support the board and have already paid the assessment. Only a handful claiming to represent more than themselves have a loud megaphone to distort reality, make unfounded claims and demand attention to their minority view.

Now the few will cost all shareholders more in legal expenses to demonstrate these realities.

This doesn't work in business or democracy.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:43 AM

I made the first installment only because I had to be sure the water was on for my caretaker. Doesn't mean I support the board. Sorry. Don't count me in as a board supporter just because I made a payment.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:52 AM

EIGHT more days and the contractors are gone, then it's on to the real task at hand. Stand strong and don't be part of the silent majority, the BOD are counting on people to not get involved, to not make your voices heard!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:58 AM

The majority paid the assessment in fear of disconnect! That's the truth!

No one had a choice to pay or not pay. If we had, no one would have paid. Period.

If they had distributed the assessment on a per share basis, none of this would have happened. Yes per share! Not per account! That's the way it use to be.

The BOD changed it to benefit themselves, because of their heavy holdings of shares, back 30 years ago.

If you have a large number of shares and carry that clout with you when you vote, you also need to be billed for assessments on a per share basis. Pick a method, one or the other.

Also, if the water co is concerned about legal costs, clean up your act! And follow the rules! None of this would have happened.

My gut feeling is, this recent action threw a wrench into their secret schemes and plans for personal gain at the expense of the shareholders. Mark my words!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:58 AM

And as far as this not working in business or democracy.....well, where I live now we were successful in getting the state AG to negate everything the fire board had done and the County Supervisors to fire the Board. We are now with an overseer until March when new board elections can be held. The administrator/overseer is helping us to put the department back together again. I think, in this case the legal system worked.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 08:06 AM

I will continue to fight for what's right 'til this mess is cleaned up and to my last breath, whatever comes first.

You can take that to the Bank! 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 08:20 AM

Kidcpp... great job.

But remember our Country does not have a Justice System, we have a Legal System. If we had a Justice System, there would be some tar and feathering going on in CPP.

Keep the faith.... UNITE!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 08:47 AM

LOL We thought of tar and feathers and the rail road runs right thru town. However, went the legal route, took longer, but we won. And proud to say every volunteer firefighter (we are strictly volunteer) returned to work once the board was gone.

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 07:19 PM

Just got back into town and got my first read on what has happened over the last few Days.

First I wish to thank Jim, Cheryl, Breezy and all those that put their heads together, drafted the necessary paperwork and contacted the appropriate government offices.

Their selfless action is recognized by their friends and neighbors.

Second. I did in fact pay my assessment in full (Sorry), but that is not because I agreed with the board or thought what they were doing was fair, far from it. I don't appreciate being left in the dark over matters but expected to just accept their sound bites and pay for what I do not know. I don't appreciate the board blowing off the members of the community when it is apparent at least one, maybe two of them are not in good standing and having the gall to imply it is just a handful of citizens who are making all the noise. For the record Jim, they got ya beat with some a__kickin home brew of thier own. I figure there is some kind of hallucinating going on to say the majority is satisfied with their actions.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 09:23 PM

Thanks Mike!!! 😊

Lots of people complain, few move their ass to do anything! It's easier to bitch! and hide!

The effort required is not worth it to them. It's like work, hard work!

Just do nothing! Most of you are good at it!

It takes time & effort to do what a small group of concerned citizens did! I hope you all appreciate it!

What is happening to our community is so wrong on so many levels.

Our Water Company is A Train-Wreck! It needs to be fixed now!

I, personally, am exhausted from all the hours involved! This ain't easy!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 09:25 PM

I'm still a MARINE!

Carry On!

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 12:09 AM

Breezy; Thanks for the Link. LMAO!

<http://www.ecscompany.com/>

I think it could use a little background sound to liven it up a bit.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 06:27 AM

David, you're too funny! 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 06:45 AM

SEVEN more days and their contract is concluded... will they leave, will they stay? Shall we start a poll? Who will be certain they don't take more than what belongs to them? Be sure to ask, watch and take notes!

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 09:18 AM

I also think the ECS team could use a theme song. 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 05:30 AM

Six more days and the contract is concluded... keep the faith CPP Shareholders...UNITE!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 06:50 AM

Good Morning, Everybody.

The courts turned down my request for a fee waiver? Pants gave me \$435 to file. I still have that and will pay the filing fee on Monday. Pants, I rec'd my unemployment check and will return your money today.

Please help with the filing fees. \$20 per 20 people. Close enough. Anything is appreciated.

Paul, Perry, Donald, Wilfred, Ron CCCP, do you want to donate \$20? 😊

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 07:49 AM

I will give you \$20.00, or more, on Tuesday.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 08:23 AM

😊Thanks Patti! 😊

From: PattiM

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 09:00 AM

I realize that we live a great distance away but John will just drop it off. We'll call first to be sure you're at home.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 09:41 AM

\$25 on its' way Jim... so here we go!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 10:41 AM

We will mail what we can. It won't go out til Monday though. Sorry.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 07:11 PM

\$ee y'a \$oon 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 08:34 PM

I really appreciate it! I don't ask for help very often, again thank all of YOU!!! 😊

From: Kibcpp

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Sent: Sunday, October 23, 2011 06:26 AM

Jim, I don't look at it as you asking for help. I see it as doing what we can to help in the fight to get back the water company. It shouldn't be on your shoulders alone.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 23, 2011 06:46 AM



From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 23, 2011 08:01 AM

FIVE more days and the contractoros of "Fontucky By The Ditch" are done... or are they? Seems too convienent their contract ends on the same day the regular BOD was changed to.

Great work Jim!

From: MojaveLady

Sent: Sunday, October 23, 2011 10:08 AM

We will send a check today too--And would be glad to help if you just tell us what you need. I am still working in LA which means lots of hours spent working and commuting. Jim if you want to put out jobs you need done or places you need people to show up, we'll do what we can.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 24, 2011 06:49 AM

FOUR more days...

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, October 24, 2011 06:57 AM

Lest we forget...

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcTSHDBUgLk>

This is the video from the annual water meeting. Don't ask Ron_CCCCP if this really happened. He'll deny it.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 24, 2011 07:06 AM

How much did that tent and Chair rental cost the water district?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 06:45 AM

THREE more days and they can go back to "Fontucky By The Ditch" and count their money. **THREE MORE DAYS** and you can confront the BOD in an open meeting, the publick banned/blocked board meetings expired!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 06:58 AM

Hey everybody! I didn't paying the \$435 filing fee yesterday as planned. I was hoping for a bunch of checks in the mail to help pay. I have \$60. Please help if you can.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:02 AM

Update: Shareholders(landlords and land owners)!!!!

I need you to call me if you DID NOT receive the "One Time Assessment" bill. I need to prove to the court, CPPMWC picked and choose who to bill. Not all shareholders were billed. There are about 200 of you out there.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:08 AM

Good morning Jim, you should have mine today, the bank notified me it went out, it will come from my CU directly to you.

From: ThePants

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:13 AM

I kicked in my \$20... It's for a good cause, folks. Please help with donations for the court proceedings.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:17 AM



From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:22 AM

Water Co Monthly Meeting this Thursday, 7 pm, at Crestline Village Water District.

Make sure you are there! Call me if you need a ride! We can kiss ECS (Sonny and Rianon) good bye.

And be sure to thank them for all the work they did for our \$500,000 of salary payments in last 30 months.

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:39 AM

Our check was put in the mail yesterday. Should get to you tomorrow.

From: PattiM

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:39 AM

I've kicked in \$25.00 but will do another \$25.00 as well. OKay water people complainers. Let's hear from you 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 07:39 AM

ouch... \$500K and no fully audited share registry, no updated and current website, unclear and undefined financial reports, no employees with any experience in CPP, serious shortfall in funds on their watch... now doesn't that sounds like a set up so they can extort more from the shareholders than the \$500K they have wasted to date?

ECS has no respect for the shareholders who pay their salary, anyone who has ever challenged them is dismissed and then slandered so that ECS looks viable. Read the minutes and you will see the company under the direction of ECS has failed over and over to produce the required transparency the shareholders have demanded. They refer to the people who come to the BOD meetings as "Mouth-breathers" ECS believes the shareholders are stupid, and only ECS can resolve the problems going on in the district, well do you see any changes, is CPPMWC better for the \$500K they collected? They have the \$500K in their pockets, and CPPMWC has no results to show for it! Really!

You can fool some of the people some of the time, but you can't fool all of the people all of the time!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 10:40 AM

I paid the \$395 court costs this morning. I was told another \$40 may be needed, not today though.

Here's the link to the case if your interested....

<http://206.169.61.205/openaccess/CIVIL/CivilDetails.asp?c...>

From: MojaveLady ✉

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 01:30 PM

I sent our check today but it's coming from LA (sorry, forgot to drop it at the CPP PO yesterday. Sometimes it takes crazy-long from LA but it is coming

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: ThePants 

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 02:02 PM

Thanks for your support, Mojavelady. We can't expect Jim to shoulder the court fees. We all need to pony up.

From: rebs 

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 02:33 PM

i'll put 20 in the mail today

From: ThePants 

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 02:41 PM

Way to go, rebs! TY!

From: disposition 

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 03:56 PM

I'm not sure if I can swing the postage fees.. Will you accept a folded \$20 paper airplane from my deck?? If not I can crumble it into a ball and toss it over for Shiloh to catch!! hahaha...

All joking aside, thanks for all you guys are doing. I'll bring it to you tonight 😊

From: lazytoad 

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 04:31 PM

Checks in the mail

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 05:16 AM

Thanks everybody! I've received \$220 thus far! I was worried about being able to make my car payment. Money is tight!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 05:19 AM

I'm keeping track of all the donors. The holidays are upon us. All of you get cheesecakes! 😊

For those of you not here, tell me when you are coming home(CPP). Jim 😊😊

From: ThePants 

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 05:20 AM

If I donate twice, do I get 2 cheesecakes?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 05:23 AM

Okay! 😊 You have to share with Shirley and Rocky!

From: Kacey1 

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 07:23 AM

TWO more days and the ECS contract comes to an end. If you can be at the next BOD meeting, this Thursday at 7:00 pm, be there. Your voices must be heard to prevent another dollar wasted on the do nothing contractors from "Fontucky By The Ditch", they have sat in that office for too long and done nothing. Don't allow them to stay another day!

Unite and wear your red bandanas to show your UNITY and speak out for your rights to be heard as shareholders, it is your water company, not ECS' company, send them back down the hill!

UNITE!!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 07:43 AM

Kacey, the new GM was in the office yesterday. Maybe he'll introduce himself at the monthly meeting, tomorrow.

From: ThePants ✉

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 07:58 AM

Keep those donations for the court action coming. Remit what you can spare to Mr. Morrison (that1deadguy)

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 07:29 PM

Take lots of notes Jim, I have to work late tomorrow and wont make it... lots of notes!

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 06:45 AM

ECS contract ends today... don't forget to take notes and watch what is hauled out of the offices if and when they depart for "Fontucky By The Ditch" the Interim GM has is desk furniture at Church Street, where his OFFICE really was, there will be alot of dust flying down the road when that stuff comes out!

From: PattiM ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 08:33 AM

kacey1, I don't understand what you are saying here. Can you elaborate a bit? Thanks.

From: lazytoad ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 04:12 PM

I must say that was some confusing.

Let's just wish them well on thier new endeavors. I imagine tonight might be interesting.

From: Rhondalee ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 05:19 PM

Jim, I will send a check tomorrow. I can't be at the meeting tonight, but I sure hope it goes well.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 08:46 PM



From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 08:49 PM

Jim... that's it? Just 😊😊😊?

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:04 PM

BOD Perry stated ECS would still be around for "other services".

The new GM was not at the meeting. He lives in MONROVIA!! BOD Perry stated he starts tomorrow - OCT 28.

TONIGHT WAS NOT AN OPEN MEETING!!!

Next month's meeting will resume the Open Meetings BUT ONLY IF the shareholders DO NOT speak up or question the actions and the decisions affecting their company. Shareholders need to "behave" themselves or the BOD will "have to" re-instate the CLOSED MEETING format.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:06 PM

Questions regarding the ECS "salary overpayments & accruals" were not answered.

In FEB 2010 they were overpaid \$ 8,000.00 of their usual monthly salary.

In JUL 2011 they were overpaid \$ 5,512.50 of their usual monthly salary.

In SEP 2011 they were overpaid \$ 7,785.00 of their usual monthly salary.

A total overpayment of \$ 21,297.50 **UNEXPLAINED**.

In APR 2010 they accrued their monthly salaries from \$14,500 to \$29,000.

In SEP 2010 they accrued their monthly salaries from \$14,500 to \$29,000.

Again **UNEXPLAINED**.

From: BreezyMtnLady 


Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:14 PM


REMEMBER that July 22, 2011 Assessment Notice **stating** that they needed to fund the RUS Reserve @ \$ 91,700 and 2mo Cash Reserve @ \$107,000?

That's a TOTAL of \$198,700 needed for the assessment - right?

Well sort of.... The BOD was "ballparking" their needs.

They are accepting of maybe getting about \$50,000 less of what they said they needed.

Are you keeping up with this so far???? 

It is difficult to filter fantasy from fact with this Board, isn't it? 

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) 

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:21 PM

Not much to report from this evening's monthly meeting. They threw us out again and we were NOT ALLOWED to our meetings, again! In no particular order.....

1)ECS is still on "standby" for future services(what the hell? Didn't we pay them enough for NO WORK!)

2)New GM, 40 yrs exp, Robert Hapley(Hafley? and lives in Monrovia) officially starts 10-28-11

3)Bank accounts are being closed at ACU and new accounts opened at BofA. Reserves are closely being monitored. Full completion of transferred accounts will be by end of next month

4)ECS departure will include CPPMWC's shareholder info/documents will be removed from their "personal" computers/files on 10-28-11, prior to leaving. All privacy protected.

5)85% of shareholders have paid the assessment per RonCCCP

6)BOD okayed a copy of the declarations page of their insurance policy per my request.

7) A S/H asked for clarification of shares he stands to lose due to home foreclosure(he owns land nearby with shares and wants to make sure he keeps those shares)

8)Requests were made to clarify payments made to ECS the past year and the Board denied all requests. Legal action pending.

They were served a civil subpoena requesting such documents today 10-27-11, prior to tonight's meeting. I filed the served subpoena with the Superior court this afternoon. Please refer to the courts' web site for all current status.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

I had a Katie sighting! Very nice to meet you! 😊

And , AGAIN thanks for all the contributions for the legal costs!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:26 PM

I type with 2 fingers! It takes a while! 😊

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:31 PM

3)Bank accounts are being closed at ACU and new accounts opened at BofA. Reserves are closley being monitored. Full completion of transfered accounts will by end of next month

Referring to the

APRIL 28, 2011 EXECUTIVE Board Meeting Minutes

Approved 07-21-2011 / Published 09-14-2011

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Exec%20Minutes%204-28-11.pdf>

RECONFIGURE BANK ACCOUNTS

Discussion regarding RUS accounts. It was agreed by the Board that the existing Money Market account shall continue to be used as the holding account for the current year debt service, and that the existing CD account (upon maturity) be rolled over into another Money Market to be used for accumulating the RUS Reserve.

ACTION:

The Board instructed staff to rename the Money Market account to "Current Year RUS Debt Service" and to roll the existing CD account into another Money Market account to be named "RUS Reserve"

GOOD JOB, eh?

Only took them **4 months!!!** to make a switch of banks!

At the meeting it was stated that the RUS accounts would be MORE VISIBLE in the future financial statements. Apparantly this information has been hidden in the ASSETS financial reporting for over 2 yrs. 😞

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:33 PM

I type with 2 fingers! It takes a while!

Not too worry Jim - I got your back - thanks for adding to the meeting that wasn't a meeting. 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:39 PM

So how does CPPMWC remove the CPPMWC information off ECS home computers, the back up device that is owned by ECS and the tapes of all the meetings made on ECS personal recording devices?

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:45 PM

BOD Perry stated ECS would still be around for "other services".

Garden Gnomes, I guess... since they sit there and don't do anything... Garden Gnomes would be a good place to put them.

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:47 PM

So how does CPPMWC remove the CPPMWC information off ECS home computers, the back up device that is owned by ECS and the tapes of all the meetings made on ECS personal recording devices?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

I asked about their PC's holding company data&software installed and about the proper "EXIT" procedure that will be used to assure ECS does not have future access to company accounts & shareholder information.

BOD Perry said "it would be handled".

Are you holding your breath?? 🤔

Shareholders please realize that ECS knows your SSN# & DriversLic#.

Among other things, they have no business retaining this information after their departure.

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:50 PM

That back up has everything ever ordered removed from the current history, each time it's saved under a new back up file, so nothing has been really removed, it's all there on that back up and it doesn't belong to CPPMWC, it belongs to ECS.

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 09:57 PM

Monrovia to CPP via CA-210 E

1 hour 17 mins / 58.79 miles

Monrovia to CPP via I-10 E

1 hour 27 mins / 67.82 miles

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:02 PM

It was asked about what if there was a major problem e.g. pipes burst, generators offline etc. then would there be a RESPONSE TIME issue from the staff?

BOD Perry said "it would be handled" and ASSURED us that there would be NO PROBLEM.

Still holding your breath? 🤔

From: No0thrButMe ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:02 PM

So nice to finally meet you too Jim!!

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:05 PM

Hey No0thrButMe - sorry if I blocked your view. 😊

Patti made me do it!!! It was either sit next to her or I would have to get a "booster seat". 🤔

Good to see you again.

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:10 PM

Was the shares audit completed? What about the employee handbook? Are the rules and regulations published on the website? Did ECS do anything they were expected to get done for their high price contract?

What was all that extra money paid to ECS for these last few months?

From: BreezyMtnLady ✉

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:27 PM

Kacey1 - any questions asked are not answered with satisfaction. The BOD is very evasive and defensive.

What was all that extra money paid to ECS for these last few months?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Funny thing about that. I barely had time to present my Excel spreadsheet to BOD Don - the treasurer - when suddenly Sonny & Rhiannon started protesting to the board about providing that info. I hadn't even started my public comment!!! Seems like a bit of shaking was going on in the ECS camp 😊

Did ECS do anything they were expected to get done for their high price contract?

They buried the company - would that count? 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 11:03 PM

Here's the concern about shareholder data retained on ECS equipment.

Your SSN# & DriversLic# & Name is the key combination to have someone attempt an "identity theft" abuse against you.

ECS uses portable laptop computers. I seriously doubt that they would SECURE their equipment in a locked up, secure location in their home. They also transport their equipment in their personal vehicles.

A simple theft of the laptops from their home or car could land up in the hands of ?????? 😊

So, how many of you are willing to have their identity put at risk?

The board has created an extreme liability to the community by allowing ECS to retain ANY information after their contract expires. If the board does NOT do the proper exit protocol for wiping out data held by ECS then they should also be held liable for any or all future "identity theft" abuses that may occur to the affected shareholder(s). IMHO.

From: [Kibcpp](#) ✉

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 06:59 AM

Not good news at all, but then I really didn't expect it. I'm sure all current info is being forwarded to the state AG and also to be used by Jim.

I don't understand how the board could have allowed our personal information to be put onto ECS personal/business computers? None of that info should have been transferred off of the our company's computer. Backup equip isn't that expensive, didn't we have our own. Or am I just restating the obvious.

From: [Kacey1](#) ✉

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 07:25 AM

Breezy, you are right...the BOD gave ECS carte blanche with the company and the shareholders are all now compromised. It is frightening to think about the identity theft possibilities, if it hasn't already happened. Since ECS has a severe lack of a secured chain of custody protocol the personal information may already have been compromised, who will ever know, since there is such a deep cover of secrecy with anything going on between ECS and CPPMWC.

Until Breezy brought up the idea of the objects being stolen at some future date, I hadn't considered the real threat to all the persons who have ever had information in the system. So all the information since ECS has been there is now possibly available if any of their home devices are accessed.

What about the ECS employees at their homes? Gads, I just realized something... they have had many domestic employees as well as family members living there and they could have already had access to that information.

What a can of worms, there is no way the CPPMWC can insure the information kept by ECS has been secure all this time!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#) ✉

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 07:25 AM

They appeared to be scrambling like roaches.....

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kacey1](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 07:28 AM

What was all that extra money paid to ECS for these last few months?

Funny thing about that. I barely had time to present my Excel spreadsheet to BOD Don - the treasurer - when suddenly Sonny & Rhiannon started protesting to the board about providing that info. I hadn't even started my public comment!!!

Seems like a bit of shaking was going on in the ECS camp

Breezy, did you find something the ECS camp was hiding or trying to hide? Did the BOD give you an answer to your questions concerning the extra money over and beyond the contracted \$14,500 owed? What was the BOD response?

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 07:55 AM

"legal action pending, no comment" That was their response.

From: [Juancho](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 10:29 AM

I was mopping floor and cleaning up after the pubic was asked to leave. The board has decided to asses ALL shareholder \$500 instead of the original amount so they can cover legal fees.

From: [Coral](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 10:51 AM

I just read the last two pages from last night. Wow, and I thought Cedar Glen had problems! I have a lot of questions but won't post them here. I don't understand the closed meetings. Were you guys that much out of line? And is that legal? Good luck in your lawsuit(S).

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 01:04 PM

From: Juancho

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 10:29 AM

I was mopping floor and cleaning up after the pubic was asked to leave. The board has decided to asses ALL shareholder \$500 instead of the original amount so they can cover legal fees.

THAT'S NICE, thanks for the information!

asses ALL shareholder ???

That would be the board members - right?? Good clarification. 😊

Sweep away little Juancho - there's a lot of dirt to clean up eh? 🙄

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#) 

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 01:08 PM

AND...Looks like the hired help is also associated with the VFW? hmmm - Who could that be TOOTING their horn on the matter?

Profile For Juancho

Member Since 1/24/2009

Last On 10/28/2011 10:10 AM

Messages Posted 20

Latest Posting 10/28/2011 10:10 AM

<http://rimoftheworld.net/discuss/134/83000>

From: Juancho / Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2011 11:30 AM

This ROTW article says the Car Show is tentatively scheduled for Sept. 17

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

<http://rotwnews.com/section/crestline/vfw/7005>

Is it going to happen?

<http://rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/78864?page=0>

From: Juancho / Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2011 08:08 PM

I just wish he would finally completely memorize the Armed Forces Medley

TRICK OR TREAT back at ya 😊

From: [marvista](#)

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 01:47 PM

"QUESTIONS REGARDING THE ECS "SALARY OVERPAYMENTS & ACCURALS" WERE NOT ANSWERED.

IN FEB 2010 THEY WERE OVERPAID \$ 8,000.00 OF THEIR USUAL MONTHLY SALARY.

IN JUL 2011 THEY WERE OVERPAID \$ 5,512.50 OF THEIR USUAL MONTHLY SALARY.

IN SEP 2011 THEY WERE OVERPAID \$ 7,785.00 OF THEIR USUAL MONTHLY SALARY.

A TOTAL OVERPAYMENT OF \$ 21,297.50 UNEXPLAINED.

IN APR 2010 THEY ACCRUED THEIR MONTHLY SALARIES FROM \$14,500 TO \$29,000.

IN SEP 2010 THEY ACCRUED THEIR MONTHLY SALARIES FROM \$14,500 TO \$29,000.

AGAIN UNEXPLAINED."

This is an outrage! \$14,500 was way too much!

If the BOD had any integrity NONE of this would be happening. What are they hiding? Are they getting a kick-back from ECS?

This is sounding more and more like the City of Bell!

From: [Rhondalee](#)

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 03:24 PM

I can't believe all this crap is happening. When we first bought our cabin in 1988 the people at the water company were more than nice and helpful, and most of all, nothing was a "secret". The meetings were open, and they sent copies of ALL the minutes of board meetings without being asked over and over again. Then all of a sudden the minutes stopped coming. I will have to go back and see if I have records of when this occurred, and I will look for all the past minutes that I saved. I hope I still have them, I found some, but need to look in more boxes. I will go over them and if I find anything helpful I will contact Jim and/or Breezy.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 04:52 PM

The shareholders could contact each other and start a recall of the BOD.

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 05:22 PM

LOVE the thought but not all that easy to do. Have you a proper thought on this?

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 05:51 PM

It would take an official recall ballot being sent out to each shareholder, it's a possibility. If the BOD is not going to allow the shareholders to participate, remove them and put a BOD in place that will allow the owners to have input.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 06:04 PM

Nothing is going to happen without effort on the part of the shareholders, there have been a core group trying for a long time and they are continually being ignored and ridiculed at the board meetings, it is going to take a majority before anything will change.

Getting out the information to all the shareholders is a good place to start.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 06:08 PM

Unless Roncpp is correct and it's just a fringe group who feel the BOD is not doing the job... I personally don't believe anything that comes from him, I saw him in action and am sorry to say, no longer holding the opinion of him I once had. The name calling and vulgar remarks made toward shareholders and others turned me off there, he isn't a reliable source IMHO. When he had a chance to do something he folded like a cheap tent because it would mean he would have to get right with the company too...

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2011 06:33 PM

Breezy, you know the BOD room at CVWD is carpeted, and in all the years of going to meetings there, have you ever seen anyone mopping up? No, that dude is a plant and thinks he is being cute... he is BS'g you... maybe it's our banned but not forgotten buddy coming back under a new tagname and different IP?

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 05:49 AM

The next hearing is on November 9th. Don't miss it! That's all I can say! 😊

When the lights are turned on, they'll run like cockroaches!

From: [Rhondalee](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 11:17 AM

To Jim: I forgot what you said about getting a copy of the petition you filed with the court. I have some ideas from reviewing past superior court rulings as to shareholders rights & conduct/actions by the BOD not acting in the best interests of "all" shareholders and/or the company as a whole (e.g. By changing by-laws and rules as to 50+ being a quorum when BOD know that % can never be obtained due to the total shares of non resident property owners). The BOD have knowingly made rules so they will always be in total control. They are not directors, they are "dictators"! Sorry everyone but I just had to get that out of my system. 😊

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 11:58 AM

It wouldn't be so bad if the BOD didn't smirk at the annual meetings when they announce there is no quorum.

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 01:23 PM

no kidding, ThePants... they brag about the membership not being able to reach a quorum, very dissapointing when you realize the BOD is supposed to serve the shareholders.

From: [Rhondalee](#)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 02:35 PM

That is my point, the BOD are NOT acting in the best interest of the company/all shareholders, the BOD are acting in the best interest of only a small minority of shareholders, themselves. I am not a lawyer, but I don't think the corporations code, nor CPPWC own by-laws allow this, which if the by-laws did allow such a blatant act of prejudice, I'm sure that would not comply with California State Corporations Code. Otherwise, heck, anyone can become incorporated and do as they damn well please saying screw the laws. That is what I feel is being done. It will be interesting to see what the Judge of the legal suit will have to say on the matter. I am hopeful, if nothing else, at least a Judge would force amendment of quoram rules back to 20%, etc., giving us shareholders our rights back.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 03:16 PM

It's time to unite our resources and get the facts out to all the shareholders, RECALL the BOD!

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 06:48 PM

Pants - whaddayathink?

So when I get on the board of the Cedarpines Park Water Company we will install Fiber Optic cable on the entire right-of-way of every single water pipe in Cedarpines Park. We will have an over the air antenna on Job's Peak and send HDTV from ABC, NBC, CBS, FOX to every home. We will negotiate a deal with Verizon to give us FIOS since all the shareholders will own "the last mile" of fiber. SCE will opt in to our vision because we will save them maintenance on their existing system. The Gas Company will rent out our fiber so they don't have to send meter readers.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 07:22 PM

All of you Yappers!!!! don't mean sh!t!!!!

What matters is when you show up, make a statement/point!!!!

Speak your opinions !!!!!

Don't hide here and vocalize your beliefs.....it dose'nt matter here.

It doesn't matter, unless YOU make it an issue!!!

Attendance and transparency is ALL that is of importance!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

DUH?????

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 07:25 PM

Really!

From: PattiM

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 07:40 PM

Well said Jim!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 09:37 PM

I get a lttle feisty after dinner..... 😊

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 09:50 PM

Well you say you want a revolution well we all want to change the world

Tear it to pieces - fine - then what? I'm going to be on the board. Then we'll do it my way. What's your vision?

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 10:05 PM

and as my breathalyzer laptop lockout device flashes red on a school night I will quickly go on the record as saying on October 30th 2011 that mark my words, someday, Cedarpines Park CA, under my stewardship, will some day be as cool a place to live as it is today

From: architect

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 10:10 PM

you got one of those too? Mine is defective.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: architect

Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 10:12 PM

The one in my car doesn't work worth a damn either.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 07:17 AM

Carry on Jim! The UNITY of CPP will prevail!

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 03:18 PM

Jim, are you including me as one of the "yappers" you speak of? 😞

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 03:54 PM

yeah! let's name some names here! yapperhead.

From: Tater

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 04:14 PM

Come on everybody I say now lets play a game
I betcha I can make a rhyme, out of anybody's name
The first letter of the name I treat it like it wasn't there
But a 'B' or an 'F' or an 'M' will appear
And then I say "Bo" add a 'B' then I say the name
Then "Bonana Fanna" and "Foe"
And then I say the name again with an 'F' very plain
then a "Fee Fi" and "Mo"
And then I say the name again with an 'M' this time
And there isn't any name that I can't rhyme

O.K. Let's do Chuck!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 07:23 PM

the only name we need to remember here is RECALL!

From: PattiM

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 07:34 PM

Will you be heading this Recall?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 08:21 PM

We need to see what happens with Jim's ex parte, and after that, it should be led by a shareholder. I will freely volunteer other much needed services if the recall begins.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:07 AM

Kasey, the Ex Parte has been cancelled because the Water company changed the due date of the assessment to November 28, 2011. No urgency to "cease and desist" their actions. It is now a Civil suit.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: ThePants

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:25 AM

It's a shame the BOD has to play games to avoid doing the right thing.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:36 AM

They changed the One Time Bill to "a meter charge". We already pay a meter charge.

Call it what it is, an assessment.

They are scrambling like roaches!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:39 AM

By the way, did anyone receive a notification as to the new due dates on the assessment? Me neither!

My letter states "No new Due Date", just need to make payment arrangements prior to 11-28-2011.

What a mess! 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:51 AM

Rhondalee, my reference to yappers is just that!

If you don't participate in the in the voting, don't assign your proxies to someone who attends or don't attend the monthly meetings, don't do anything.....but BITCH about all the wrong-doings, you are what I call a yapper.

Actions speaks louder than words! Now, more than ever, actions are necessary!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:55 AM

Everyone is cordially invited to the hearing. The impact of attendance will be priceless!

San Bernardino County Superior Court

303 W. 3rd St San Bernardino

November 9, 2011, 8:30am, Room S38

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:39 AM

If the office changed the one time assessment to a meter charge, it is allowable for a payment plan then... has anyone called Angela to put the balance on a plan yet?

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 07:42 AM

Jim, How are you doing with collection donations to cover the cost of the court hearings? Do you need more assistance? Let us know, and we will help out.

From: PattiM

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 08:27 AM

FYI, I have taken part in two recalls in the past and know that it's a very expensive and time consuming venture.

Although it has been many, many years ago I do recall (pardon the pun) the various steps taken to accomplish the goal beginning with the serving of papers to each person being recalled with the reasons for doing so. Each person then has a time line to respond before putting the issue on a ballot which can be an expensive thing.

After all of the above has been accomplished it is then up to the Recall Committee to notify each voter (in our case the shareholder) to explain that they need to vote either YES or NO on the recall and even if they choose to vote NO, they should still vote for the people running to replace the BOD just in case the Recall is passed.

To the best of my knowledge (ok fine, my memory) it is not necessary to have a Petition with signatures to begin the Recall process.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Personally, I prefer to hear from the Judicial system before such action of a Recall would take place but am certainly all for one should it become necessary to dump the BOD.

And FYI, I would definately be apart of a committee but would NOT head one up. And as I know that I would not/could not, you will not hear me voicing the call to begin he action.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 12:13 PM

Update:

The water co was served again this morning. It was the Formal complaint by me. It was filed at the courts immediately thereafter.

Part of the process.....

All our ducks are in a row! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 12:33 PM

Kacey, I have received more than \$400, I'm sure! It's close. I have been keeping a tally of who paid how much, just haven't totaled it, yet. I will. 😊

We have many donations from people who DON'T live here. 😊 Arizona, San Diego, Fallbrook, all over..... 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 12:54 PM

Great job, Jim! Your diligence is appreciated. So is your work as a Bekins man. You're the bomb!

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:11 PM

TO JIM AND THE PANTS: I AM VERY SORRY NOW THAT I DONATED FUNDS, FOR WHICH I KNOW JIM RECIEVED BECAUSE HE REFERENCED "FALLBROOK" WHEN SPEAKING OF FUNDS HE RECEIVED. I DO "NOT" APPRECIATE BEING CALLED A "YAPPER" AND FYI JIM, I SENT IN MY PROXY NAMING BREEZELADY TO VOTE OUR SHARES FOR US SINCE WE LIVE IN FALLBROOK. SO I AM "NOT" A YAPPER, I MAILED IN MY PROXIES, I SIGNED YOUR DAMN PETITION, AND I SENT YOU MONEY TO HELP PAY FOR THE LAWSUIT. NOW I AM WONDERING CAN I TRUST ANYBODY. 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:30 PM

Rhondalee, That's my opinion and I apologize if your were offended. It's just a word. Cheese and Rice!

Do you know much time, effort, sleepless nights, unbelieveable amount of stress, loss of appetite, personal time, every f'ing dime I have in MY pocket, hair!, and most of all my mind! I have donated!?? Now multiply that by a team of people who made it all happen! My involvement would MAYBE fill a thimble, compared to others helping! I can't imagine nor speak for them! I have received calls from neighbors crying over this stupid bill! It sucks! 😞

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:35 PM

In addition, I feel very hurt by your comments that I am a yapper because I have been out of work for almost 2 years, and helping towards the lawsuit fund was not easy for me at this time. \$30 may not be much to you or anyone else, but when you are out of work, \$30 does make a difference. I guess that is another reason why I am taking your comment (and The Pants comment) to heart. Neither of you know me at all. We have owned our cabin for nearly 25 years and wish someday to be able to spend alot more time in Cedarpinnes Park, I love the place. But until retirement time, whenever that may happen, it is hard for us to be there often or to meet anyone other than our close neighbor. It is not nice to talk crap about someone when you don't even know them.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:38 PM

Thank you Jim, I just seen your comment. You must have submitted it just before I put my additional comment. I too have a lot of stress in my life lately and I sometimes get quite emotional, I am sorry for that, and I DO appreciate all you and others have done. I am trying to help though the best I can.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:39 PM

People have been waiting for 30 years for this! I'm only trying to make it better for all of us. I'm staying here til I'm dead! and I'm so happy to have the energy for this. I want to relax when I retire and tell stories.....I remember when..... I love my CPP!!!! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:46 PM

I've been unemployed just over a year last month! I'm feel fortunate to at least get unemployment, otherwise, I would be here. I've paying these crazy bill since 1994, all along listening to problems of the water co. I didn't have time or money to hire an attorney. I definately don't now. Several have overcame so many obstacles to get to this point. I'm very happy we have accomplished this much! We are almost there! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 04:51 PM

excuse me, I wouldn't be here if not for unemployment.

From: Claire

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:37 PM

Your all nice people and I feel so bad if your out of work..the times are terrible..my husband and I just lucked out retiring at 53..by then he had 33 years in and I had 40..have no idea what we would do without our good retirements and S/S..I hope Jim that you find something..your multi talented and smart so Im sure you will and for the others hoping the best for you too..

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:40 PM

Under my watch, there will be more Fiber in Cedarpines Park than a bran muffin.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:54 PM

Under my watch, there will be more Fiber in Cedarpines Park than a bran muffin.

Now there is one of the YAPPERS. Never attends a board meeting and has useless input -- or maybe better said, proposed OUTPUT --- crap!

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:55 PM

Rhondalee - *I SENT IN MY PROXY NAMING BREEZELADY TO VOTE OUR SHARES FOR US SINCE WE LIVE IN FALLBROOK.*

That's strange, when I signed into the July 30 Annual Meeting I DID NOT receive your proxy. 😊 I know in the past, I can recall a 2005 Annual Meeting where proxies were accepted on the day of the meeting. If your proxy wasn't received by their "artificial" deadline then perhaps that's why I didn't get your proxy this last meeting.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

When I FORMALLY requested to view the Proxy Log for the 7-30-11 Annual Meeting I was refused. SonnyG stated the BOD would not allow shareholders to view that information. AGAIN, CONTRARY TO WHAT WAS PUBLISHED IN THE MINUTES:

APRIL 21, 2011 Regular Board Meeting

Approved 04-21-2011 / Published 09-14-2011

Start 7:00 PM - End: 9:53 PM - Location: CVWD

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Reg%20Board%20Meeting%204-21-11%20s...>

*Follow up Complaint about privacy issues regarding posting the 9-18-10 Annual Shareholder Meeting PROXY log on the Internet. The BOD stated the information was removed from the website. **Proxy information shall no longer be included in the website version(s) of meeting minutes, but shall remain available at shareholder request.***

MORE LIES!!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:56 PM

So with the advise given, on the matter of the recall, we wait until Jim's case unfolds... then take the next right step forward. Glad everybody has hugged and made up, stress is a bummer and can really cause a lot of unneeded worries for everyone...

guess we need a campfire, smores, popcorn and a few rounds of kum-by-ya 😊

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 06:57 PM

Also, I will personally attest to Rhondalee's involvement in addition to other shareholders that I have maintained contact within the last 2yrs. There are MANY behind the scenes folks that have made these current actions possible for those to now pursue.

BTW - CT is not the only shareholder that has maintained documents from the water company. My family have been shareholders since 1968!!!!!! People that I have maintained communications within the last 2yrs have forwarded their copies of company documents so that I could compile, analyze and cross reference. They are available and most are posted on a website I have financed for the last 2 yrs.

I interpret the "yappers" to those that "poke" their heads in the affairs of the company that either are NOT shareholders or are busy "shining" the knobs of their BOD buddies.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 07:03 PM

ouch... that hurts... 😞

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 07:55 PM

I went to the meeting last summer and gave Jim a ride back to the BBQ when it was apparent all that could be said was said. I will be on the board someday and there will be Fiber Optic cable on every Cedarpines Park Water Company right-of-way.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 08:20 PM

Kacey1 - ouch... that hurts...

Oh crap, my bad. I consider you an unofficial shareholder of CPPMWC and recognize your contribution as most valuable. Its those other non-shareholders I was thinking of. Mucho sorries for that inclusion. ** flog accordingly 😊
So, Let me restate: yappers need to get off their knees and stop servicing the BOD. 😞

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 09:24 PM

Good Evening!

I did the math, added all monies and checks received through to today, 11/1/11.... (and thank you again!)
25 people (including non shareholders)
\$690 was donated! Thank You!

My expenses incurred are brief and selective,
\$395 filing fee
\$ 60 photo copies

With the remaining balance I would like to help reimburse the costs of replacing my buddy's printer and all his time to prepare all the documents, 500-600+ pages??, countless hours of guidance and professionalism.
All in favor????? 😊

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 10:30 PM

I'm all for it!

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 11:08 PM

honky-dory by me.

To all who have had the misfortune of spending \$'s on legal fees in the past know that Jim's (et-al) efforts are priceless, not to mention THE BARGAIN OF THE CENTURY!

Eternal thanks to Jim and everyone else involved and everyone who have contributed.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 11:12 PM

I'm looking forward to the day this sleepy little town has a Happy little water company 😊

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 11:13 PM

Then you can turn all this attention to either Burratec-the-bastard-trash-collectors or Global Warming.

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 11:15 PM

Vote for CP_maynard.

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 11:21 PM

LMAO - ARCH

From: ThePants

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 05:05 AM

FYI Rhondalee. I was not referencing you or addressing you. Lighten up.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 06:24 AM

I've called Pant a douche bag! All he does is correct my spelling. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 06:31 AM

btw, I did try to fix his printer. I spent over 5 hours cleaning, clearing, and looking for the paper jam. It ended up being a sensor. And decided not worth the time....

<http://www.flickr.com/photos/51273048@N08/6252998078/in/p...>

I can usually fix things. Only, not this time!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 06:37 AM

Jim, I think your suggestion of using the left over money for the printer/paper etc is a good one. 😊 That poor printer gave up it life for a good cause. 😊

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 06:49 AM

I totally agree, and will send along another small donation to get a good printer for the cause, you are not done yet.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 07:07 AM

He already replaced his printer, Had to! But he uttered the word "hardship" last night when we were working. So, I think it's a great idea. We already went through a ink cartridge, need to buy extra ink.....give the rest of the money to him. I get my welfare check soon, my bills are good.....

From: Kacey1

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 07:11 AM

One printer and ink, and paper... good job, did you cover the service fees for the server? Keep us up to date as to costs, you need to put some gas in your truck too, before you give it all away. It's okay to start a war-chest fund, you are going to need gas to get back and forth to court. I would also vote to put gas in any other car that carries people down to the hearing... What say you?

From: williamsburros

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 07:22 AM

You don't even fricken live in CPP. Honorary shareholder? Puleeeze. Maybe it's time for you and your disagreeable friend to get a hobby. Love that I deadguy though!

From: PattiM

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 07:51 AM

Jim, I told you that I would give an additional \$25.00 to help with whatever needed and it will be in your hands today. I will let you know when John will bring it to you. It will be this morning. I have to agree with Kacey1 about building up a "War Chest" so go for it as soon as you have personally been compensated.

From: disposition

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 08:51 AM

William- Is the "burros" your pseudojackass alter ego? By claiming the name of the brilliant Mr. Burroughs you would think there would be support in this opposition. Please do not tear down the passion for this change. Please do not yap. Shareholder or not, all involved in taking steps in the right direction should be applauded. Perhaps it is YOU that needs a hobby 😊

Thank you team for working so hard on behalf of so many, for what is right.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [williamsburros](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 09:05 AM

I applaud Mr Morrison. He is a shareholder that is taking some action, and whether it is ill-advised or not, the future will tell. It seems like a good hobby would be to mobilize a quorum of shareholders to vote for a new board if the current one is performing in a manner that is unsatisfactory to the majority. There is certainly some 'yapping' going on, and it is not exclusive to those that question the motivations or discussion board behavior of this movement's supporters. Just because someone holds the conceit that they are combination of the founding fathers, Susan B. Anthony and Dr King does not make it so.

From: [DWB](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 09:30 AM

Hi everyone, first i want to say great job jim and all your helpers.ive got a couple ? about ECS ive been trying to check out background on them and are coming up blank. were they a lic. contractor or did they only do management work ? .i just asking about this because looking for other things the bod has done wrong.I am a lic. contractor B-1.Any help would be apprec..By the way rhondalee is my wife didnt want anyone think im a yapper lol.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 09:55 AM

DWB - Have rhondalee pick up email regarding your inquiry. 😊

From: [DWB](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 10:53 AM

tyvm breezy rhonda is forwarding to my email

From: [rebs](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 11:42 AM

Aye

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 04:34 PM

Just a note to everyone regarding the kindness of Jim Morrison..I met him 2 months ago..Since then, he has come to our home to cut up a 75 ft. tree that was laying on our property for 2-3 years. He then proceeded to split it. All for the low price of BEER!!

Being that I had to retire at the age of 42 due to illness, I am in NO situation to help monetarily to the endeavor (spearheaded by Jim) against the CRIMINALS known as our water company! HOWEVER, I did, and will CONTINUE, to donate what I can for the cause! I, personally, feel that Jim should be getting MORE \$\$\$ for his efforts (i.e: Gas and Time) My daughter, who doesn't even live here, is donating! All because she has met this WONDERFUL man and has seen what he has done for me, for NOTHING! We try to send meals to him whenever we can, because, I feel in his debt realize I have made a life long friend in him and intend on keeping his friendship and rewarding his generosity whenever & however I can.

I am looking forward to meeting ALL of you in the near future!
FIGHT ON JIM!! I, as well as many others, HAVE YOUR BACK BUDDY!!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2011 09:58 PM

:0 😊😊😊😊

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2011 09:15 PM

Is this the new GM? WOW, only T2 and D2?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

17872 T2 Robert C. Haifley Monrovia CA 91016 10/1/2012 2/1/2013

26024 D2 Robert C. Haifley Monrovia CA 91016 12/1/2011 4/1/2012

Seems like CPPMWC could have kept Casey and saved a lot of money too... go figure. 😞

27660 T2 Casey L. Herzig Crestline CA 92325 3/1/2013 7/1/2013

31260 D2 Casey L. Herzig Crestline CA 92325 12/1/2011 4/1/2012

From: [cindy_bo_bindy](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2011 10:15 PM

Ah man!!! You mean I could have gotten that job. I have my T2 and my D2 AND my WW1. Wish I would have known that was all it took. I could have been working MUCH closer to home. Instead of driving from VOE to Ontario, I could have just been drivin down Waters.

(sarcasm) but I do have those licenses.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 06:20 AM

Update: I filed more documents at the courts yesterday, a "Reply to Opposition"

Also, on my journeys dth, I bought ink for my friend's printer, \$60 +tax, and gave him \$200. That all I had left from the donations. He asked me to tell you thank you to everyone!!!!!! 😊😊😊

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 06:55 AM

Jim, can you comment on what is being opposed?

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 07:37 AM

One of the objections is to abandon the 50%+1 quorum and return to the 20% that stood for many many years as a means of allowing the shareholders to participate in the election of the BOD.

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 08:14 AM

I must say, I hope that it is ruled on in the shareholders favor. This is (in my opinion) the most important item on the docket. There has been a monopoly for too many years (at least with one board member) and I believe it would give the community a sense of ownership which is lacking at this point. The board may stay after a vote, but at least the people would feel like they participated in the decision process. It may only be 20% plus one, but that is better than the 4 or 5 that are now making the decision for the community

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 09:53 AM

I believe Mr. Steiner (I hope I did not misspell his name) pointed out at the September meeting that the 50 plus one was enacted to prevent a few from controlling the company. If I understood him correctly it was to prevent a few full time property owners from enacting policies without input of other shareholders.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 03:23 PM

cindy_bo_bindy - FYI - ROTW Classified

General Manager Position: Position Starts: 2/01/2012

Organization: Arrowbear Park County Water District

Residency Requirements: Required to live within 10 miles of Arrowbear Lake within 6 months of employment.

http://www.arrowbearwater.org/Employment_Newsletter.html

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 05:31 PM

Mike, come on by! I'll share all info with you. If you can show up at the court, that would be great too.

I ran dth again this afternoon for a second filing for "Reply to Opposition". Red tape, overload...

I had my daughter, Harley, with me. Stopped by Sky Thai and had Pineapple Curry w/ chicken and Sate. yummmie!!!!



From: lazytoad

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 05:36 PM

Jim if I get up there early enough tomorrow I will swing on by. Have to wait for the heating and air guy, found the heater is not working. Heating and Ac unit is only 5 years old. 😊 Second time in 4 months I have had a problem with it.

From: cain22

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 07:07 PM

ECS was on contract with various county parks Glen Helen and sheriffs training facility dept to name a couple to run the water system. They were released and I think county special districts took over. That's when they showed up here ????

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2011 09:07 PM

Lazytoad, you're funny! If I get early enough?....

Cain, that justifies their salary. They were use to it! Haha So happy they are gone.....

From: lazytoad ✉

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 06:32 AM

Just didn't want to show up on your doorstep @ dinnertime 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) ✉

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 06:37 AM

Just show up! Everyone else does! 😊 Good morning!

From: lazytoad ✉

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 06:47 AM

Morning Jim, well ya know Im still a little concerned about PattiM's comments about you gettin her dress. Not sure if thats a sight I want to take in...hmmm, maybe with a shave.

From: Kacey1 ✉

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 10:27 AM

Lazytoad, your comment is clear the BOD does not want to be accountable to the shareholders, ever "*I believe Mr. Steiner (I hope I did not misspell his name) pointed out at the September meeting that the 50 plus one was enacted to prevent a few from controlling the company. If I understood him correctly it was to prevent a few full time property owners from enacting policies without input of other shareholders.*"

This may have been something years and years ago, but it is an abuse today forbidding the Shareholders from having any say, input, participation or choice in how the water company is managed. So now, **A few full time property owners are controlling the company.** Ironic isn't it?

It seems to be at the core of the company's problems today. There are no elected members of the BOD serving on the board, all have been chosen by the seated members over the years. How can that reflect the shareholders wishes, it is

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

beyond me.

Having ECS out of the water company is a good start. Experience with them proved they had no intentions of making anything other than their salary. The share audit is not completed, there is no possible way the company can say they know what the outstanding shares are, they still depend on people to come into the office and fix their own files.

The 20% quorum would return the shareholders to power over their water company. It would allow the board to be seated with directors the shareholder's support.

The BOD has two directors who are not in good standing and the shareholders have no power to remove them... If the BOD won't follow the rules, how can they enforce them on the shareholders?

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 01:09 PM

cain22: ECS was on contract with various county parks Glen Helen and sheriffs training facility dept to name a couple to run the water system. They were released and I think county special districts took over. That's when they showed up here?

Yes, it was known, perhaps by few folks such as myself, that ECS had county contracts. The *They were released* statement might refer to the "substance abuse" allegation that preceded the reason for the *release*. 😬

Here's your history lesson: 😊

ECS came on board officially in MAR 2009. They replaced Daryl Davis and Terry Mabile. Sonny Gowan was a vendor for Golden State Water. Perry Dahlstrom is a district manager for Golden State Water. BTW, Perry's district also included the Barstow water scare that happened about NOV 2010.

Perry, current BOD president, admitted to the former working relationship with Sonny many months later after ECS was hired.

From: BreezyMtnLady 

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 01:15 PM

More telling of the ECS & Glen Helen relationship are the DOCUMENTS. It appears that ECS had access to Glen Helen's SOURCE DOCUMENTS and have used those DOCUMENTS as their own "works".

This is known as Plagiarism!!

Plagiarism is not a crime per se but is disapproved more on the grounds of moral offence, and cases of plagiarism can involve liability for copyright infringement.

When the ECS folks took responsibility for the CPPMWC website their inexperience was clearly demonstrated when they POSTED their SOURCE DOCUMENTS on the company website. I managed to CAPTURE those documents for analysis before that ERROR was discovered. They were eventually removed from the website page.

The 2008 CCR Cover document? "plagiarized" from a Glen Helen Park WORD document.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/CPMWC%202008%20CCR%20Cover.pdf>

The 2008 Groundwater Quality Monitoring Table? "plagiarized" from a Glen Helen Park EXCEL document.

http://www.cppmwc.com/CPMWC_CCR_2008.pdf

FOR YOU WEB SAVVY FOLKS here's your additional clue:

The SOURCE DOCUMENTS are still available for download!!!! 😊

These ECS acts of "using" privileged or copyrighted data further supports the concerns expressed after the OCT 27

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

meeting about the responsibility of the BOD to SECURE the computer equipment of ECS. We have no confirmation from the BOD that our data was protected from further use/abuse by ECS. Keep your eyes and ears open folks!

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 02:24 PM

dose that mean they Faked our water quality reports?

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2011 05:13 PM

gldn_trngl: dose that mean they Faked our water quality reports?

Good question - there are certainly NO water reports posted on the website after 2009! 😊

Actually it's a matter of truth and integrity. If ECS was willing to put their name on the works of others then what would prevent them from fabricating other information? hmmm... let's see, I recall before the 9-18-10 Annual Meeting that it was stated "many times" that the Share Registry was audited to reflect that all accounts were in compliance. Since that time it was discovered that at least 2 BOD's do not have sufficient shares for their accounts. BTW - these 2 BOD's are still serving on the Board!

There are more accounts in the Share Registry with either TOO many shares or NOT ENOUGH shares.

Whether you understand the Financial reports or not, there is visual evidence that "someone" had problems creating these numbers. Hint: Look at the top-left-side of the report for OPER: RG. The "negative" balances in certain MTD or YTD fields are only acceptable depending on the categories reported. Each month the BOD approved Financials "as is". If you trace back the one-time assessment as it relates to the RUS loan then there are other inconsistencies regarding the reserve.

So I wouldn't be so flippant with stating *dose that mean they Faked our water quality reports?* It appears a lot of faking was at play. 🙄

From: [DWB](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 12:23 AM

I have been doing a background check on sonny Gowan and could not believe what I found. and for our BOD to let Ecs run our water company is unbelievable. The bod should be paying the water company back for all the wrong doing of ECS and all overpayment that they have received. Stealing from the good ppl of cpp what kind of bod are they

From: [No0thrButMe](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 01:45 AM

Interesting Read - Seems we aren't the only ones with problems...

<http://www.signonsandiego.com/news/2011/nov/05/water-dist...>

From: [DWB](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 02:14 AM

Hi no Yes looks like a look alike except the bod was ask about a background, and the shareholders were told they would take care of it

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 08:22 AM

DWB, In 2010, the ECS president was arrested for beating up his wife, but other than that, I have not found any documented facts of wrong doing on the internet.

Have you got links that you can share?

We have eye-witness support of mismanagement at CPPMWC, the facts of other documented mismanagement could allow the shareholders to request the courts for a repayment for goods not delivered under the confines of the ECS - CPPMWC contract.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Kacey1

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 08:39 AM

Breezy, the shares/membership were not audited at that time. The CPPMWC office had only completed what was clearly stated to the BOD in the meeting minutes as a preliminary examination of the memberships. The membership files were in terrible order and that information was reported to the BOD at the meeting.

The forensic audit of the memberships was never completed, the office is not equipped with the required software or access to complete such an audit. This lack of required tools was clearly understood by ECS and completely ignored, depending on the shareholders to bring what they needed to them.

The concept of the shareholders being required to re-submit information that has already been submitted and subsequently lost by the office is just damn silly. The office was clearly instructed as to how the problem could be solved, it required getting up off their chairs and doing something besides watching what your wife was not doing!

There is a very simple software subscription that would allow the office to reconcile the membership in full without bothering the shareholders for copies of documents already submitted. The office already owns the maps and they are all there, except one! The President of the BOD made statements to the maps on numerous occasions, they are there.

Many of you know the President of the BOD had asked for the forensic audit to be completed and it was going on in the first quarter of 2011, then abruptly stopped when proof was uncovered, documented and submitted to the GM of two directors being found "not in good standing." In addition to the two BOD members, there had been exceptions noted on numerous other memberships, the audit was halted. The GM was not prepared to deal with the findings, his words exactly... "You're killing me here, all these files are messed up?"

Missy ECS Admin wanted to know how the records were being found, proven, ... two years and ECS had made no effort to do the job the President of the BOD requested?

From: [crestlinelakearrowhead](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 07:12 PM

Not good messages about CCP water co. I think the way to solve the problem is abandon CCPWC and hook up to CLAWA or VALLEY VIEW PARK. They don't seem to have the accusations and over-charging that CCP has done, that many people are so angry about. The water is well known for being the most expensive water company on the mountain. That is not good.

From: DWB

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2011 07:30 PM

kacey i dont really want to post it in here then the bod will know want im looking into. im very busy at work in fact im at work today so it will take me time to complete . Also yesterday we recieved another bill from cppwvc saying we owe 2 payment of 48 dollars for this assessment and the letter they sent out says 1st payment is due end of nov, how screwed up this water comp.is

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 05:06 AM

So you got your water bill? So did I.

Apparently the new due date for the FIRST assessment payment(Nov 28, 2011 as per their attorney, a month ago!!) is being over looked and they continue demanding payment.

Angela Forder! WAKE UP! This is so wrong!

My bill is demanding \$253.29,
\$48.75 past due, 48.75 due 11-30-11

I'm not paying any of the assessment until the Civil case is closed! Neener, neener, neener!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 05:40 AM

kacey1 - Breezy, the shares/membership were not audited at that time. The CPPMWC office had only completed what was clearly stated to the BOD in the meeting minutes as a preliminary examination of the memberships. The membership

files were in terrible order and that information was reported to the BOD at the meeting.

naw-uh! BOD guy told shareholders: "The audit is essentially complete and the accurate number of shares will be announced at the meeting..."

From: Roncpp Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2010 02:34 PM

*The number of shares in good standing varies because some are cancelled and new ones are issued. The audit conducted over the past few months was designed to determine the precise number of shares in good standing for this precise reason. Not only had this not been done for decades, the record keeping was abysmal. **The audit is essentially complete and the accurate number of shares will be announced at the meeting as status changes occur right up to the meeting.*** <http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/68873?page=1>

This statement comes from a BOD guy that HAS NOT been "in good standing" since 1998. In spite of that he was "appointed" to the Board JUL 2007 and then "re-appointed" SEP 2010.

BUT? Say it isn't so? The BOD lied?? ECS didn't do their job?? Oh the shock - oh the inhumanity!!!

Praise be the "Small town chicken-stuff water company antics"! cluck-cluck back at ya. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 06:04 AM

I think the way to solve the problem is abandon CCPWC and hook up to CLAWA or VALLEY VIEW PARK.

Problems can't be solved unless the quorum issue is resolved. Under which "quorum rule" did the company acquire the USDA RUS loan? We are told, over and over, that we cannot vote for board members because we cannot achieve a 50% +1 quorum. Then? How did the RUS loan get approved? Many unanswered questions.

The 20% quorum was clearly established as per the ByLaws section 2.15 amended June 1966 which stated:

Twenty percent of the shares issued, outstanding, in good standing, represented either in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Each shareholder shall be entitled to one vote for each share standing in his name on the books of the company, whether represented in person or by proxy. Amended: June 1966 http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPMWC_ByLaws-1947-QUOR...

It is clear that the company has not followed the bylaws and a change to the 50% +1 was established without proper procedure. If anything we now know the **in good standing** clause was blatantly ignored for the 2 board members now sitting on the board.

If the lawsuit can re-establish the 20% quorum then qualifying the Share Registry will be the next hurdle in addition to qualifying the other "business" that may have been illegally conducted "on behalf of the shareholders". 😞

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 07:34 AM

From: Roncpp Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2010 02:34 PM

The number of shares in good standing varies because some are cancelled and new ones are issued. The audit conducted over the past few months was designed to determine the precise number of shares in good standing for this precise reason. Not only had this not been done for decades, the record keeping was abysmal. The audit is essentially complete and the accurate number of shares will be announced at the meeting as status changes occur right up to the

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

meeting. www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/68873?page=1

Ron knew perfectly damn well, that the examination done by the part time clerk was to establish the overall condition of the shareholders' files and it was reported that over half of them were found to be incomplete. So where he came up with the nonsense he states as fact is beyond me.

The audit was never completed, the office is waiting on the good faith of the shareholders to march in and give them copies of what the office no longer holds and should... where the heck did the shareholder get the originals and why does the office no longer have copies.

Part of the reason was ECS had moved around a great deal of problem files and some were even found in the bottom of an office drawer used to hold lunch supplies, *that is a documented fact and can not be disputed...* who knows where the rest of the problem files got stuffed into, avoiding having to deal with them.

Compliance with the 20% quorum is a mandatory requirement for the BOD to be brought into the world of reality.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 08:26 AM

Part of the reason was ECS had moved around a great deal of problem files and some were even found in the bottom of an office drawer used to hold lunch supplies.... who knows where the rest of the problem files got stuffed into, avoiding having to deal with them.

It should also be mentioned that ECS transported many Shareholder files from the office to their home. 😞

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 10:16 AM

MEETING MINUTES POSTING ERRORS

<http://www.cppmwc.org/minutes.html>

as of 11-07-2011 the following links on the company website are not working.

9-24-11 Exec Meeting
9-22-11 Exec Meeting
September 2011 Minutes
8-18-11 Exec Meeting
August 2011 Minutes
Annual Shareholders Minutes 7-30-11
Organizational Minutes 7-30-11
7-21-11 Exec Meeting
7-15-11 Exec Meeting Amended
7-15-11 Exec Meeting
July 2011 Minutes

Each link will generate a msg: 404: Page not found

How much we paying this web maint outfit? 😞 geez... a malformed PDF link????

That's like basic webmaint 101!!

Hopefully the webmaint outfit will FIX at NO COST!

Most of us professionals would, but then again, we wouldn't make such a mistake to begin with. 😊

Testing is such a lost art! 😞

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2011 10:34 AM

POSTED vs NOT POSTED

It is now obvious by the posting of bad links that the company website was updated. But what is interesting is WHAT the company staff CHOSE to publish! The CPPMWC staff had an OPPORTUNITY to provide OTHER VALUABLE information but what did they post instead?

Anybody interested in 36 page document about Landscaping? Sure, why not?

<http://www.cppmwc.org/landscape.pdf>

Anybody interested in the RULES & REGULATIONS? BYLAWS? Too bad - still nothing for you.

<http://www.cppmwc.org/rules.html>

In case anyone missed it in an earlier post here are the BYLAWS and RULES & REGULATIONS on my website. hmmmmmm..... Should I invoice CPPMWC for that?

Don't worry, not holding my breath 😊

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPPMWC_ByLaws-1996.pdf

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPPMWC_RulesAndRegulati...

From: [Kacey1](#)

Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2011 07:09 AM

The CPPMWC website is just another ECS decision that will linger and leave that all too familiar sour taste in your mouth...

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2011 06:22 PM

BUMP

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy) Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2011 05:55 AM

Everyone is cordially invited to the hearing. The impact of attendance will be priceless!

San Bernardino County Superior Court

303 W. 3rd St San Bernardino

November 9, 2011, 8:30am, Room S38

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2011 06:35 PM

There may not be any appearance representing the Water Co. I received "Objections to Subpoena" yesterday via UPS. It may be postponed, I'll post of here. I'll still go as planned and I am as prepared as I'll ever be.

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2011 10:22 PM

Good luck to you Jim.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 05:03 AM

I'm trying the best I can! 😊

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 05:14 AM

Is the hearing still on or not? Any way to find out without going down there?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 06:03 AM

MEETING MINUTES

<http://www.cppmwc.org/minutes.html>

The following links on the company website are now fixed.

9-24-11 Exec Meeting

9-22-11 Exec Meeting

September 2011 Minutes

8-18-11 Exec Meeting

August 2011 Minutes

Annual Shareholders Minutes 7-30-11

Organizational Minutes 7-30-11

7-21-11 Exec Meeting

7-15-11 Exec Meeting Amended

7-15-11 Exec Meeting

July 2011 Minutes

WOW - check out the Annual Meeting! Most of us were there! Did they publish a sanitized version of those events or what?

Check out the August 18, 2011 Exec minutes.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/8-18-11%20Exec%20Meeting.pdf>

Page 7 - Item 6

Looks like Perry's trying to get HIS company, Golden State Water to take over the water co. Can you say, "conflict of interest"?

Be sure to read all the minutes. Such a fantasy of actual events and still they try to dismiss the Albright lack of shares issue.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 06:07 AM

TAKE NOTICE of July 30, 2011 Organizational Minutes

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Organizational%20Minutes%207-30-11.pdf>

Perry Dahlstrom & Don Pederson terms had ended.

The election of board members was conducted illegally - IMO!!

DIRECTORS PRESENT:

Ron Albright - not in good standing

Bill Steiner - not in good standing

Paul Hartman

3 board members - IN GOOD STANDING - are required for a quorum.

BUT, they conducted business anyway - right? and re-appointed Perry Dahlstrom & Don Pederson!

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 06:09 AM

CPPMWC Shareholders Unite and Occupy CPPMWC

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/CPPMWC-Shareholders-Unite-a...>

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 05:30 PM

Good Evening, Everybody.

Not so good in court today! 😞 The judge decided my exhibits are "hearsay and lacks foundation".

Funny, that exactly what Best, Best ang Kreiger said on day one!

I asked the, how are the CPP's minutes hearsay? She said she reviewed the case (1000-1200 pages) and has made a decision, (said 3 times).

I asked how are corporate codes and By Laws ignored?

Mr Morrison if you wish to continue.....that's another date. My decision has been made.

In my opinion, the BBK also represents the County in many cases. Conflict of interest? 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 08:56 PM

Update: The 2 vehicles that park in the employee parking, Church St, assuming, they are our field crew.....are of Crestine and Hemet. CHP verified license numbers! I have friends.

That explains why my neighbor had no reply to an emergency service request 2 weeks ago. She called on a Saturday.

The operator replied, "It'll be a least an hour to respond". She requested as soon as possible, please! The water is running. I hear it! Well, they never showed up. Not on that Saturday, nor on Sunday!

It's recorded event! Please verify for yourself!

Our emergency response is in Hemet!!!! Last time I checked, it's almost 2 hours to commute!

This is who OUR Board Of Directors selected for field service??!!

FYI, thought you should know!

Attendance and participation are the key to a happy Mutual Benefits Corporation. We make the rules!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 09:00 PM

Okay, we don't make the rules! A quorum does!

The BOD is very, very, busy making them as we go!!!! No Talking!!

From: architect

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 10:08 PM

Update: The 2 vehicles that park in the employee parking, Church St, assuming, they are our field crew.....are of Crestine and Hemet. CHP verified license numbers! I have friends.

Geeze...this is starting to sound like a stalking thing.

From: architect

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 10:13 PM

The whole "Occupy" thing has kinda lost it's luster. It's old news. You'll need another angle if you want to make some kind of statement. Since this is all about water, maybe you ought to get 30 or 40 people (does this water district HAVE that many people)...and have everyone bring a toilet to a public gathering, then get everyone to sit on it. Maybe even use it. I think that would get some news coverage and maybe even a couple pictures in the paper. Especially if everyone was in a bathrobe or naked.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 10:37 PM

Sh!t and fall into it? They do that already! No rehearsal necessary. They are professionals!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: architect

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 10:55 PM

i wasn't suggesting that for the BOD. It was for the...oh nevermind.

From: architect

Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2011 10:56 PM

if you gotta act like loons...you might as well really act looney tunes.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 06:42 AM

Jim, Semper-Fi... it's just a battle lost on the war... Ignore the hijackers, they are bored because their fingerprints are dried up... keep the course true! Time to regroup and make another advance at the injustice of no representation for the shareholders.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 06:52 AM

Since a certified copy is a duplicate of an original document that is certified as a true copy by the officer having custody of the original. Requests for certified copies should be directed to the agency that holds or issued the original.

Going forward all copy requests from the office must be designated certified copy so they are admissable in a court of law. Due diligence going forward then to rebuild the evidence chain.

Just a set back, not a defeat.

From: Ellymay

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 07:02 AM

Jim, you did a Great job presenting yourself/trying to get the Court to make the CPPW DO THE RIGHT THING. Breezys files and knowlege are impressive. Thanks to EVERYONE in (and outside) of our community for the ongoing support. So Proud of you folks and to be part of a community that is united.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 07:18 AM



From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 08:43 AM



From: Whadayawant

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:33 AM

😞😞😞😞 Wasting all the shareholders money, shame on you... Every time we end up in a lawsuit it costs us all, all that over \$180 dollars... You may be satisfying a few, but the majority of us like our water company... 😞😞😞😞

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:43 AM

Wasting all the shareholders money, shame on you...

Do tell? Exactly how much was wasted? Seriously. How much?

You may be satisfying a few, but the majority of us like our water company...

And you have numbers of the MAJORITY? Again do tell.

Thanks in advance and with all sincerity for any inside information that you can contribute. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 11:22 AM

Yes Whadayawant, do tell us. All over \$180.00? WOW! And all the time I felt it had to do with the SCADS of violations done by the bod, not just the \$180.00. You need to become informed before opening your mouth.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 11:52 AM

Yes Whadayawant, do tell us.... You need to become informed before opening your mouth.

Let's not dismiss Whadayawant's vast knowledge of what was stated.

Apparantly Whadayawant knows the ACTUAL COST of what was "wasted by the shareholders" in addition to the number of shareholders that constitute a MAJORITY of approval.

Knowledge is power

I do so hope that Whadayawant can enlighten us.

Eagerly awaiting as are most to know about our water company! 😊

From: architect

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 12:32 PM

whatdayawant,

If there's one takeaway from this whole thing it's gotta be "*don't rile up the citizens*". next thing you know you'll have three or four camped out your house running license plates with their friends in law enforcement. I don't know about you, but I sure don't need that.

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 01:42 PM

Oh Architect, you're so funny. The lots in CPP and most of the area are just too small to camp out on 😊😊

Breezy, pick up your email.

From: Whadayawant

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:10 PM

I agree with you architect, one person doesn't agree with them and all h\$ll breaks loose... I don't know Breezy when was the last time you hired a law firm? Maybe you have a yapper with a loose tung? But believe me there's a silent majority that doesn't agree with what your doing, neighbors do talk! More people live in CPP than just Nardi lane. 😊

From: disposition

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:22 PM

Wow.. You learn something new EVERY day.. And this WHOLE time I thought all FOUR houses on Nardi was CedarPines Park in it's entirety... Thanks Whadaya!!! Geez.. I gotta get out more!

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:31 PM

Way to go Whaddyawant!! IT'S ON!!! Talk about getting support from the opposition!!! WOW!! What a BIG DUMMY!! HAHAAHAHAHA!!! Oh My!

From: DWB

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:33 PM

Whadayawant, theres more than one that dont like to be screwed by our bod of the water comp. .If you think its over with the courts you got another thing coming.when the bod start getting lawsuits against the indiv.directors then we might see changes

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: architect

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:39 PM

If Mystery were here she'd make a list...

THOSE FOR WATER

THOSE AGAINST WATER

THOSE WHO DISBELIEVE ANYTHING THE COURTS SAY ABOUT ANYTHING

From: architect

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:40 PM

I have a suspicion a couple of these lists would be really short. Like maybe 3 or 4 names.

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 03:16 PM

Say what? Please rephrase. By-the-way, do you live in CPP? I'll enjoy meeting you if you do. Perhaps at the next BOD meeting.

From: Coral

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 03:49 PM

Just a thought but remember that everything you post on here is public record and can be used against you in a court of law. It might be a good idea to censor yourselves the best you can.

Best and Best are not the only Water Law Attorneys. Look up a few and see if you can buy an hour of time to help you present your case. I have heard that Wysocki (in Riverside) is good. If I remember correctly his first name is David and he did some work for Joshua Tree Water District. You really need some good advice.

From: architect

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 03:53 PM

PattiM,

I highly doubt you'd enjoy meeting me in real life. I'm a really unpleasant person.

From: Tazzy

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 03:59 PM

Hugs Coral

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 04:15 PM

Pattim - arch doesn't live on the mountain & resides in Hermosa last I recall. Doesn't matter. Seems to be stalking the rotw water co thread after we left him talking to himself on gemsworld. It was an interesting pecker war soap opera over there so seemed better to let the guys yank themselves with their panty & tampons fetishes. Don't ask! Guess he got lonely and had to stir it up over here. 😊

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 04:25 PM

Thank you Coral, for saying what you have. I couldn't agree with you more. In fact, that you are reading all about this just proves the point.

Oh Architect, you might think you are not all that nice but then ya never know who your twin might be. Actually, I'm always nice until I'm p'd off and I just might be p'd off at you so watch your self. I take no prisoners.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 04:35 PM

Whadayawant - What do You want?

You put it out there of knowing the ACTUAL COST of what was "wasted by the shareholders" and the number of shareholders that constitute a MAJORITY of approval. I kindly and respectfully asked for qualification of your statement. Obviously you have no clue? That's fine. Just wanted to give you the courtesy and opportunity to respond. You did, but not in like fashion. Telling. 😞

I don't know Breezy when was the last time you hired a law firm?

My condolences for your angst and anxiety on these matters. If YOU are the silent majority then YOU are somewhat deficient in communicating on their behalf. What do You want anyway? What is your point? I'm sincerely trying to find value in your commentary as maybe others are as well. Hope you feel better soon. 😊

From: ThePants

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 05:09 PM

Lotsa flip-flap and yippin an yappin goin on.

I know one thing...

If *I* were on the BOD right now, I'd resign and run for the hills with my tail twixt my legs. Apparently, that common sense doesn't appeal to them. Sad.

From: architect

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 05:52 PM

It's also possible that the BOD views this whole thing to be nothing more than a bunch of buzzing gnats. Like the court does.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 06:23 PM

The best thing about ROTW is the "Ignore Sender" option, put the silencers on Architect...

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 06:29 PM

Was there anything positive that came out of your court appearance yesterday Jim?

From: Coral

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 08:08 PM

Listen to Arch!! He knows what he is talking about. Better yet ask his wife LSW (long suffering wife). 😊

And I have been reading these threads for quite a while. We went through a lot on Cedar Glen after the fire and one of your BOD gave us a lot of unwanted advice. Expecially when he had no idea what he was talking about - it really endeared him to all of us. Keep the faith!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 06:14 AM

Good Morning, everybody. I'm going to request continuance on Monday. A court date will be scheduled well in advance to allow for the protocal. Subpeonas need 20 working days notice. I didn't do that. My exhibits used for the case are of the Water Co., but where not "paper-trailed", "certified copies of the originals FROM the Water Co". and therefore considered hearsay!

Hey! I'm learning here! I want to help the community in the best manner I can. I didn't want to play ""attorney". But this is what I get. I stand strong in what I believe in and you can't take that away from me. The community support is overwhelming! Yes, attorney fees are accumulating "for the good of the community"..... unlike, Perry Dahlstrom installed several water lines without permits years ago and has resulted in several thousands in legal fees and Thousands in settled cases. What a joke! A very expensive and costly mistake/management!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [marvista](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 01:54 PM

To: Whadayawant ~

We want quality water at a reasonable price!

We want integrity from our BODs and water co. employees!

Is that asking too much???

\$600 for 4 months of water is asking too much!!!

This is not Beverly Hills!

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 02:55 PM

I love the comment.. "all over \$180" I would just LOVE to see their faces when they have to pay back the \$180 that was paid to them already!! Then WE can say Why so mad over \$180????? 😊

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 04:21 PM

\$600 for 4 months of water is asking too much!!!

so...what exactly would be a "fair" price for water in this isolated mountain community where technical infrastructure costs more than even in Beverly Hills, and raw water resources are not free for the taking?

Given that there are hard costs involved in procuring and distributing water...what exactly would be a fair price?

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 04:24 PM

We average about \$170 per month through the year in Lake Arrowhead. Much more during the summer.

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 04:29 PM

Other comparisons: Mammoth Lakes...we paid about \$220 per month. Santa Barbara: about \$150 per month.

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 04:30 PM

water ain't cheap in water challenged areas.

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 04:49 PM

Oh crap. Architect has his auto-responder on again.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 05:01 PM

Suing a company in which you are a shareholder is like taking a sh*t on your plate because you don't like whats for dinner. The \$180 will be a lot more since the bod has to lawyer up. Thanks.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 05:53 AM

The Water Co tripled the liability insurance coverage over the last couple of years.

Why? Were they expecting something?

Juan, if the Water Co were following the rules, we wouldn't be in this mess! They think they are untouchable and what they say goes! It ain't right! You'd understand if you had to deal with this sh!t for last 30 years. Many people have.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 11:11 AM

We average about \$170 per month through the year in Lake Arrowhead. Other comparisons: Mammoth Lakes...we paid about \$220 per month. Santa Barbara: about \$150 per month

And those numbers include "sewer fees"? CPP has no sewer system, it's all septic systems out here, therefore your numbers stated provide:

- 1) no comparison
- 2) appears to reflect poor water conservation by the consumer
- 3) is highly contrary to the rates posted for those areas

If comparing to a MONTHLY billing then CPP charges

\$42.53/mo for membership & meter fees PLUS the WATER USAGE:

\$0.055 for the first 600CF

\$0.070 for 601CF to 1400CF

\$0.095 for above 1400CF

<http://www.cppmwc.com/rates.pdf>

water ain't cheap in water challenged areas.

The hike in CPP pricing is for the turds operating the system.

No meter reads create a false sense of water usage but conveniently adds to revenue that the turds need to raise over the static charges. Had the company used the RUS loan properly we would probably have smart meters by now.

Instead the funds were misappropriated for dumb expensive contractors by the "water challenged board of directors"!



From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 11:14 AM

Listen to Arch!! He knows what he is talking about.

I doubt it. He doesn't even live in "these" mountains anymore. Listen to gemini.

<http://www.geminisworld.net/gemsworld/MessageBoard.aspx>

In the News - CPP WATER CO - Page 25

gemini - Sunday, October 30, 2011 5:08:34 PM

The LACSD rates are **\$0.012 for the first 400CFs and \$0.023 for the next 900CFs.**

CPP still wins out for higher water costs! Yay, lucky us? 😊

From: [Coral](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 12:59 PM

Breezy, I was referring to the post from PattiM and Arch's answer. Had nothing to do with water issues. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 02:23 PM

Had nothing to do with water issues.

Hey Coral, whatz-up? I know, I know. The LSW reference gave it away! {{wink-wink}} 😊

From: [Coral](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 04:07 PM

Arch must take a lot of showers! \$170? We live close to his mtn house and We never went over \$65 a month for sewer and water. However, they just raised it about \$8 so now it is around \$73. Of course, we do very little outside watering, rarely take showers, don't do dishes or wash clothes so that makes a difference. 😊😊 We have a rental and their bill is usually more than ours. But when you live the big life like Arch does I guess you need a lot of water. I just

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

remembered that we also pay \$24 a month on our taxes. We were lucky that the previous owners used the minimum also. So that raises us up to \$94 a month. Still a lot less than \$170. And there are only two of us where Arch had two kids and you know how kids are? Always using water!!!!

I miss Arch and LSW.

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 05:10 PM

Arch... Don't do that in the shower anymore, it's expensive, even at the beach.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 09:36 PM

So in a perfect world you get the quorum rule to 20% and the MINORITY elects who they want to the board. That's going to make our water cheaper? Yer smokin crack.

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 10:54 PM

geezz thanks for telling EVERYONE what I'm smokin, However 20% is a far greater number of independent minds than the small group of dictators(the BOD) that have been in reign of power electing their friends and hiring their acquaintances (see kickbacks) at outrageous salaries, not even based on their ability to perform but for their complete lack of - FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS!

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 10:56 PM

<cough>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 05:50 AM

Today is a free legal aid get-together in Redlands. Attornies put this together for the citizens of the county periodically. So, instead of enjoy my Sunday watching football, I'll be working towards the betterment of Cedarpiners Park. It's an opportunity to speak with an attorney at no cost.

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 06:49 AM

I find it interesting that the critic's have arrived on site with thier opinions, with comment's there are only a handful of shareholders who are unhappy with the direction the water board is going. Guess they missed the picnic, seemed like that was the main theme there. If I get this right they would rather see 5 people chart thier course over at least 140 people (assuming around 700 shareholders)in good standing. By the way the 700 was a number I heard and am using since the Board doesnt seem to know what the number is either.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 07:03 AM

If the BOD wasn't so "Power Hungry", they could unanimously elect for a 20% quorum, satisfy the demands of the community. That's how simple it is!!!!!! But no! They want to control us!

Instead, the BOD has decided to incur legal expenses to keep control, and keep spending our money on over paid personnel. A satisfied community quorum might interfere with their adgenda!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 07:05 AM

agenda

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 07:14 AM

I guess the BOD forgot they are supposed to serve the community, not their buddies and themselves. It's a shame we'll have to let the court remind them.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 09:41 AM

I will admit, I have not lived here long enough to have built any anger toward our water co. I know a lot of work and passion has been directed toward this subject but I just do not understand it. IMHO all this energy should be directed toward attaining a 50%+1 quorum, then I believe, whatever is decided will be fair and equitable. If 50%+1 do not have a problem and do not see the injustice that has been alleged, then a small vocal group are forcing their will on the majority.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 10:34 AM

juan92325 - If 50%+1 do not have a problem and do not see the injustice that has been alleged, then a small vocal group are forcing their will on the majority.

Actually, the small group (5 men) forcing their will and abusing their power on the majority has been the board of directors - none who were elected by the shareholders. We currently have 2 board members that should not be on the board because their accounts are NOT IN GOOD STANDING, a violation of the By-Laws. Their NOT IN GOOD STANDING status has been this way for many years!

BE AWARE, there was a 20% quorum in effect for many years. It was documented in the By-Laws. How it turned into 50%+1 without proper procedure is the issue!

Refer to my post on Monday, November 7, 2011 06:04 AM, however, reposting the highlights for your easy review:

The 20% quorum was clearly established as per the ByLaws section 2.15 amended June 1966 which stated:

Twenty percent of the shares issued, outstanding, in good standing, represented either in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Each shareholder shall be entitled to one vote for each share standing in his name on the books of the company, whether represented in person or by proxy. Amended: June 1966

http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/pdf/CPPMWC_ByLaws-1947-QUORUM.pdf

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#) 

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 10:46 AM

Thumbs up to Jim. Anyone (700 share holders) can just sit on their a\$\$ and pay Goliath what he wants. It's been the same old story of waste and corruption going on for many years with that agency. All leaders have adversaries. Like Popeye says "It's all I can stand and I can't sands it no more" (something like that). 😊

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 11:17 AM

juan92325, have you lived in crestline and paid a water bill there since CPP is 92322 ? Do you live in 92322 ? my other half in crestline pays \$30~35 a month for one person by not going over the first tier of water without trying. CVWD is a well run company and they don't want to take over CPPMWC with all the problems and mismanagement we have.

From: [Coral](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 03:55 PM

Juan- I don't live in CPP but I know from experience that it is extremely difficult to get 50 plus 1 because getting absentee shareholders to send in a proxie is almost impossible.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [ThePants](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 04:14 PM

extremely difficult???

Impossible. That's why they did it. It doesn't take a rocket surgeon to figure that out.

From: [Bearlady](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 05:29 PM

rocket surgern. a task requiring intelligence or higher education; a difficult undertaking. Subjects: English

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 06:19 PM

<cough>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 06:58 PM

This afternoon's meeting was good and informative, although, the Legal Aid attorney's specialty was family law. Made me wish I had a donation to help their cause.

They have had revenues cut to the point of almost closing their doors last week.

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 07:30 PM

did he mention that as a family law attorney he sees lots of cases where obsession causes marital problems?

From: [lazytoad](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 08:09 PM

Juan I really think you should attend a board meeting to gain a little perspective on the problems at hand. When you are dismissed after the first hour(Especially if you just spent an hour and a half on the road to get there)you might incur a little frustration. At a recent meeting when it was brought up that the VP was not paying for several shares, rather than exempt him from any activity until matter is settled the board offers to pay for a claimed easement to him with shareholder monies, again without community input. There are alot of issues beyond the \$180.00 assessment they asked for. Canyon Vista is an issue that was created without community involvement/input. Take a look at some of the local news articles on our little water co.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 10:09 PM

I live in CPP. I went to the meeting last summer and was sitting next to the gentleman who reminded Mr. Morrison "You do not speak for all of us"

From: [gldn_trngl](#)

Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 11:29 PM

juan92325, thanks for your response. I was a little confused by the 92325 part. Since you were at the meeting last summer you got a taste of the discontent expressed by many. If you can defend the way the BOD operates I'm all ears.

From everything that I see is that they operate in a dysfunctional manner and don't even follow by the bylaws that are in place. I've learned that I should have been charged an extra \$5,000.00 when I bought my house, that is p poor management in my opinion. That amount of money alone would have saved many the 180.00 that is truly a hardship to them - simply because the BOD dose not follow their own bylaws!

That is only one more example of our p poor management (BOD) IMHO.

Whether you are for, against, or just interested > WELCOME! 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 07:50 AM

Just wondering if CPPMWC still plans on having the board meeting. I know it is scheduled but last month the hour they set aside for members (before they go to the "real" board meeting where members are not allowed) was cancelled. It would be nice if they could let us know early. If anyone knows if meeting will/is cancelled, please inform. It would be greatly appreciated.

From: PattiM

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 10:21 AM

Rhondalee, I called the water co. and Angela said yes there will be a board meeting tonight. It would be nice if more of the shareholders that live near by would show up.

From: lazytoad

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 04:59 AM

Good Morning CedarPines Park. Kinda quiet on the Western front

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 06:39 AM

Well, we had our "first" community allowed meeting. It was actually nice. Of course, Ron wasn't there again. I wanted to grind him on his delinquent shares. The BOD introduced the new GM, Bob Haifley. I was the only person with a customer comment. I asked why is the field supervisor allowed to live in Hemet? Over 2 hours away. The BOD said "We hired the most qualified person." I mention the water leak my neighbor had 3 weeks ago, the weekend service didn't dispatch the "on call, emergency guys". They blamed it on the answering service.(Strike 1)

They added the leak was on "their side of the meter. They are required to install a shut-off valve for such emergencies. They,(the customers) are responsible for their leaks."

I said, "She is a renter. When a call is made for an emergency, the Water Co. needs to show up. At least appear and make yourself available, regardless of who is responsible for the leak."

Wasting water is not good Public Relations.

The CLAWA connection has been postponed til further notice, pending resources.

The Sawpit Cyn tanks will need to be demolished/removed. The system has been re-designed to no longer need them.

A small "wet-well, 5-10k gal tank" will be built to replace the 200,000 storage tanks.

Steiner ate 6 cookies! I couldn't help watching him stuff his mug! What a glutton!

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 06:48 AM

It's about time they abandoned Sawpit... there wasn't enough liptick to restore that pig to its glory.

Good questions about the response time for call-outs Jim...Hemet is just too far away for emergency response.

From: Kacey1

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:05 AM

Jim, *The BOD said "We hired the most qualified person." I mentioned the water leak my neighbor had 3 weeks ago, the weekend service didn't dispatch the "on-call, emergency guys". They blamed it on the answering service.(Strike 1)*

I suppose when you lay-off the most qualified person, the comment made by the BOD would be accurate. Have they come to their senses and offered Casey his job back?

Good grief! I guess it's true, you can't fix stupid!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:11 AM

I asked for home, cell numbers for the "on call" guys in the event of an emergency. Denied!

So, lets call the ALL BODs for emergencies! Their home numbers and addresses are of public information to us.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:28 AM

I was the only person with a customer comment.

Interesting.

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:30 AM

I asked for home, cell numbers for the "on call" guys in the event of an emergency.

You are kidding, right? Do you think the sheriff should hand out the home phone numbers for all it's deputies as well?

How about the cable guy? You seen that movie? You want to call the cable guy at home?

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:31 AM

Apparently common sense evaporates as fast as water.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 07:54 AM

Actually Architect, phone numbers and addresses are already listed in the phone book so your comment is unnecessary. Furthermore, why do you care or even make comment in this thread? Not only do you not live in CPP and never have, you don't even live on the mountain anymore. You are obviously nothing but a trouble maker and craving for attention.

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 08:17 AM

does james know about the phone book?

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 08:18 AM

apparently his request was also unnecessary.

From: architect

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 08:21 AM

and actually pattim...you might be surprised to find out just how personally interested in this I might be.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 08:28 AM

Okay, surprise me.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 01:07 PM

architect:

I was the only person with a customer comment.

Interesting.

Not really, if you put it in perspective. Public "customer" Comments" in meetings require no real ACTION by the board, however it helps to get the Comment recorded in the minutes. Most "customer comments" have transformed to BARF's and Shareholder Complaints - paperwork required for the boardies attention and action! 😊

At least an hour of last night's meeting was spent on backlogged "customer complaints" and educating the new staff how to respond. ECS didn't handle those issues and dumped it in the hands of others. 🙄

Again, just another example of poor transitioning of knowledge and information by ECS - a contracted item? Finally

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

the BOD's acknowledged some of ECS's deficiencies. Basically, the BOD confirmed all the shareholder comments and complaints that have been presented over the last 2 yrs against ECS as valid!

Also, the BOD was not happy with the extra "on call" charges + other charges that ECS billed the water co from June to November.

Now, that was Interesting! Finally something everyone could agree on! Wow, those BOD's are a quick bunch eh? 😊

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 01:11 PM

Having the personal phone numbers isn't the point. The point is having the service available that we pay for. Do you expect to call 911 for an emergency to only wait and have no one show up? On a side note, I apologize for not being there last night - work needed to be done and I can't blame it on a call service.

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 01:11 PM

And before anyone asks.

FYI - Board Action Request Form is what we affectionately refer to as BARF!

<http://www.cppmwc.com/CPMWC%20Board%20Action%20Request%2...>

If a shareholder wants an item to be placed on the meeting agenda (i.e. NEW BUSINESS) then the shareholder needs to send their BARF to the board! 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2011 05:59 AM

Update: The Water Co. was served again yesterday. Cheryl and I are requesting the Water Co. to Identify and Produce Documents. Due date is January 5th, 2012. My documents were considered "hearsay and lacks foundation" per the judge. Now, there will be NO question as to the validity.

Cheryl and I also requested a meeting with the BOD on or before January 5, 2012 to discuss our \$1.6 million Federal loan obtained in 1996. We are trying to get the BOD to open their eyes and work with us. We don't want to incur more legal expenses, either.

The office immediately closed yesterday. They re-grouped and re-opened later in the afternoon.

From: PattiM

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2011 07:57 AM

Good job Jim and Cheryl 😊 Don't understand why they needed to close the office for awhile though. Gee, someone might have wanted to make a payment 😊😊😊LMAO

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2011 06:32 AM

Got my water bill for property in Big Bear area yesterday. For two months it was a little over \$45.00 dollars. It is a little higher because I was pressure washing the siding all day before painting last month. Water Bill from Apple Valley in July was 35.00, 49.00 in June because I was adding water to pool and flushing Jacuzzi. Apple Valley is a small Muni, but Erwin Lake is very much like CPP (alot of part time users) although it is level vs. the Hills we have. Also Erwin does not have the debt CPP has.

From: marvista

Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2011 07:16 AM

Lazytoad: Those prices are more in line with what our water costs should be here in CPP. Still don't understand why CPP water is so exorbitant??? Thanks for posting that info!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Darkman

Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2011 11:41 AM

I would kill for a \$45 water bill ours is a whole lot higher and we do not have a pool.

From: lazytoad

Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2011 01:04 PM

I posted these just to point out that what we have is not the norm. I will say the water bill in Erwin is just my wife and I and the laundry is done in Apple Valley. Than again there is no laundry done in CedarPines park, we only really use the water sparingly and when we water a dogwood we planted this year.Oh, the Erwin property has a Lawn in the front yard with plants along the fence and 3 ash trees planted in the back yard.

From: PeggyJane

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 09:14 AM

Hello, while I already live in Crestline, I have been looking at purchasing a more suitable house for myself and my daughter. As Ive been looking I keep returning to CedarPines Park and think I have found a house that I want to make an offer on. With that said, I have been reading this thread and have concerns about the water. What is the average cost? how do I know if I have to buy shares? I'm a single mom who needs to make the best decision for my family and would just like to understand the water situation a little better... THANKS soooo much

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 09:29 AM

The baseline cost on the 60 day water bill is about \$85 *before* you start paying for water. Water shares are likely already purchased for the property you are looking at and should transfer to you with the property title.

There probably is no average water bill. Some people try to connserve and others don't. With a household of 3 here (including our walking security system, Rocky) before resorting to drastic water saving methods here our 2 month bill was \$180 to \$200. After our water saving methods were employed, we're down to about \$128 for the 2 month billing cycles. In my opinion, this is about double what a normal water bill should be. Figure with kids, you're probably looking at about \$100 a month.

From: ThePants

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 09:33 AM

And I forgot to mention. the bozos that run the water company can impose 'one time charges' to fix the finances of this mismanaged company. This happened last summer to every metered account to the tune of \$180 to \$195.

From: No0thrButMe

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 10:29 PM

Hi Peggy!

My better half and I don 't use a heck of a lot of water (no outdoor watering, etc) and our total bi-monthly bill is \$120-\$130.. without the assesment of course. While the water company is - IMO - the worst part of CPP, we still adore it and never plan on leaving. Best idea would be to come and join us at the next meeting and see the board gereiatrics for yourself and get involved. Don't let it stop ya from buying out here though.

And Pants is right on the money with the shares, it is my understanding that they transfer with the ownership. Each one share is equal to everything 3000 sqft or part there of.

Hope to see you soon

no0thr

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 05:35 AM

I got my "blue tag" for dis-connect notice. Blue is complimentary and doesn't cost anything. They were so efficient, the field guys brought to me before the end of the day! 😊 Met Zack. Nice, local guy. How do force an assessment if there's pending legal issues?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 05:38 AM

I live alone. My garden hogged up most of my \$156 bill, add another \$98 for assessments. \$250+ water bills are for the birds! 😞

From: OLDGUY

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 11:22 AM

How do force an assessment if there's pending legal issues?

You can go to court and get an order that they not turn off your service as it is an attempt to influence the case.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 05:31 PM

Been there....still doing THAT!

Today, I paid \$49 in pennies. It wasn't pleasant. I told Angela, the Water Co. broke my piggy bank, that's all I have! They were rolled at least. I have some common courtesy.

From: Skylandmarianne

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 05:49 PM

Curious...Is Angela the Office Manager? Is she in any way involved in the policies of the Water Debt.?

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 11:23 PM

I don't know if Angela is involved in the CPPMWC mess but today when I talked to her on the phone, to let her know that I am sending my portion of the assessment fee, and apologized for it being late but since I'm unemployed it takes a bit longer to save up funds for bills, she informed me that they already turned our water off and now charged an additional \$50 fee! We are part time so did not see any posted notices. I asked her if I could talk to someone regarding the matter and she said "she" is the one to make "any and all" decisions regarding my account so there was no reason for me to talk to anyone else! In my opinion it appears she too is part of the evil gang running the water company. 😞

From: Skylandmarianne

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 11:27 PM

Hmmm...I'm sorry to hear that Rhondalee. I asked because she was the contact for a job opening there for a Customer Service Rep.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2011 01:38 AM

Rhohda, I feel your pain. I pay via "online" on the last day required. It was post marked on the due date. They charged me a \$19.69 late fee. I had to print out my bank info, showing the payment was deducted from my account and sent on the due date. They (Angela) reversed the charges. She had a lame-ass excuse about not having time to "look at post marks" and she can't over-ride the system. I told her to get use to it, figure it out! She's a smart girl!

That's how I pay!

From: lazytoad

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2011 05:32 AM

Rondalee, Big Bear water will waive a one time late charge, sounds like alot of water companies do this,you might need to ask.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: Coral

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2011 12:47 PM

I had to pay a late charge to LACSD because it took about six days for the money to go from the time it was taken out of my account until LACSD received it. Now I make sure I pay as soon as I get the bill. The bank says they are not responsible for the length of time it takes to get to the payee. They give an estimated date only.

From: CP_Maynard

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2011 08:26 PM

Ya where exactly is bank "bill pay" money after it has been deducted from your account but the payee has not received it? In the age of electronic transfers they cut a check and mail it. Why? If you aggregate all that money it is millions of dollars and the interest on millions of dollars, even over night, is a lot of money. Yet people ask: Why are those hippies Occupying Wall Street?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 04:58 AM

Coral, they should recognize the post-marked date. If's it's post marked on or before the due date, you're fine. Maynard, they have the devices to accept e-payments that same day. Our Water Co. does not, therefore, snail mail!

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 01:02 PM

Thank you Lasytoad, but she said the \$50 stands because they had to go to the house and post a notice. I wish I could get \$50 for traveling 1/2 mile!

From: Coral

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 01:47 PM

So, Jim, do you have a clause with CPP water that allows you to use the post mark. The only thing I know that it works for are the Property tax bills which I am working on now. IRS too I guess. But everything I pay must be there by the due date and no one cares about the post mark. You have a good deal.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 03:05 PM

Rhondalee, the BOD may waive it, but you need to ask in person at the monthly meeting or fill out a form for discussion, requesting a waiver.

As far as the post-mark date, I use it and have had lates fees removed (the last payment they tried to charge me.! I've never had a late fee in 17 years)

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 03:52 PM

Update:

I received a certified letter today from BOD Wilfred Steiner. He's directing me to the Water Co attorney for any/all requests. I'm trying to avoid unnecessary legal costs and willing to work with these nincompoops. They see otherwise. Obviously, Steiner dosen't care about costs. I doubt he pays for water service and the recent assessment for both of his homes.

From: PattiM

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 04:01 PM

Jim, John and I are "in" to help pay for attorney fees. Good luck my special friend.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 04:13 PM

Patti, I have recently became aware of an attorney who is a shareholder. He seemed interested in what's happening in our community. I don't know if this is something he can help/advise/consul/or take the bull by the horns! I'm patiently waiting for his call. Apparently, he's very, very busy! 😊

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 07:03 PM

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 05:31 PM

.....*Today, I paid \$49 in pennies*.....

Jim, i'm curious how many assessment payments you have made to date. I'm up to my second payment.....

I see no problems with racking up attorneys fees on the part of the water co. if the BOD is so dysfunctional as to not care, or care about saving IT'S shareholders even one cent.

Any amount of attorney fees that you could cause would never come close to costing the shareholders what the BOD is arbitrarily extorting from them as a one time assessment.

I say get everything you want from the attorney for the water co. and more.

Their attorney may not have the water co's(BOD) best interest at heart, They are simply the attorney that they have chosen to represent them. In this economy their attorney might just welcome the extra business.

Great news finding an attorney that is also a shareholder, I smell cheese cake in his future.

Seriously though, I think there is enough internist that it would be more proper to offer to buy a couple of hours of his time to pour over the paperwork and brainstorm some possible directions (with us).

(I don't know where to add this thought; but...) Depositions would seem to be in order and also go a long way in a court of law, and would certainly get the BOD's attention (and time) if nothing else.

From: juan92325

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 08:11 PM

I see no problems with racking up attorneys fees on the part of the water co.

The snake eating it's tail

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 08:18 PM

Very true juan92325.

From: ThePants

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2011 09:31 PM

If the snake has to eat its tail to get the Board onboard, representing the interests of the shareholders, actually serving the community, stop meeting behind closed doors, ignoring shareholder requests, gouging with 'one time assessments' willy nilly and lacking activity disclosure, so be it.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, December 3, 2011 05:51 AM

Update: Next hearing is scheduled for Jan 25, 2012, 8:30am, same court room, S38. Lots of prep-work to do. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Saturday, December 3, 2011 06:07 AM

David, on Tuesday I paid the first assessment under protest with \$49 of rolled pennies. I've paid the recent regular bill via online on the due-date. It takes a week for them to get it. I haven't paid the second assessment yet. I need to roll more pennies! 😊 I'll pay it Monday! btw, I took cookies over to Angela and Bob yesterday. Bob asked me if I had time to chat with him. I was so happy. We'll get together next week.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, December 12, 2011 07:37 AM

Once again, another meeting of the minds yesterday. 😊😊😊 All prepared for a case filing at the San Bernardino County District Attorney specifically for our 1996 federal loan of \$1.6 million.

It's all fraud!!!!!! The Water co claims a quorum was met (in 11 days) on November 15, 1994 to obtain the loan. I lived here at that time. It never happened!

Thank You Steiner for providing all the documents as part of the Civil case.
Now you are going to fry!!! I'll post the case # when I return, later today. 😊

From: Rhondalee

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 03:18 PM

Good Job Jim. By the way, I owned property here in 1994 (and before) too. I never received any notice and have no knowledge of that so called quorum either. Hmmm. Yet, not surprised. LOL.

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 06:19 PM

Jim, I think you should bust out the "Superman of CPP" cape to wear to the hearing...Betcha the media would give you attention..just saying...But, maybe it would be better if I donned the uni and gave everyone a scare in those speedo's and cape..YEAH! THAT'S IT!!

Publicity Stunt=Exposure=Camera's=Newspaper Coverage=Television Coverage...Well..anyway..I could just be your fat zany sidekick that spews rhetoric and flings stabbing remarks to our foe(s)..Okay..I REALLY need to go to sleep now...:)

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 06:56 PM

Well, I just typed everything, ROTW logged me out for taking so long and lost it. Let me do it again! 😞

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 07:33 PM

Okay, here's the skinny,

I filed ONE specific complaint, LOAN FRAUD!!!

SanBernardino County District Attorney case# 11-12-03, filed Dec 13, 2011 @ 1:26pm

Along with the complaint, were copies of everything submitted to State Attorney General and some of the San Bernardino County Civil case, specifically the USDA FmHA loan documents of \$1,670,500.00, (\$48.71 worth of copies).

The loan docs claim a quorum was met on November 15, 1994 by 2316 shares of 4273. Signed by Lois Barker, Secretary(falsley claiming to be a CPMWC BOD), and Robert Fawley, President, on November 14 and 16, 1996, respectively.

The November 1994 CPMWC BOD consisted of Fawley, Friedman, Hull, Brumfield, and Fisher. Office manager Lois Barker, Field Operations manager Dilley.

The September 1996 CPMWC BOD consisted of Fawley, Friedman, Brumfield, Van Straalen, one vacancy. Office manager Barker, Interim Field Operations manager Forth.

In November, 1996 the BOD vacancy was filled by Frank De Cicco and Field Operations manager was Mc Donald.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

All BODs and Lois Barker were listed on the complaint.

I was advised it will be 30-90 days for a response. There is no internet access for status/updates, telephone only. I am the only person on the filed complaint.

Please feel free to call me for any information, or come on by to see them.

I would like to thank everyone for their help and support! You all have been a tremendous asset!

I feel really good about the DA filing because.....I LOVE MY CPP!!!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 07:35 PM

Wow!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 07:51 PM

Oh, in addition, I stopped by Crestline Village Water, talked to Karl Drew today.

I showed him the petitions of 112 original signatures of the shareholders of CPMWC and asked him to discontinue the BOD from it's monthly meeting at his facility. It's a waste of our money and the BOD purposely does it for NO shareholder participation. He understands and will get back me after the legalities are clarified. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2011 05:52 AM

Some people think I'm a man of leisure, I beg to differ...

<http://www.flickr.com/photos/51273048@N08/6510550879/in/p...>

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 12:18 PM

You can obtain more information and documents about your water company by visiting the CPPMWC: Shareholder-to-Shareholder website. <http://www.breezymtn.com/cppw/>

More updates are pending. If you have any suggestions about what you would like to see on this website then please feel free to comment or email me (located on my profile). 😊

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 02:13 PM

Status on tonight's BORED 😊 meeting at the CVWD.

I talked with Angela to confirm whether it's a GO or NO GO. She will contact the BOD's to confirm either way. I hope with the current weather that is happening - snow coming down in Breezy Point now - that they will CANCEL!!

Will post back later when Angela gets an answer!

From: Claire

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 02:32 PM

Nope Jim..I think you keep VERY busy and do alot of worthwhile things..plus gardening.. making beer..keeping your home nice..the water project..trying for jobs..and helping everyone..besides cooking and baking and bowling..no your not lazy thats for sure.Did you get the job?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 03:36 PM

Thanks Claire! I'll know in a couple of weeks. It's the Gov't! Rarely things happen sooner then that. I got home just before the snow started. 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 03:46 PM

Status on tonight's BORED 😊 meeting at the CVWD.

I talked with Angela again and it's a GO! Crazy! Poor Angela, I hope she makes it DTH safely after the meeting.

If I got paid \$50/meeting like the BOD's to attend in Crestline Water district THEN I might consider going in tonight's weather too. 😊

Better yet, I'd rather attend the meeting in my CPP in tonight's weather or any weather! Maybe the \$25/meeting the BOD's spend to use the CVWD board room could be re-distributed to shareholders attending the meeting at the CPP Community Center? Dream a little dream.....Be safe and warm out there! 😊

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 10:20 PM

California Statute of Limitations on Fraud - 3 years

<http://law.findlaw.com/state-laws/civil-statute-of-limitations>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 10:29 PM

Not for existing Gov't loans, bright boy! Especially for \$1.6 million.

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 10:34 PM

Expose yourself! Go ahead, post your name, address, phone number, your life,.....you're a puppet!

I have! Keep puking.....

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 11:08 PM

Anyone see that precedent setting court case recently where a blogger was sued by a Board Member for defamation and LOST...and had a \$1.7 million dollar judgement levied against her? All because in a public forum like this she accused a board member of some dumb ass local board for something or other of fraud. Not to give anyone ideas or anything...Huge important case in the evolving world of internet litigation.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 10:29 AM

Anyone see that precedent setting court case recently where a blogger was sued by a Board Member for defamation and LOST

Interesting but NO haven't seen it – maybe you should provide specific information to sustain some credibility?. Got a link / case name? BTW it's **judgment** not **judgement** 😊

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 10:42 AM

<http://technorati.com/blogging/article/blogger-smacked-wi...>

there you go. there have been a number of recent cases where people posting shit about others on internet forums have successfully been sued by the target. for a long time you could get away with anything, but that tide seems to be turning. just saying.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 11:00 AM

That's nice, thank you for the information. 😊

The link provided addresses a \$2.5 million fine. Again interesting but you specifically mentioned a *\$1.7 million dollar judgement levied against her?* Where's the source of that case? just saying back at ya 😊

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 11:51 AM

sorry...\$2.5 million judgement. it wasn't a fine. she lost the court case and was docked that amount. my fuzzy memory had it at \$1.7. doesn't matter, I doubt you can afford either.

oh well...you can lead a horse to water...etc etc...

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 11:55 AM

and for the record...either JUDGMENT or JUDGEMENT is acceptable and correct.

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 12:01 PM

spelled either way it really sucks.

From: [Claire](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 12:17 PM

Hope you get it Jim..everyone wants to sue everyone for anything sometimes you just have to laugh

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 12:47 PM

sorry...\$2.5 million judgement. it wasn't a fine

It's your referenced link - not mine!

<http://technorati.com/blogging/article/blogger-smacked-wi...>

Blogger Smacked With \$2.5 Million **Fine** in Defamation Suit

I doubt you can afford either

Another form of defamation? Who cares. 😊

BTW: it's **million** not... oh never mind. Thank you again for the information. 😊

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Friday, December 16, 2011 02:20 PM

No worries Jimbo...Incarcerated CRIMINALS cannot collect on ANYTHING!! Boy, I CANNOT wait!!

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 07:55 AM

Update: I called the USDA Hotline, Office of the Inspector General on Friday, Dec 16, 2011 and reported the fraudulent acts of CPMWC and asked they call the loan due and seize all the assets to dissolve this company.

The statue of limitations (10 yrs) have expired to pursue Robert Fawley and Lois Barker for fraud.

I followed up with an email this morning supporting my claim, providing several pages of evidence.

Today, I will be sending copies of the complaint/evidence to Senators Boxer and Feinstein and to Congressman Jerry Lewis. Merry Christmas!!!! 😊

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: lazytoad

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 04:29 PM

Jim, I dont want to sound like I am trying to rain on your parade, but have a few concerns. First is the act you are accusing the Board of that took place 16 years ago. What do you have to support your actions. Second question has to do with disolving our company and current board? I see good and bad in this and think it needs to be debated by the community, as this could be a potential financial sink hole for all the shareholders.

From: williamsburros

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 05:25 PM

Dang it lazytoad. Just when the game was getting interesting and the kids started having some real fun imagining how pissed off the grownups are going to be when they find out that there are cherry bombs in all the toilets you have to act all like an adult. They have their own website and everything!!

From: architect

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 05:31 PM

that website is otherwise known as "Exhibit A" in lawyerspeak.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 09:39 PM

I understand your concerns, and the end result is all that matters. It's going to be a better place around here. I see the light at the end of tunnel. Please pay close attention and follow along!

I stopped bt Jerry Lewis' office, dropped off a copy of the documents of loan fraud, and mailed copies to our Senators. Gave a copy of the USDA loan fraud complaint to the San Bernardino County D.A. So far, so good!

I've been very, very busy!

More development today(thank you community for your investigative research) as documents are being verified and printed, more of the BOD's illegal actions will be shared!

I love my CPP!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 09:41 PM

LT call me. I'll explain.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 07:05 AM

Mr. Morrison

This is to acknowledge that your four emailed complaints have been received by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Hotline. The information you provided will be evaluated by the Hotline staff and forwarded to the appropriate area within USDA for any necessary inquiry and/or action relative to your complaint.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to our attention.

Sincerely,

The USA/OIG Hotline

1-800-424-9121

USDA.HOTLINE@OIG.USDA.GOV

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 07:06 AM



ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 12:00 PM

I just received a call from our local USDA office, Davis, CA. Janice Waddell, Community Programs Director,(530-792-5810) asked me to explain to her what's going on. She asked for all of the documents I sent to Washington, DC. She also asked if had have another water company that will step up and continue providing service to our community. I advised her Crestline Village Water is the closest and would be more than happy to take care of us.

Today is a great day!!!! 😊😊😊😊

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 12:06 PM

you equate "reading a loan application" with actually "getting the loan"? any idiot can fill out an application. someone then has to read it to decide if it has merit before granting the loan.

congratulations, you got someone to read your application.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 12:13 PM

Don't you have to make lunch for your boyfriend?

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 12:37 PM

"monkey"

From: Coral

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 03:50 PM

Good job, Jim!! You are getting somewhere! Someone is paying attention to this issue. Congrats!!!

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 07:53 PM

Now Jim...The "boyfriend" comment wasn't nice buddy..You should apologize! EVERYONE knows that Archy married Jughead when Prop. 8 passed! It was on the news! They were the first one's in line before the judge put a stop to it!

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 07:57 PM

what's wrong with Jughead?

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:15 PM

Oh, Jughead is just fine! It's Archy that's a tad bit irregular! Wondering what he is going to do when when his comic strip plays out and he's no longer "employed" by his schemed up empire....Might make out to be a good novel to read..but then again..it might have to be an internet only publication....criminals cannot earn from their own actions..Goodnight Archy! Sleep well! 😊

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:20 PM

Oh wait...NOW I get it. Sorry.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:34 PM

Please don't waste your time commenting to his b.s.! He hasn't had an income, or a job (ask Social Security) in years and fails to be a "provider" in his household, verifying why he where's a dress. His boyfriend, aka wife, supports him

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

for some god unforsaken reason..... a loser!!!!!!! who relies on ROTW for an outlet for entertainment!

By the way,..... it's been a great day in CPP!!! Love you CPP!!!!

From: Tater

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:35 PM

Jughead was fine until he went all PC and changed his name to Litrehead and started hanging out with liberal Europeans. Same thing happened with Buckwheat when he converted to Islam and changed his name to Kareem O Wheat. Both their careers took a dive and they never recovered.

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:35 PM

"wear's", not "where's"

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 08:39 PM

And for your information...the reasons I "wear" a dress are many and varied. There's not one simple explanation.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 09:00 PM

If I got chose to protect as a Marine, the world would be a much better place! Scum!

From: architect

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 09:03 PM

eh?

From: BBC_Big_Black_Cat

Sent: Thursday, December 22, 2011 07:49 AM

CPP Water Co attorneys filed for a demurrer.

This is an interesting read written by Wikipedia:

A demurrer is a pleading in a lawsuit that objects to or challenges a pleading filed by an opposing party. The word demur means "to object"; a demurrer is the document that makes the objection. Lawyers informally define a demurrer as a defendant saying, "So what?" to the pleading.

Typically, the defendant in a case will demur to the complaint, but it is also possible for plaintiff to demur to an answer. The demurrer challenges the "legal sufficiency" of a claim, cause of action, or to the defenses set forth in an answer. If a cause of action in a complaint does not state a cognizable claim (e.g., the claim is nonsense) or if it does not state all the required elements, then the challenged cause of action or possibly the entire complaint can be "thrown out" with a demurrer. A demurrer is typically filed near the beginning of a case, in response to the plaintiff filing a complaint or the defendant answering the complaint.

At common law, a demurrer was the pleading through which a defendant would challenge legal sufficiency of a complaint in criminal or civil cases, but today the pleading has been discontinued in many jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom and the U.S. federal court system (though some state jurisdictions, including California and Virginia, retain it). In criminal cases, a demurrer was considered a common law due process right, to be heard and decided before the defendant was required to plead "not guilty", or make any other pleading in response, without having to admit or deny any of the facts alleged.

An important distinction about a demurrer is that the party filing the demurrer is not allowed to challenge the facts alleged in the complaint, nor can a demurrer contest the ultimate merits of a case or claim. For example, where a complaint alleges the defendant "drove through a red traffic light," the demurring party cannot attach evidence to the

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

demurrer (e.g., a photograph) showing the traffic signal was green. This "no evidence on a demurrer rule" is manifested in the law. Specifically, when ruling on a demurrer a judge is required to assume as true or proved all material facts alleged in the complaint, even if those facts appear fabrications by the complainant or easily disproved during litigation of the case.

The sole exception to this no evidence rule is that a judge may take judicial notice of specified narrow categories of facts or documents (such as public records) that either contradict the face of the complaint or are material facts not subject to challenge. For example, if the complaint alleges that an event occurred on a specified date and that date establishes on the face of the complaint that the party's filing was too late (beyond the statute of limitations), a judge can take judicial notice of a standard Gregorian calendar, calculate the difference between the present date and the date the complaint alleges a wrongful act occurred, and determine if the complaint was timely filed. Then the judge can sustain a demurrer on the basis that the complaint's date-related allegations indicate it was filed too late ("the statute of limitations has run"), unless the plaintiff can show a typographical error (a so-called "scrivener's error") occurred in the drafting of the complaint

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 22, 2011 08:05 AM

Civil cases

A demurrer is commonly filed by a defendant in response to a complaint filed by the plaintiff. A demurrer to a complaint can terminate a lawsuit. Although a plaintiff may demur to a defendant's answer to a complaint or the defendant's affirmative defenses, a demurrer to an answer is less common because it may be a poor strategic move. A demurrer to an answer may simplify a lawsuit, but it usually will not end the lawsuit; it is normally used only when the plaintiff intends to move for summary judgment in their favor at the earliest opportunity and needs to preemptively attack some of the defendant's affirmative defenses.

Technically, a "demurrer" is not a motion; a party does not file a motion for demurrer nor move the court to demur. Rather, a demurrer is a particular type of pleading and "demurring" is the act where a party formally requests the court to dismiss a cause of action ("claim") or the entire complaint.

In lay terms, a judge who "sustains" a demurrer is saying that the law does not recognize a legal claim for the facts stated by the complaining party. If the judge "overrules" a demurrer, the court is allowing the claim or case to proceed.

In legal terms, the demurring party asserts that the complaint or counterclaim does not amount to a legally valid claim, even if the factual allegations contained in the complaint or counterclaim are accepted as true.

Usually a demurrer attacks a complaint as missing one or more required elements of a claim. For example, a negligence cause of action must allege that: 1) the defendant owed a duty to the plaintiff; 2) the defendant breached the duty; 3) the breach caused plaintiff injury; and 4) the plaintiff suffered damage. A defendant could demur by saying that the complaint failed to plead one or more of these essential elements.

Demurrers may seek to invoke legal principles that protect certain forms of conduct against legal liability, even if that conduct is suspect or morally dubious. For example, if plaintiffs sued a neighbor for negligence, asserting that the neighbor owed them a duty to assist in protecting against a burglary at the plaintiffs' home, most jurisdictions would dismiss this claim because a neighbor has no legal duty to protect other neighbors against a burglary. Similarly, a complaint for breach of a promise to marry could be met by a demurrer because the law in most jurisdictions expressly prohibits such claims on public policy grounds (while there may be claims for damages on a breach of promise to marry, U.S. courts are not going to force someone to marry another).

Because a demurrer challenges legal sufficiency, a judge could reach different outcomes, on the same facts, in different jurisdictions, if the law between the two states differs. In the United States, if a complaining party sued for libel but failed to assert that the allegedly libelous statement is false, the claim could be dismissed on a demurrer or a motion to dismiss. In the United Kingdom, falsity is not a required element of libel and the analogous request to dismiss would

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

not be sustained.

When a cause of action is abolished, a plaintiff who seeks to allege such a cause could be met with a successful demurrer. For example, for many years someone who could prove their spouse was sleeping with another could sue the spouse's lover for alienation of affection. Most states have abolished this cause of action, so such a complaint would be dismissed.

Demurrers are decided by a judge rather than a jury. The judge either grants the demurrer by sustaining it, or denies it by overruling the demurrer. If the demurrer is overruled, the defendant is ordered to file an answer within a certain period of time or else risk a default judgment. Once the answer is filed, then the case is said to be "at issue" (because there are now a complaint and answer on file opposing each other), and the case proceeds to the discovery stage.

In the alternative, a judge may sustain a demurrer "with prejudice" or "without prejudice." With prejudice means the plaintiff cannot file another complaint attempting to fix insufficiencies of the previous complaint. If the demurrer is granted without prejudice, the plaintiff may correct errors filing a corrected, amended complaint. Demurrers granted with prejudice are rare and reserved for when the judge determines a plaintiff cannot cure or fix the complaint by rewriting or amending it. Because leave to amend is so liberally granted, a demurrer usually is only filed if a plaintiff refuses to repair a fatally deficient complaint or if the complaint cannot ever be supported by law. Many courts will fine or sanction a party who files a demurrer for improper motive, for example, to harass or intimidate the opposing party.

Because a plaintiff can correct errors by amending the complaint, technical or drafting errors are often dealt with by the defendant's lawyer sending a letter to plaintiff counsel. The letter details the errors and typically offers plaintiff counsel the opportunity to file a corrected complaint ("amended complaint"). Defense counsel do not send the letter to be nice. Most courts require this informal resolution procedure before a party files a demurrer. This is because judges do not want demurrers taking up the court's time when in all likelihood the offending party by law will be given the opportunity to "repair" the offending complaint.

From: [Concerned_Citizen](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 08:12 AM

I just read this article at sbsun.com. For anyone interested the link is http://www.sbsun.com/ci_19617972 . I am cutting and pasting the headline and first couple of paragraphs so everyone gets the gist of the article.

Golden State water customers to get refunds
Steve Scauzillo and Wes Woods II, Staff Writers
Posted: 12/25/2011 06:03:54 AM PST

Golden State Water Co. customers will receive refunds from the agency after state regulators ruled the San Dimas-based company failed to properly oversee contracts, which led to overcharging customers, according to state officials.

The CPUC ruled that Golden State must refund customers in Northern and Southern California \$9.5 million over the next three years, and or lower their rates. The company's shareholders must also pay a \$1 million fine to the state. Read more: http://www.sbsun.com/ci_19617972#ixzz1hdqjL7f

Now my concerns;

1. Is it true the CPP Board of Directors is considering using this company to run our water company?
2. Is it true the President of CPP Board of Directors works for this company?

This company obviously has some serious issues so I'm hoping that the answers to both questions are no. If the answer to even one of the questions is yes, I think we all need to be concerned and start asking some hard questions. I don't know about you but I'm struggling to pay my water bill as it is, I can't afford to have rates rise anymore due to mismanagement.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 08:19 AM

Thanks for posting that! I rarely wish bad things to people, but.....I really hope he loses his job and his home! He is poison to our community! Karma is a mean Bitch!!! She'll catch up with him! 😊

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 10:33 AM

demurrer it.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 11:10 AM

Is it true the CPP Board of Directors is considering using this company to run our water company?

YES? Maybe? Review the Meeting Minutes.

JUL 30, 2011 ANNUAL Shareholder Meeting Minutes

PAGE 5 - Acquisition Status

<http://www.cppmwc.com/Annual%20Shareholders%20Minutes%207...>

AUG 18, 2011 EXEC Meeting Minutes

PAGE 7 - NEW BUSINESS - POTENTIAL ACQUISITION

Director Dahlstrom stated he had conducted a tour of the water system with Golden State Water Company on behalf of the Company. This was in response to request by the Company for a request for information submitted in 2009. GSTW has implied a lease agree may be proposed at a later date.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/8-18-11%20Exec%20Meeting.pdf>

NOV 17, 2011 Regular Meeting Minutes

PAGE 9 - Acquisition Status

Director Dahlstrom indicated that Crestline Village Water District is not interested in the acquisition of CPPMWC unless the company assumes all costs associated with the acquisition, operating costs of the Cedarpines Park system and payment of the RUS loan. Although certain charges would be removed from the bi-monthly billing, CPPMWC customers bills would likely increase as a result of an acquisition. Director Dahlstrom further indicated that there are no other offers of acquisition on the table at this time.

<http://www.cppmwc.com/November%202011%20Minutes.pdf>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 11:12 AM

Is it true the President of CPP Board of Directors works for this company?

NO

Dahlstrom is an employee (District Manager) for Golden State Water Company.

Dahlstrom is a shareholder & president of the CPPMWC Board.

Board members are compensated \$50/per meeting.

Dahlstrom has served on the board since 2005.

None of the board members were ELECTED by shareholders due to lack of quorum at Annual Shareholder meetings.

All board members have been APPOINTED by other board members in service at the time of their appointment.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 11:15 AM

Golden State Water Company is a California Water Utility Company.

American States Water Company is an investor-owned utility publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol AWR. American States Water Company is the parent of Golden State Water Company and American States Utility Services, Inc. <http://www.aswater.com/>

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

American States Water Company - CODE OF CONDUCT

3.1 Reporting violations. We encourage and expect you to promptly bring possible violations of the Code to the attention of your supervisor/manager through normal reporting channels or by reporting them through the procedures set forth in this Code, including the reporting of any illegal or unethical behavior.

Reporting violations of this Code may also be accomplished by calling the Fraud, Waste, and Ethics Hotline. The Company has retained an independent third party to administer the Hotline. This is an anonymous reporting Hotline that is available twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.

Call the toll free number 888/883-1499.

4.1 Insider trading. Because people who buy or sell securities on the basis of "inside information" have an unfair advantage over other investors, such actions are unlawful and could subject you and the Company to great harm, risk or embarrassment. All non-public information about the Company should be considered confidential information. If you have any questions, please consult the Chief Financial Officer.

http://www.aswater.com/Code_of_Conduct0407.doc

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 01:41 PM

CPP Water Company requested a dismissal (demurer) on grounds that your complaint is baseless and without foundation. Your pleadings are not legally sufficient to establish a cause of action. Additionally, a demurer in lawyer terms means SO WHAT.. they are not arguing any of your evidence.

You are suing the water company for real estate fraud based upon a loan that was granted 15 years ago and then you named multiple defendants personally. Who are these defendants and what role did they play in real estate fraud from 15 years ago? I agree with Architect that you and your co-petitioner may have exposed yourselves to a cross complaint.

I believe your cause of action would have been better served using administrative options such as the Public Utilities Commission mentioned above.

In hind sight, maybe you should have asked the share holders if they wanted you to represent them?

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 02:17 PM

In looking back, I retract my last sentence. You did acquire petitions. I apologize for that.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2011 03:01 PM

...enough for a quorum?

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 02:48 PM

For those of you who use ENGLISH as a second language (Juan) here you go brother..

quo·rum   [kwawr-uhm, kwohr-] Show IPA
noun

1. the number of members of a group or organization required to be present to transact business legally, usually a majority.

I would like to point out that it says "legally" in the definition..would you like me to provide a definition for that word before your ISP shuts you down again for non-payment? Happy New Year! (Feliz Ano Nuevo)

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 03:34 PM

CPPGravy (born Hugh Nanton Romney) (born May 15, 1936) is an American entertainer and activist for peace, best known for his hippie appearance, personality and beliefs. Gravy's clown persona resulted from his political activism. Frequently being arrested at demonstrations, he decided he would be less likely to be arrested if he dressed as a clown. "Clowns are safe," he said.

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 05:38 PM

Oh my goodness Juan, what's your point here? Do you really think CPP cares what you have to say about CPPgravy? We don't care where he comes from or his birth given name. Shame, shame, shame on you for attempting to make trouble. By-the way, I'm English with very, very heavy Scot Irish. Ya want to make something out of that too?

From: [Claire](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 05:51 PM

Backing up PattiM..Im half Irish and half Yugoslavian..wont even tell you what they used to say about the Irish..and yet we did so well and worked so hard and my Yugo side made money and lots of it..its what you do with your life and how you behave..not where you comes from

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 06:07 PM

Thank you Claire. In this particular issue, Juan seems to think that CPPgravy has a particular issue in mind opposing his own way of thinking so bringing ethnic into the realm. Such a JERK!

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 06:31 PM

This is just stupid. Casting spells and aversions aren't going to help anything. If you want to help, state what is on your mind for constructive discussion. Somewhere there is the remedy?

From: [williamsburros](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 06:41 PM

Yes BBC, and it's exactly why no sensible person can take this self- appointed committee seriously. Any mild criticism is met with the kind of response demonstrated above. It would be comical if the possible repercussions for the customers of the mutual water company weren't so serious. This little game of monkey wrench could end up causing a dramatic increase in the price of water, a boycott by local realtor and an eventual collapse of the company altogether, which I presume would mean daily deliveries from a tanker truck. Oh well, as long as the 'committee' is having fun, I suppose it doesn't matter...

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 07:15 PM

Oh my gosh. Did I say sonegthing wrong here? I didn't mean to. Sorry if I did. Personally, I'm no longer sure what is going on at all. Sorry if I offended anyone. I do hoever, feel that Juan was offince. Okay, I'm out of here and will say no more.

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2011 07:40 PM

Correcting my spelling here, However and offensive.

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 09:44 AM

Hi Donkey Bob 😊. jk... Jim has really worked hard on this and I think his cause is just. He's the one that is putting himself out there to help the rest of the share holders. Like him, I am not an attorney so I can't be of much legal

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

assistance. All I can suggest is that the effort be fine tuned.

I have had some not so pleasant court experiences in my time and I have learned that judges are like babies, they have a very short attention span.

The water company is not arguing the plaintiff's evidence. What does that mean? Perhaps the cause of action is past the statute of limitations and/or misdirected?

If the current action is demurred without prejudice, then it may be corrected into an acceptable pleading if the statute of limitations allow.

If the current action is demurred with prejudice, then that's it. The 2 petitioners listed can not file again.

What is the purpose of the pleading? It's the assessment right? Face value says the water company blew through 1.7 million over a period of 16 years and is now in trouble. Are the current board of directors responsible? Doesn't change of leadership usually involve assuming a mess already in place?

Where do we go from here? The Public Utilities Commission is the agency that investigates these issues. They have the right to audit and the power to make changes.

Jim, I have some information for you that you may want to know. You have my number.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 09:54 AM

"Clown" is an ethnicity? Mr. Gravy looked at my screen name and assumed English is my second language? What in the hell are you talking about? Is English YOUR second language?

The Jail House Lawyer of Cedarpines Park has turned a \$180 assessment into \$1000 assessment, to cover legal fees, with his frivolous lawsuit. I have only been to one water meeting and someone hit the nail on the head when they said "YOU don't represent all of us"

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 10:32 AM

WOW! \$1000 assessment for Lawyer fees!! Wow! Must be one heckuva lawyer!! Just to come up with..demurer..LOL!

\$180 assessment + \$200+ yearly + The MOST expensive rates in the state X EACH resident = FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY!

At the least we should be REPRESENTED! Right now, we aren't. Right now, the Board is full of cronyism at it's best or worst, depending where you are.

I'm sure the PUC will love to investigate, incriminate & prosecute this non-functioning entity, that is violating every CPP citizen, whether or not you are wise enough to realize you are being violated. Of course, if you're one of the violators benefiting from these actions, why WOULDN'T you come in here and try to generate some sort doubt in the minds of everyone reading this thread?

What Jim, et al, are doing should be hailed as an act of heroism for the CPP community. This country was founded on these same principals(i.e. "No Taxation without Representation") We ARE dealing with Tyrants here and they SHALL be exposed for what they are and what they are doing. God Bless you Jim, et al, for doing what YOU are doing to make change happen.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 10:44 AM

Ok, so you guys have made your statements. Drop it now please.

I've done some case history. CPP water fought a legal battle for 6 years from 1999 to 2005

Cochran -vs- CPP Water Co

Apparently the water company punched a well, filled the hole with concrete rendering a neighbor's well useless. The result, if I am not mistaken, was the the new well and land below it was awarded to the petitioner.

That may have been where a lot of the money went.

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 11:18 AM

In the case mentioned above, there are 7 pages of court actions. Here is just one court hearing where CPP water co filed for a demurrer and it was overruled.

Case SCVSS63288 - TIMOHTY COCHRAN ETAL -V- CEDARPINES PARK ETAL

Action:

MOTION RE: DEMURRER FILED BY DEFENDANT CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
08/06/2001 - 1:30 PM DEPT. S9

LEROY A SIMMONS PRESIDING.
CLERK: BRONWYN MURPHY
REPORTER: MAUREEN BERNARD
BAILIFF: LOUISE JENKS

-
APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY LELAND MCELHANEY PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER.
ATTORNEY SCOTT DICKINSON PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT.
ATTORNEY GLENN GOLDBY PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT.

-
MOTION

CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY'S MOTION TO DEMURRER IS HEARD.
ARGUED BY COUNSEL AND SUBMITTED.

-
COURT FINDS:

DEMURRER IS OVERRULED

20 DAYS TO ANSWER.

ACTION - COMPLETE

=== MINUTE ORDER END ===

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 11:19 AM

Notice that the water company had 2 attorneys.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 12:06 PM

Notice that the water company had 2 attorneys.

That seems to be the norm. Cha-ching - add that up to the legal expenses that shareholders have to pay for as well. 😞

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Case SCVSS63288 - TIMOHTY COCHRAN ETAL -V- CEDARPINES PARK ETAL

In the case mentioned above, there are 7 pages of court actions.

When I ran the case report it generated 30 pages!

Guess my adobe distiller likes to pump out the pages more than other report generators? 😊

Case SCVSS35367-TIM COCHRAN V CEDARPINES PARK MUTUAL WATER CO E

Case report generated 13 pages for me 😊

PLAINTIFF got his Judgment - 08/06/1999

THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION AS TO THE EXHIBIT PRODUCTION COSTS AND ALLOWS COSTS FOR THE CHARTS AND MAPS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,907.00.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 12:11 PM

Only \$4,907.00 awarded?

Hardly seems like enough to use up the RUS loan funds or other funds? However, I'm sure the legal fees for CPPMWC hasn't been cheap either. 😞

But seriously, there may be many other factors that may have affected the diminished funds. For example: the exorbitant salaries + extra\$\$ for ECS over last 2yrs; the pending Bennett easement case; the still pending Albright's lack of shares & easement payments from CPPMWC; the Church St property transfer; et al.

What is the total (past, present, future) legal expenses used to defend the CPPMWC insiders? Shareholders have to absorb these costs to defend the few?

If the Board would provide a full accounting of the RUS loan expenses it would sure help quash the questions surrounding the usage of this loan - IMHO. 😊

This I believe is the genesis of questions & concerns regarding the recent "one time assessment" / "one time meter charge".

What happened to the reserve?

Where's the money?

Why not assess ALL shareholders?

I should note that I am one of those shareholders that was not assessed because I have a 99-acct.

Nice for me, 😊 but still not fair. 😞

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 12:16 PM

Very good assessment Breezy. All the issues you raise need to be addressed.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 12:26 PM

I'm sure the PUC will love to investigate, incriminate & prosecute this non-functioning entity, that is violating every CPP citizen

It does not appear that the CPUC - CA Public Utility Commission - can help with the immediate concerns of the shareholders at this time.

That is why the PETITION was created and signed by those shareholders who wanted an investigation into the business affairs of CPPMWC.

The shareholders are "on their own" to make the Board accountable.

Read this article about CPUC jurisdiction:

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Water Transfers by California Mutual Water Companies

September 15, 2011 by Wes Strickland

interpretation of § 2705 must take into account the purpose and function of the provision, which is to protect water end users (or "customers") from the monopoly power enjoyed by retail water suppliers. Customers of an investor-owned utility are entitled to regulation by the CPUC for their protection, while it is assumed that customers of a mutual water company do not need such protection, since they control the company through shareholder voting. This is the same reason that government-owned utilities are not regulated by the CPUC, since they are controlled by a customer-elected board or city council.

Consistent with the public policies described above, § 2705 states that "[a]ny corporation or association that is organized for the purposes of delivering water to its stockholders and members at cost ... is not a public utility, and is not subject to the jurisdiction, control or regulation of the commission.

Read more at:

<http://privatewaterlaw.com/2011/09/15/water-transfers-by-...>

Other articles of interest:

FAQs for California Mutual Water Companies

July 7, 2011 by Wes Strickland

<http://privatewaterlaw.com/2011/07/07/faqs-for-california...>

California Mutual Water Company Basics

February 18, 2011 by Wes Strickland

<http://privatewaterlaw.com/2011/02/18/california-mutual-w...>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 03:14 PM

williamsburros, I thought, offered some good fodder for a constructive discussion.

..and it's exactly why no sensible person can take this self-appointed committee seriously.

The only 'self-appointed committee' in the discussions of the water co would be the Board of Directors, references otherwise would be specious.

Regardless the 'committee' of 5 shareholders - Board of Directors - should be stepping up to the plate and listening and having genuine, non evasive dialogs with the folks they represent.

Hiding behind lawyers and media packages doesn't do much for public relations either. Whatever happened to just being a SHAREHOLDER telling it straight to another SHAREHOLDER. The Board needs to take off their board hats and remember what it's like to be a shareholder NOT on the board and without access to "insider" information whether current or past.

The current insult now is using shareholder funds to finance their defense(s). 😞

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 03:19 PM

It would be comical if the possible repercussions for the customers of the mutual water company weren't so serious. - williamsburros

Transparency could go a long way with diluting the *repercussions*.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Example:

The recent D.A. Complaint for possible loan fraud by CPPMWC could easily be dismissed IF the Board and/or the company attorneys would just produce the validated Share Voting Registry that resulted in an alleged 95% of shareholders in favor of the RUS loan in 1994. 95%? Really!?!

NOV 15, 1994 Regular Meeting Minutes - Paragraph 4:

*Office Manager Barker informed the Board 2137 shares needed to be represented to decide on the loan grant program. To date, 2316 shares are represented, 179 more than was needed. The vote was: **2198 shares (95%)** in favor and 188 shares (5%) against pursuing ****sic**** the loan/grant.*

It's interesting and suspect that ELEVEN (11) days prior to the NOV 15, 1994 meeting that only 1561 shares were in favor. So basically, within 11 days the company managed to wrangle another 637 shares in favor? Really!?!

NOV 1, 1994 Regular Meeting Minutes - Paragraph 3:

*Office Manager Barker informed the Board 1561 shares have voted on the loan/grant program to date. Currently **96% of the shares are in favor** of proceeding with the Farmers Home Loan/Grant program. We need a total of 2137 shares to vote on the proposal.*

Wouldn't it be simple and cost effective to just produce the validated Share Voting Registry of 1994 showing shareholder signatures of that time?

As a sensible person I would think reducing legal fees that shareholders will absorb by the Board's lack of response - actions would be a reasonable solution by the "self-appointed Board" to implement and further decrease the repercussions.

..and it's exactly why no sensible person can take this self-appointed committee seriously.

How true.

From: [architect](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 04:02 PM

um...breezy...um...oh nevermind.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 04:20 PM

Drop what BBC? The fact that a few bored people are going to cost me a lot of money? You sir and the breezy lady come on here, trying to show how smart you are and I for one am not impressed. This has been a fishing expedition from the beginning starting with the quorum rule and when that didn't work it was the assessment and when that didn't work it's now the ancient history of a loan which is my understanding paid for infrastructure. Any other premise is BS - show me! If I have to pay one extra dime because of this non-sense I am going to sue somebody.

From: [BBC_Big_Black_Cat](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 05:35 PM

Juan, I hope you understand me. I did not mean to dismiss you. I believe you have a great point. I'm just asking to drop the name calling etc., that's all. Sorry 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 06:19 PM

juan, only trying to solicit "constructive discussion".

Any perceived impressions beyond that are your misconceptions. 😊

the quorum rule is still an issue, especially its implementation and referencing with regard to the Share Registry. It's deficiency has already been revealed. I find it incredible that a 95% quorum was ever achieved, especially in the 90's when snail-mail was all the rav!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

Any other premise is BS - show me!

Exactly. If you are a shareholder than the "Show Me" comment is appropo. That is what I proposed in my comments. How difficult is it to produce proof of that 95% quorum?

It would be a quick way to end that topic. Ranting is understandable but hardly effective wouldn't you agree?

..the ancient history of a loan which is my understanding paid for infrastructure.

The general concensus have the same understanding. Example. radio frequency meters were talked about way back when, what happened there? State the improvements that the money brought to the current infrastructure. If you, the Board, have some solid facts or direct information to support your comments then by all means share. Constructive discussion is the key phrase. 😊

From: BBC_Big_Black_Cat

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 06:35 PM

ahh hum chin in hand

From: Forget_Me_Not

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:36 PM

WOW!!!! Tis the Season! I wish you all a Better New Year. We all need it.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:41 PM

I apologize to the rest of my commiunty if my comments seem a bit offensive,and I am sorry..... I Love My CPP!!!! I will die making it a better home! For all of us!!!! Semper Fidelis!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:44 PM

You should have read that..... my deleted comment..... it was good.

From: Forget_Me_Not

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:45 PM

Hey James E Morrison (that1deadguy), It's Cool! I respect both of you and it's a shame that whatever has happened has ruined your friendship. GOOD Friends are hard to come by. I just hope that it's a Better New Year for Everyone. 😊

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:46 PM

Never good friends....just wannabies.....

From: Forget_Me_Not

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:47 PM

You didn't have to delete it. Or did you? 😊The Moderator kind of frowns on that stuff. 😞<- see ? LOL!

From: Moderator

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:49 PM

James, you may want to stick to water, and quit attacking other members of our site.

From: Forget_Me_Not

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:53 PM

Oh Oh, Seems like more than just Beautiful CPP? Wannabe friends aren't worth it. Tried it, and was very disappointed when I saw the true colors in 1 of them. Met my ol Friend at Walmart(Yes Walmart) Friday. She lives 1 block from

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

the house that we are trying for. She was a good friend and we lost track of each other for 17 years. Wow, She's back in my life. That's a friend. (If we stay out of trouble now.) LOL!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:54 PM

I only attack when attacked.....it's a Marine thing....since the 80's.....if you don't understand, come on by.....I'll explain, only if you're willing to open your ears!

From: Moderator

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:57 PM

Thank you for your service to the country, it's appreciated. However, it doesn't give you a pass on our Terms of Service.

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:57 PM

It's a matter of what's and right and wrong! What's going on ain't right!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 08:58 PM

Thank You!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 09:35 PM

Dave, if you lived here, you'd understand.....
Happy New Year to you and your family!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 10:16 PM

BTW!!!! I LOVE MY CPP!!!!!!! You can't remove that from my heart! Semper Fi!

From: Forget Me Not

Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 10:33 PM

Remember that old song, (Commercial) I Want My MTV.? I was just singing CPP to it. LOL!
Wow, Not even a prank call?

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Wednesday, January 4, 2012 09:28 PM

Update:

The U.S.D.A. Western Regional Office of the Inspector General from Oakland called today.
He asked me to explain our loan fraud/multitude of problems I filed a couple of weeks ago against CPMWC.

Our conversation lasted over 45 minutes.

He was very polite and took notes of every detail.

He apologized for asking me to repeat everything to his boss tomorrow @ 2:00, 1/5/2012.

I told him I didn't mind at all.

I stressed my goal...

CALL THE LOAN DUE!!

From: architect

Sent: Wednesday, January 4, 2012 10:30 PM

How do you know he took notes?

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, January 5, 2012 05:11 AM

My spidey senses told me, !@#\$\$%^&*!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 05:46 AM

After 1 1/2 hours on the phone, The USDA Western Regional Inspector General will be conducting a full audit of the \$1,670,500.00 USDA loan received by CPMWC. Their sloppy record keeping may get them into a lot of trouble, God willing!

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 07:46 AM

Atta Boy Jim!! Should uncover their other indiscretions as well..WAY past time for the Shareholders to ELECT a proper BOD!

From: juan92325

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 10:27 AM

1. How many board members from the loan are still here?
2. How does an audit make a quorum for election of BOD?
3. An audit of how the funds was spent does not "call" the loan. Hopefully this means you failed to bankrupt a company of which you are a 1/700 owner.
4. How much has this cost the water company (US) so far?
5. What's on the agenda for the *next* fishing trip?

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 11:10 AM

4. *How much has this cost the water company (US) so far?*

Get a copy of the financials and report back.

juan seems to know much about the water co particulars.

Wouldn't it be better to identify yourself to qualify your interests? Your rants aren't cutting it, but are amusing. 😊

From: juan92325

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 12:01 PM

Given what I seen so far I will not identify myself so you can attack me and my property. I know I only have to keep tax returns 7 years. If there are poor or incomplete records from 15 years ago what can be done and to who? Nothing that has been said here has ever been proven and no threats to get rid of the BOD have come true. You have convinced SOME of the neighborhood that you are experts but you have done nothing but cost shareholders money.

From: BBC_Big_Black_Cat

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 12:55 PM

Just the fact, OK?

From: Claire

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 12:56 PM

All that work you have done and for no monetary gain..think you are selfless and great job Jim

From: Ellymay

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:09 PM

Jim, Same as Claire said! Thank you doesn't seem to say enough...you are 'the man'

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:20 PM

Given what I seen so far I will not identify myself so you can attack me and my property. - juan92325

A little paranoid? Don't blame you. The Board condoned by resolution that ECS run the company from 2009-2011. The Board turned a "blind-eye" when ECS did their dirty tricks and lies against the "outspoken" shareholders. I survived, but they did cost me some \$\$\$\$. My property is closely monitored after that.

Do the same to lessen your fears. 😊

Did you recently get audited for insufficient shares?

Just trying to understand. No matter. But it makes me wonder about the shareholders that may have been improperly assessed for insufficient shares while ECS was "in charge" under the approval of the Board.

Shareholders - if you purchased a home in CPP after 2008 and the property was NOT in foreclosure and you were charged \$500/per share when audited for insufficient shares then you may be affected by the improper assessment. Recently learned that "shares" transferred will grandfather you into the membership. Vacant lots "99 accts" w/transferred shares may also be affected. As always, call the office for your situation. Angela seems like a straight shooter for this info as compared to the previous regime. - IMHO 😊

From: [Whadayawant](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:25 PM

Gemini's World

gemini

Tuesday, December 20, 2011 3:36:36 PM

After reading what the one dead guy has been up to, I'd like to caution the CPP residents once more about getting what you wish for. We had our fair share of residents in Arrowhead very ticked off at our water company (rightly so in some respects) and they started making waves, including getting the state involved in our private affairs. The end result was we now have to pay on average over \$500 a year in our tax bill for our water besides the high price we pay monthly. Our water allotment from Lake Arrowhead has also been cut in half by the state. Again, be very careful when you start dragging outsiders into what really is a family dispute, you may not like the outcome.

gemini

Friday, January 06, 2012 12:58:31 PM

Does the one dead guy realize all the expenses borne by the water company is going to come out of the pockets of ALL homeowners and not the board? Having the Feds audit the books could be a lengthy affair and someone from the water company must be present at all times to answer questions and retrieve documents. Does he realize if administrative fees or fines are levied ALL of the homeowners will have to pay for them? How about if the loan does get called and the water company can't get financing to pay off the loan and ALL homeowners are assessed thousands of dollars payable immediately to pay off the loan? Do you have thousands of dollars lying around for such contingency? It's obvious the one dead guy doesn't. Does he realize what a hefty assessment can do to property values? Does he also realize Crestline has made it abundantly clear that is not interested in serving CPP unless the debts are paid by the CPP residents? In other words, there will be no sugar daddy sitting on the sidelines ready to rescue the CPP residents for free. He should take a close look at what happened in Cedar Glen after their water company was "rescued" by the county. I wish all the best for the CPP homeowners but you need to be minimize potential self inflicted wounds.

From: [Whadayawant](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:27 PM

And in the End there's always Libel 53 pages of it!!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:30 PM

If there are poor or incomplete records from 15 years ago what can be done and to who? - juan92325

Good question, let's hope that is not the case.

Certain legal documents may have time requirements set by governmental authorities. Common time periods to retain documents are 2, 7, and 10 years. Some documents, such as the Articles of Incorporation, should be kept forever.

juan - when's the last time you requested that document? Good Luck!

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act, was passed in 2002. The Act does not mandate that some provisions be followed by nonprofit boards, and to date, there is no federal version of Sarbanes-Oxley targeting nonprofit organizations. California introduced legislation applicable to nonprofit organizations mirroring some provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

California Nonprofit Integrity Act of 2004

http://caag.state.ca.us/charities/publications/nonprofit_...

REGARDLESS - At least 9yrs worth of records should at least be available wouldn't you think? Don't forget the Board paid out over half a mil for a husband & wife team that was going to put the books in order.

Did they fail on that contract obligation too?

As to the responsibility of poor or incomplete records?

Guess who ever has the watch.

In this case "The Board".

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:34 PM

You have convinced SOME of the neighborhood that you are experts but you have done nothing but cost shareholders money. - juan92325

Stating facts and discovery of information to others is SHARING. If you feel it is "expert" information and received value from that information then you're welcome. **However, it should never relieve you or others to perform their own "due diligence" regarding their ownership interests.**

It is the Board that is incurring the costs to the shareholders.

IF the Board was interested in having real dialog and transparency with the shareholders instead of hiding behind the company lawyers THEN it would cost the shareholders and Board nothing but TIME & EFFORT.

Can you think of a better low-cost solution?

From: [Whadayawant](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:35 PM

Two words come to mind for me, if at the **END** you and your group don't get their way, there most likely will be consequences to deal with!

Libel is a false, malicious statement published in mainstream media (i.e. on the internet, in a magazine, etc.). (If the defamatory statements are only spoken, they are called "slander".) It is somewhat synonymous to defamation.

Defamation—also called calumny, vilification, traducement, slander (for transitory statements), and libel (for written, broadcast, or otherwise published words)—is the communication of a statement that makes a claim, expressly stated or implied to be factual, that may give an *individual, business, product, group, government, or nation* a negative image. This can be also any disparaging statement made by one person about another, which is communicated or published. It

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

is usually a requirement that this claim is false and that the publication is communicated to someone other than the person defamed (the claimant).

Again that being said you are not representing all the shareholders in CPPMWC.

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:47 PM

My property is secure, trust me. I have one share so I am a shareholder. I guess if you libel someone on here it's best to stay anonymous. Clair and Ellymay will you pay the assessment this is going to cost me? No? Then butt out. Selfless act of trying to destroying a water company based on unfounded accusations from *mad* past employee.

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:48 PM

What you described - libel, slander, defamation could also be attributed to ECS under the blessing of the Board? The Board was informed of their activities and confidentiality breaches and stood moot. Luckily it has been documented in the minutes and shareholder complaints and/or declarations.

Again that being said you are not representing all the shareholders in CPPMWC.

I concur. Neither you, I or the Board represent all the shareholders in CPPMWC.

Glad we can agree on something. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 02:57 PM

I guess if you libel someone on here it's best to stay anonymous.

If that is what you are doing - libel - then heed your own advice. 😊

Attacking Clair and Ellymay is uncalled for don't you think.

I am a shareholder too. I WAS NOT charged the assessment.

My, my - you do know a lot.

WHO is the "mad past employee"?

There were SO MANY when ECS kept flipping the employment door.

Why aren't you concerned HOW MUCH that cost the UI index against the company!

Cool down. It's only a water company! Life will go on with or without it. 😊

From: [BreezyMtnLady](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 03:17 PM

Whadayawant - didn't you forget a few GW postings?

Defamation? ... of an individual.

Like the statements of that "one dead guy"?

Seems like plausible examples of defamation or does that get a pass amongst the few. 😊

From: [TheMadDuck](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 03:46 PM

Whadayawant

Two words come to mind for me, if at the END you and your group don't get their way, there most likely will be consequences to deal with!

if at the END you and your group don't get their way,

WHAT? So if the group DOES get their way then WTF are you talking about? Makes no sense. Just what have you been stuffing in your pipe today.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 04:40 PM

Sounds like SOMEONE is worried that JUSTICE will be done!! Just ask your friends in San Dimas! Oh wait, there AREN'T any friends in San Dimas! As far as consequences go, what is being done NOW is TERRIBLE! Go ahead! Stand there and accept your cellmate bustin you daily Juan, et al! REALLY!!! COME ON MAN!! Are you REALLY that DUMB!!!?? WE AREN'T ANYMORE!! Darn, forgot what side YOU were on..Guess you DON'T know how it feels..Anonymous DOLT!

As far as ANY backlash..REALLY!! IF you don't have a leg to stand on...You WILL fall!! THESE THIEVES ARE GOING AWAY!! THERE IS A BACKUP PLAN!! IF YOU HAD the NADS to showup and discuss the particulars..YOU WOULD KNOW THIS!! COME ON MAN!!!

NICE TRY BOD Members!! BUT...NO!!! YOU ARE ALL GOING DOWN!! Good luck with that tax return!!!

From: [Ellymay](#)

Sent: Friday, January 6, 2012 05:23 PM

JUAN92325,

N0-o-o-o-o-o-o-o, can't butt out!!!

Ellymay923222 😊

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 05:52 AM

I really don't know why or how anyone can defend the BOD and their actions! If they would simply follow the Bylaws, Rules and Regulations,....NONE OF THIS WOULD HAVE HAPPENED! PERIOD!

A little history for you....worth the couple of minutes to read. I suggest you do!

1) A quorum was reduced to 20% from 50%, in 1966, identifying/satisfying the needs of the community. All BODs unanimously voted and was valid! The Bylaws, Rules and Regs say they can do that! The quorum was in place for 18 years! Nobody bitched. Everyone was happy when they were allowed to vote and contribute to decisions of CPMWC!

2) In the early 1980's, along came the greedy BOD! Many of them were investor types. They saw a huge financial opportunity in developing CedarPines Park. But the water supply infrastructure had to in place before the CPP real estate could attract future home builders/residents.

a)They immediately changed the quorum to 50% plus1, knowing it'll never be met. This gave the BOD all power, all financial decisions, at the community's expense.Total disregard. (A quorum has never been met since, 30 years running) The community was outraged, but the BOD defended their decisions with lawyers in their pocket, dancing around the fact the '66 BOD had a unanimous vote and was legal.

b) The BOD could now select other BODs with a similar agenda, shutting down the vote of the shareholders.

c) At that time, we had a 2 acre maxium guideline to offer water to. The BOD increased in to 10 acres. This opened a huge door for development. Many shareholders questioned why we were installing very expense main lines to "no where land". There was never a vote of the shareholders to approve.

d) Assessments were changed to an "Account basis" rather than a "per share basis". It was less expensive to large shareholders, particularly the BOD. There was never a vote of the shareholders to approve.

3) In the early 90's, Rob Fawley was the President of the BOD. He was unemployed and was one these "investor types". The ecomony was suffering from a recession. The development of CPP was at a stand still. Conveniently, our infrastructure, primarily the main lines, was in poor shape. Water was lost at an alarming rate. Many band-aids and repairs could not keep up In my opinion, that's why a push for a gov't loan was high priority. 1) replace badly needed supply lines for future development, 2) support Fawley through tough times.

a) The monthly meetings had no attendance from the shareholders, the BODs decided to apply for the loan. Knowing the shareholders would never approve of such a huge debt (the shareholders must approve of the loan per the Bylaws, Rules and Regs), a bogus vote was concocted. 2 BODs resigned.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

b) With a flick of the wrist, loan documents were signed, claiming a quorum has been met and approved the gov't loan. The BOD referred to the \$\$ as a "Grant" to the community. No one knew of this "vote".

c) When the shareholders realized we obtained a loan, many requested copies of the loan documents to see how much were in debt. Nothing was offered, denying the shareholders. The shareholders demanded an account for all expenditures. Nothing was offered, denying the shareholders.

4) Throughout the 90's, much of income from cash-paying shareholders disappeared and is still unaccounted for. Corruption was running rampant.

a) The installation of the new supply lines were improper and not done according to building/construction standards. Additional funds were needed to correct the breaks/leaks of the new lines.

b) Being "self-governed", no county inspectors were required to supervise the project.

c) The struggle began to satisfy the annual loan payment \$91,700.00 and the \$9,170.00 reserve requirement to accumulate for 10 years, totaling \$91,700.00. Membership/meter fees increased, water rates increased.

d) Many BODs and staffed jumped ship, took the money and ran.

Now, that's what has led us to where we are today.

A complete mess!!!

Knowing the circumstances, the BOD continues to unnecessarily spend money at the expense of the shareholders.

a) Rent a facility outside our district to conduct monthly meetings in hopes of a lower attendance of shareholders/challenges. (our community center can accommodate the need and has been for years in the past)

b) Buy snacks for the meeting (btw, those fat bastards should try eating carrots and celery)

c) Charge \$50 for every CPMWC issue (recently \$1,600 per month, should be no more than \$250/mth)

d) Hired ECS (Sonny and wife) at \$14,500/mth for 30 months (well over \$500,000 has been paid) for services never performed.

The July, 2011 assessment of \$195 was needed for the replenishment of the RUS reserve (We had it a one time. Where did it go?), a CLAWA connection for an additional water source, and to fix the 80,000/134,000 gal tanks in Sawpit Canyon. I never disagreed for the need.

a) A violation occurred when the shareholders discovered not all were assessed. As per our Bylaws, Rules and Regs, it is stated all shareholders will be billed equally as per the number of shares owned. We don't have classifications of metered and non-metered shareholders.

b) Billing on a "per account basis" is NOT what is stated per the Bylaws, Rules and Regs. It's assessing per share equally. Try telling the BOD that when its time for voting.

At the November meeting, the CLAWA connection and the Sawpit Canyon tanks are no longer on the topic of discussion, due to lack of funds.

That is was the assessment was for!!!! Can we get a refund?

Some question/disagree my actions! How can you not see it's validity!

I'm tired of all the B.S!!!!

IT'S GOT TO STOP!!!!

From: Kibcpp

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 06:19 AM

Jim, don't let them get to you. Common sense died and the funeral was held many years ago. There will always be some who just don't "get it". The more I read, the madder I get. I also get mad that there seem to be trolls amongst us. Just rabble rousers. Don't feed the trolls. BOD members or their mouth pieces are going to try and make you quit. I wish I was there to help in this process. Keep up the good work. One way or the other the water co has to come back into the control of the people who own it.

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: [James E Morrison \(that1deadguy\)](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 06:31 AM

😊 I'll keep pressing on! It's a Marine thing!

From: [Kibcpp](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 06:43 AM

😊thank God for the Marine thing!!!!

From: [juan92325](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:22 AM

If it's written down here it must be true!

Remember when the Attorney General was investigating and that turned out to be BS?

I am a shareholder not a mouthpiece. Not one thing said on this website has been proven. If wrong doing has occurred then it SHOULD be fixed but so far ZERO has been proven.

I am not a troll, I happen to have my own opinion. If the BS written here was true then you should have no trouble getting a quorum together.

PROVE SOMETHING OR GIVE IT A REST!

From: [Claire](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 12:02 PM

Jim what you say makes what we call common sense and facts..although my retired cop husband says common sense is not common anymore..when I was president of the board of our condo association of 148 condos and huge land area of streams.pool.work out room..recreation room ..showers..jacuci..gardens..lawns..you name it..people had no clue how much it took to run it..how to save money..(you had gardners..and pool man and maintainence and handy man and so on..during those 2 years we saved money..we got everything fixed..our reserve was up to par..and everything looked great..the reason I became president was to get all done..the minute I resigned..they made the monthly fees twice the amount from \$250 to \$450..they paid \$100,000.00 to have the termiting done (a waste since they come back and you can keep a special spraying done) they lost their reserve and had the roofs patched which did not work so then had to have them all done..and the list goes on and on..to bore you with all this topic is...its the same with your water problem...people waste money..use the money wrongly...and steal money..and get driven with power..sorry if I bored you but was making a comparison here..and BTW..think you do a great job Jim and know your facts..

From: [PattiM](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 07:58 PM

I'm really glad to see some of you dropping into support Jim's efforts but where are the rest of you that hide behind him with your words but not up front with your names and leaving him to take it all on his shoulders? I, for one, support him openly and am not afraid to say so. Something must be done to end the dishonesty put upon us by the existing BOD of our Water Company so for the sake of our community, speak out publicly and stop being afraid to do so. I am not afraid to add my name to Jim's efforts however, I dislike the name calling and feel it should immediately end. PattiM 92322

From: [CPPGravy](#)

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:13 PM

I really hope this doesn't offend ANYONE..except the one's I INTEND to offend... Juan, Arch and that other mufuskideree...SUCK MY NOSE!! YEAH!! I'm ITALIAN and I have a very LARGE nose! You BOD sympathyzers are simply PATHETIC!! YOU SHALL PAY FOR YOUR WICKEDNESS!! IN THIS LIFE OR ANOTHER! BUT!! YOU SHALL PAY!! The SAME goes for you FOOLS that buy into the rhetoric spewed from the reptile's tongue! SHAME ON YOU!! WE SHALL OVERCOME!! POWER TO THE PEOPLE OF CPP!!

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

From: architect

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:14 PM

You have a big nose?

From: architect

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:15 PM

How big?

From: ManzanitaDeb

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:17 PM

Hmmmmmm,??

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:32 PM

Juan, Arch..Don't just go away...RUN!!!

From: CPPGravy

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:34 PM

ManzanitaDeb...Come over and find out! LOL!

From: ManzanitaDeb

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:35 PM

Sorry, I live in the SFV. But, I will be up there tomorrow. Any one going to the Jam?

From: architect

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:54 PM

So CPPGravy...you aren't going to answer the question...how big?

From: BBC_Big_Black_Cat

Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2012 09:40 AM

Gravy and I are both Italian. We have Roman noses. They roam all over our face. 😊

From: gldn_trngl

Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2012 08:43 PM

From: juan92325

Sent: Saturday, January 7, 2012 11:22 AM

.....PROVE SOMETHING OR GIVE IT A REST!.....

Have patience juan92325,

Jim and many others have been working very hard to fulfill your request! 😊 Takes time Y'a know?

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2012 09:12 PM

Here's some other info from the other site to balance out the Lake Arrowhead comparisons....

FROM: voyeur - Sunday, January 08, 2012 5:38:47 PM

architect - Wednesday, December 21, 2011 11:30:34 AM

I wonder if the dead dude know sylvia?

Hey Archie I knew Sylvia, she invited me over one time because she knew I was writing a series of articles about the water crisis in Lake Arrowhead.

She showed me a room with a huge table on it. There were two other women there and they had laid out all of the

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

documentation in chronological order for the granting of water rights and transfers of those rights from beginning to that date.

In the documents it showed illegal grants for water during transfer and the chain of documentation was very complex but clearly showed the corruption from inception. (Hard to go after the dead.)

In regards to Cedarpines Park it is a similar situation with an insensitive board and the now angry unsatisfied shareholders. So state actions are likely. The only difference is that Lake Arrowhead had Ted Heych who is an attorney and Jim is taking this on as a layman.

<http://www.geminisworld.net/gemsworld/MessageBoard.aspx>

Thread: In The News: CPP WATER CO

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 06:49 AM

Update:

CPMWC was served again yesterday, an opposition to their notice of demurrer.

We pointed out a vote for the \$2.9 million (\$1.67 million was the loan, the rest was a grant) grant/loan never occurred on Nov 15th, 1994. Fraud was committed.

Accountant of funds has been requested by several shareholders over the years. Nothing has been documented.

The quorum was illegally changed from 20% to 50%, plus one in 1984.

Thousands of dollars of overpayment has been made to ECS Co. with no explanation.

The CA Dept of Public Health issued a report of CPMWC operations at a "C" on 2 issues, 9 issued "D"s on all other operations. No evidence of corrections have been provided. Shareholders have become ill from these practices.

It goes on and on....

btw, CPMWC is kicking us out of the upcoming monthly meetings AGAIN!!!! Major Violation!

We need to step up and be heard! ATTEND!!!!

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 07:03 AM

Ca Dept of Public Health's reply to a recent inquiry:

James,

In reply to your question on fire flow/storage requirements, it would be the local fire department who would specify how much storage or flow is needed. Fire requirements vary from location and would account for special conditions as you mentioned in your e-mail.

Our office checks water systems to see that they have adequate capacity to meet the highest anticipated system demand (domestic). The regulations we enforce do not address fire requirements. We review changes in water systems before they are made to ensure they meet regulations.

On maintenance of tanks, records should be maintained by the water system. In the most recent inspection report we have on file, we do not have information on cleaning or maintenance.

Regards,

Andrés Aguirre, P.E.

Associate Sanitary Engineer

California Department of Public Health

Drinking Water Field Operations Branch

Direct (909) 383-4308

Fax (909) 383-4745

ROTW: CPP Water District

<http://www.rimoftheworld.net/discuss/25/83388>

andres.aguirre@cdph.ca.gov

Visit us at www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/drinkingwater

From: James Morrison

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 6:12 AM

To: Aguirre, Andres (CDPH-DDWEM)

Subject: Good Morning,.....Question Andres.....

At a recent monthly meeting by Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Company, a discussion was made to abandon/remove the two storage tanks in Sawpit Canyon, approx. 200,000 gal. capacity and replace the with a smaller "wet-well storage tank, approx 1,000 to 2,000 gal. tank". The cost to repair them is not within the financial means of CPMWC. Does that pose a fire threat to safety for those living in Sawpit Canyon? Emergency situations are of extreme importance, as exists are limited.

Also, who has records of the maintenance of all of our storage tanks? We understand the inside of the tanks need to be cleansed, treated for contaminants, and re-painted or coated periodically. The community believes no maintenance has been done and are at grave risk of unacceptable quality of water.

Sincerely,

James Morrison, CPMWC Sharholder

From: James E Morrison (that1deadguy)

Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 07:51 PM

Andres sent me a couple of letters regarding the above.

James,

Attached please find the two updates to the deficiency list. Our office keeps track of the updates as we receive them. The first one is from April 2008 and the second is February 2009.

Regards,

Andrés Aguirre, P.E.

Associate Sanitary Engineer

California Department of Public Health

Drinking Water Field Operations Branch

Direct (909) 383-4308

Fax (909) 383-4745

andres.aguirre@cdph.ca.gov

From: BreezyMtnLady

Sent: Friday, January 13, 2012 10:30 AM

Some information for you about the CPPMWC water system.

This link details all the wells in the Cedarpines Park Mutual Water Co district.

http://drinc.ca.gov:8080/DWW/JSP/WaterSystemFacilities.jsp?tinwsys_is_number=3775&tinwsys_st_code=CA

The **Water System No.** as referenced in the CA Dept of Public Health letters is the public water system identification number assigned by the state, territory, or EPA Region plus seven digits.

Water System No. : CA3610011

Water System Name: CEDARPINES PARK MWC

NOTE: (for example)

State Asgn ID No. **011** indicates that WELL 09 (SAWPIT WELL-SBA 25) is INACTIVE

CLICK on the State Asgn ID No. **011** for more details.

Activity Reason Text : Inactive Untreated

Activity Status Date : 07-01-1995